PB91-917002
NTSB/SS-91/01

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

SAFETY STUDY

TRANSPORT OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS BY RAIL



5488

The National Transportation Safety Board is an independent Federal agency dedicated to promoting aviation, railroad, highway, marine, pipeline, and hazardous materials safety. Established in 1967, the agency is mandated by the Independent Safety Board Act of 1974 to investigate transportation accidents, determine the probable cause of accidents, issue safety recommendations, study transportation safety issues, and evaluate the safety effectiveness of government agencies involved in transportation.

The Safety Board makes public its actions and decisions through accident reports, safety studies, special investigation reports, safety recommendations, and statistical reviews. Copies of these documents may be purchased from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161. Details on available publications may be obtained by contacting:

National Transportation Safety Board
Public Inquiries Section, RE-51
800 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20594
(202)382-6735

NTSB/SS-91/01 PB91-917002

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

SAFETY STUDY

TRANSPORT OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS BY RAIL

ADOPTED: MAY 16, 1991
NOTATION: 5488

Abstract: For this study, the Safety Board conducted investigations of 45 selected railroad accidents or incidents that occurred during a 1-year period that began in March 1988, and reviewed reports of its past major accident investigations and special studies related to the transport of hazardous materials by rail, studies performed by other organizations, and the training on hazardous materials provided by some rail carriers. The safety issues discussed in the report are the adequacy of the protection provided by some tank cars for the risks associated with certain products transported in these tank cars; emergency response planning for railroad accidents involving hazardous materials; and training of railroad personnel in the handling of a hazardous materials emergency. Recommendations concerning these issues were made to rail carriers, railroad industry associations, public safety groups, and Federal agencies.

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY v

INTRODUCTION 1
The Transport of Hazardous Materials in the Railroad Industry 1
Occurrence of Rail Accidents/Incidents Involving Hazardous Materials 1
Accidents and Incidents Investigated by the Safety Board 3
Description of the Safety Study 5
Recent Legislation Related To Hazardous Materials Transportation 10

TRANSPORT OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS IN DOT-111A TANK CARS 11
Performance of DOT-111A Tank Cars Involved in Accidents 11
Action Needed 18

EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLANNING FOR RAILROAD ACCIDENTS INVOLVING HAZARDOUS MATERIALS 21
The Need for Emergency Response Planning Between Railroads and Communities 21
Drills Of Simulated Emergencies 29
Action Needed 31

RAILROAD EMPLOYEE TRAINING FOR HAZARDOUS MATERIALS EMERGENCIES 33
The Need for Improved Railroad Employee Training 33
Types of Training Provided to Railroad Employees 37
Federal Rulemaking Activity 38

CONCLUSIONS 40

RECOMMENDATIONS 41
Resulting From This Study 41
Closed 45

REFERENCES 48

APPENDIXES
A: Size of the Hazardous Materials Segment Within the Railroad Industry, 1984-89 51
B: Volume of Hazardous Materials Transported by Rail, 1989 53
C: Diagrams of Tank Cars and Tank Car Specifications 55
D: Briefs of the Cases Investigated During the Safety Study 65

E: Provisions of the Hazardous Materials Transportation Uniform Safety Act Applicable to Rail Safety 161
F: Federal Rulemaking and Safety Board Comments Related to Docket HM-181 163
G: Federal Rulemaking and Safety Board Comments Related to Docket HM-175A 173
H: Association of American Railroads' Recommended Railroad Operating Practices for Transportation of Hazardous Materials . . 181

ACRONYMS USED IN THE REPORT

AAR	Association of American Railroads
ASLRA	American Short Line Railroad Association
ATSF	The Atchinson, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company
BN	Burlington Northern Railroad Company
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CHEMTREC	Chemical Transportation Emergency Center
CMNW	Chicago, Missouri & Western Railway Co.
CR	Consolidated Rail Corporation (Conrail)
CSX	CSX Transportation, Inc.
DOT	Department of Transportation
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FR	Federal Register
FRA	Federal Railroad Administration
IACP	International Association of Chiefs of Police
IAFC	International Association of Fire Chiefs
IAIS	Iowa Interstate Railroad, Ltd.
IC	Illinois Central Railroad Company
ICG	Illinois Central Gulf Railroad Company
ISFSI	International Society of Fire Service Instructors
KCS	Kansas City Southern Railway
LA	Louisiana & Arkansas Railway Company
MRL	Montana Rail Link, Inc.
MSRC	MidSouth Rail Corporation
NLC	National League of Cities
NPRM	Notice of Proposed Rulemaking
NS	Norfolk Southern Corporation
NTSB	National Transportation Safety Board
PAL	Paducah & Louisville Railway, Inc.
PTRA	Port Terminal Railroad Association
RPI	Railway Progress Institute
RSPA	Research and Special Programs Administration
SGLR	Seminole Gulf Railway, Inc.
SOO	SOO Line Railroad Company
SP	Southern Pacific Transportation Company
UP	Union Pacific Railroad Company
WC	Wisconsin Central Ltd.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The transport of hazardous materials is a rapidly growing segment of the railroad industry. In 1989, for example, more than 1.52 million carloads of poisons, chemicals, pesticides, and other hazardous materials were transported by rail, an increase of 66 percent over the 0.92 million carloads transported by rail in 1985. Because the volume of hazardous materials transported by rail is high and because many of the materials, if released, can pose a substantial danger to life, property, and the environment, their transport must be made as safe as possible.

The National Transportation Safety Board has had a long-standing concern about the safe transport of hazardous materials by rail. In 1978, the Safety Board held a public hearing on tank car safety, and in 1980, the Board conducted a special investigation on tank car performance. These activities resulted in recommendations for improved protection on certain tank cars. Between January 1985 and February 1988, the Safety Board investigated 80 railroad accidents involving hazardous materials, which resulted in additional recommendations to Federal and State agencies, railroads, and safety-related organizations urging various actions to improve the safety of the transport of hazardous materials by rail.

In 1988, the Safety Board began a safety study to determine whether the recurring problems seen in the earlier accidents were continuing. As part of this study, the Safety Board conducted investigations of 45 selected railroad accidents or incidents that occurred during a 1-year period that began in March 1988. The Board also reviewed reports of its past major accident investigations and special studies, studies performed by other organizations, and the training on hazardous materials provided by some railroads. The study addresses needed safety improvements for the transport of hazardous materials by rail.

The safety issues discussed in the study are as follows:

- The adequacy of the protection provided by some tank cars for the risks associated with certain products transported in these tank cars;
- Emergency response planning for railroad accidents involving hazardous materials; and
- Training of railroad personnel in the handling of a hazardous materials emergency.

As a result of the safety study, recommendations were issued to the Research and Special Programs Administration and Federal Railroad Administration of the U.S. Department of Transportation; the Association of American Railroads; Class I railroads and railroad systems; Guilford Transportation, Inc.; MidSouth Rail Corporation; the American Short Line Railroad Association; the Chemical Manufacturers Association; the American Petroleum Institute; the National Fire Protection Association; the National

League of Cities; the National Association of Counties; the International Association of Fire Chiefs; the International Association of Chiefs of Police, and the National Sheriffs' Association.

The recommendations focused on the following safety concerns:

- The need to transport the more dangerous hazardous materials in tank cars that provide better accident protection;

- The need for railroads and communities to develop and coordinate written emergency response plans and procedures for handling releases of hazardous materials;

- The need for railroads to improve hazardous materials training for employees; and

- The need to establish methods to evaluate a railroad employee's level of knowledge of emergency procedures and the ability to apply such knowledge.

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

SAFETY STUDY

TRANSPORT OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS BY RAIL

INTRODUCTION

The Transport of Hazardous Materials in the Railroad Industry

The transport of hazardous materials is a rapidly growing segment of the railroad industry. The percentage of chemicals and allied products transported, by tons, and the resulting revenues generated for railroad companies have increased steadily since 1984 (appendix A). In 1989, for example, more than 1.52 million carloads of poisons, chemicals, pesticides, and other hazardous materials were transported by rail in about 107,000 tank cars and in other types of containers (appendix B). This volume represents a 66-percent increase over the 0.92 million carloads of hazardous materials transported by rail in 1985 (Association of American Railroads 1990a).

There are more than 30,000 hazardous materials regulated by the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT); however, 25 hazardous materials or commodity groups account for 77 percent of the total volume transported by rail (see appendix B). The makeup of the shipments moving by rail varies considerably: for example, from extremely hazardous poisons, such as chlorine, to nonflammable but poisonous liquids, such as perchloroethylene (a dry-cleaning solvent, also called tetrachloroethylene). Although perchloroethylene poses no acute hazards in small quantities, large releases can pose long-term environmental threats. Because the volume of hazardous materials transported by rail is high and because many of the materials, if released, can pose a risk to life, property, and the environment, their transport must be made as safe as possible.

Occurrence of Rail Accidents/Incidents Involving Hazardous Materials

The data system of the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), an agency within the DOT, recorded 14,969 railroad accidents between 1985 and 1989. Of those accidents, 2,121 involved derailed or damaged cars transporting hazardous materials (table 1).[1] In 254 of these accidents, hazardous materials were released.

---

[1] The FRA defines a train accident as any event involving the movement of railroad on-track equipment that results in a death, a reportable injury, or a reportable illness, or in which railroad property damage exceeds the reporting threshold. (In 1988, the threshold was $5,200.) The FRA does not define a hazardous materials release.

Table 1.--Information from the Federal Railroad Administration related to train accidents involving hazardous materials, 1985-89

Item	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	Total
Number of accidents involving hazardous materials	415	364	351	475	516	2,121
Number of train consists carrying hazardous materials[a]	431	370	364	497	530	2,192
Number of cars in consists	29,362	26,083	26,251	32,821	36,305	150,822
Number of cars containing hazardous materials	2,310	1,803	2,292	3,841	3,489	13,735
Number of accidents in which car(s) containing hazardous materials was damaged or derailed	245	185	186	237	251	1,104
Number of cars damaged that contained hazardous materials	647	453	495	630	636	2,861
Number of accidents in which hazardous materials were released	54	51	50	44	55	254
Number of cars that released hazardous materials	109	79	89	74	84	435
Number of accidents that resulted in evacuation	22	32	28	32	28	142
Number of people reported by railroads as evacuated	11,879	39,701	24,345	16,164	13,922	106,011

[a] The number of train consists is greater than the number of accidents because some accidents involved a collision of 2 trains.

Source: U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Railroad Administration, Office of Safety.

The data system of the Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA), another agency within the DOT, recorded 4,810 rail incidents involving hazardous materials between 1985-89:[2]

Year	Number of incidents
1985	842
1986	856
1987	899
1988	1,018
1989	1,195

The reporting criteria differ for these data bases; therefore, comparisons cannot be made. However, both data bases show an increase in the number of accidents/incidents involving hazardous materials reflecting the increase in shipments during this 5-year period (see appendix A).[3]

Accidents and Incidents Investigated by the Safety Board

Although many accidents/incidents occur that involve hazardous materials, the consequences of most of these events are not serious. However, because hazardous materials pose a substantial danger to public safety if released, the consequences of accidents/incidents involving hazardous materials can be serious or catastrophic.[4]

The Safety Board has had a long-standing concern about the transport of hazardous materials in tank cars that do not provide protection commensurate with the risks posed by the products. In 1978, the Safety Board held an en-banc public hearing (a hearing before all 5 Board members) at which 32 witnesses testified on tank car safety. Results of this hearing included accelerated application of head shields, thermal protection, and top and

---

[2] The RSPA defines a hazardous materials incident as any release of a hazardous material (in quantities as small as 1 pint).

[3] The data base maintained by the Association of American Railroads (AAR), which records releases of hazardous materials (such as leaks, splashes, venting from safety relief devices on tank cars, and releases from rail accidents) recorded 1,165 releases from tank cars in 1989 (AAR 1990a). Nearly all (96 percent) of the releases resulted from loose or defective fittings, and most of the releases involved small quantities of hazardous materials (usually less than 100 gallons of product). Corrosive and flammable liquids accounted for 67 percent of the non-accident releases.

[4] As used in this report, an incident refers to a release of hazardous materials, such as a leak, that was not the result of an accident.

bottom shelf couplers[5] for DOT-112 and -114 tank cars that carry flammable and/or toxic hazardous materials (NTSB 1978).[6] In 1980, the Safety Board conducted a special investigation on the performance of DOT-105 tank cars (NTSB 1980a). Since then, improvements have been made as a result of action taken, especially in the performance of DOT specification tank cars. For example, shelf couplers are now required on all DOT tank cars that transport hazardous materials. Further, head shields and thermal protection are also now required on most DOT-105 tank cars, as well as on DOT-112 and -114 tank cars.

The added protection has contributed to a reduction in the frequency and severity of failures of these tank cars. For example, a study recently published by the Railway Progress Institute (RPI) and the Association of American Railroads (AAR) concluded that the addition of shelf couplers and head shields on DOT-112 and -114 tank cars had reduced the probability of a head puncture by 91 percent (RPI and AAR 1989). Other studies by the RPI and AAR conclude that thermal protection, head shields, and shelf couplers are "clearly associated with the reduced spillage of hazardous materials in recent years" (RPI and AAR 1990b) and that pressure tank cars equipped with head shields and thermal protection (DOT-105, -112, and -114) have excellent puncture resistance (RPI and AAR 1990a).

Although DOT-111A tank cars generally do not contain protection similar to that on the DOT-105, -112, and -114 tank cars, they are, nevertheless, used to carry hazardous materials that can pose a substantial danger to life, property, and the environment.[7] Further, because the shells of DOT-111A tank cars are thinner than the shells of DOT-105, -112, and -114 tank cars, the DOT-111A tank cars are more susceptible to damage than are DOT-105, -112, and -114 tank cars, even when those tank cars are not protected by head shields and thermal protection.[8] As a result, the tank car section of this report focuses on the adequacy of the protection provided by DOT-111A tank cars for the type of products they transport.

---

[5] Diagrams of tank cars, and information on tank car structure and specifications are in appendix C.

[6] Of the nearly 107,000 tank cars that transport hazardous materials, 104,000 (97 percent) comprise the following specifications: DOT-105 (19,700 tank cars); -111A (62,000 tank cars); and -112/-114 (22,000 tank cars). Most hazardous materials are transported in these specification tank cars.

[7] The DOT-111A tank cars, which are still being manufactured, are general service, non-pressure tank cars made of steel, nickel, or aluminum. Generally, DOT-111A tank cars are non-insulated, have bottom outlets and multiple fittings, and do not have jacketed thermal protection or head shields.

[8] DOT-111A tank cars have a minimum shell and head thickness of 7/16 inch; DOT-105, -112, and -114 tank cars have shells and heads with a minimum thickness of 9/16 inch.

Between January 1985 and February 1988, the Safety Board investigated 80 railroad accidents[9] (7 major[10] and 73 field investigations) involving hazardous materials. The accidents involved collisions (between trains or a train and a motor vehicle), derailments, and leaks from standing or stored tank cars resulting in violent thermal explosions, fires, and public evacuations. The investigations of these accidents revealed several safety issues concerning the transport of hazardous materials, including the adequacy of (1) the protection provided by some tank cars for the risks associated with products transported in them, (2) emergency preparedness, and (3) training of railroad personnel. As a result of the seven major investigations, the Safety Board issued 38 safety recommendations to Federal and State agencies, railroads, and safety-related organizations urging various actions to improve the safety of the transport of hazardous materials by rail.

Description of the Safety Study

Because the Safety Board observed evidence of problems related to the adequacy of DOT-111A tank cars for the shipment of certain hazardous materials, emergency response planning for railroad accidents involving hazardous materials, and the training of railroad employees in the handling of a hazardous materials emergency, the Safety Board began a safety study, in 1988, on the transport of hazardous materials by rail. The purpose of the study was to determine whether the recurring problems seen in the earlier accidents were continuing, and if so, to identify remedial actions and to issue safety recommendations requesting remedial action.

As a part of the study, the Safety Board conducted investigations of 45 selected railroad accidents or incidents that occurred in a 1-year period, March 1988 through February 1989; these accidents involved trains transporting hazardous materials and standing cars containing hazardous materials. The Board also reviewed reports of its past major accident investigations and special studies, studies performed by other organizations, and the training on hazardous materials provided by some railroads.

During the 1-year period, the Safety Board investigated the accidents and incidents (a) for which it received notification from the DOT National Response Center, and (b) that occurred in a location that enabled Safety Board investigators to respond in time to collect data that were perishable.

---

[9] The accidents generally were railroad accidents as defined in 49 CFR Part 840: Any collision, derailment, or explosion involving railroad trains, locomotives, and cars; or any other loss-causing event involving the operation of such railroad equipment that results in a fatality to a passenger or employee, or the emergency evacuation of persons.

[10] The severity of some accidents is such that the Safety Board conducts comprehensive investigations that result in more detailed information than is collected from the investigations of less severe accidents. These more comprehensive investigations are called major investigations.

Forty-five accidents/incidents were investigated; the sample is not statistically representative of hazardous materials accidents or incidents.[11] Table 2 lists the locations and dates of the accidents and incidents. Three of the events were severe enough to result in major investigations (see footnote 10); consequently, more detailed information is available regarding those three events: Altoona, Iowa; Helena, Montana; and Akron, Ohio. For each of the 45 accidents/incidents (hereinafter called cases), the Safety Board determined those factors that either caused or contributed to the event. (Brief reports of the 45 cases are in appendix D.)

The 45 cases, which involved 149 tank cars, were of the following types:

Type	Number
Derailment[12]	31
Collision:	
Between trains[12]	2
Railroad/highway grade crossing	1
Releases of hazardous materials from standing or stored cars[12]	11
Total	45

---

[11] FRA accident data for the period March 1988 through February 1989 indicate that railroad carriers reported 489 accidents involving hazardous materials, 50 of which (with and without evacuations) involved releases of hazardous materials. Of the 50 accidents involving releases, 20 (40 percent) were among the 45 cases investigated by the Safety Board during the 1-year period. Also of the 50 accidents reported to the FRA, 22 accidents involved both a release of hazardous materials and subsequent evacuation; 18 (82 percent) of these accidents were among the Safety Board's 33 cases that had evacuations.

[12] Evacuations were conducted in 33 of the 45 cases: after 28 of the derailments, 2 of the collisions, and 3 of the releases from standing tank cars. Hazardous materials were not released in all 33 cases; however, evacuations were ordered because local emergency response personnel perceived that there was a threat of the release of product. (Of the 33 cases with evacuations, releases of hazardous materials occurred in 25. Of the 12 cases without evacuations, releases occurred in 11.)

Table 2.--Location and date of the accidents/incidents investigated by the National Transportation Safety Board during its safety study on the transport of hazardous materials by rail, March 1988 to February 1989

Event number	Location of accident	Date of accident	Railroad	NTSB accident number
1	Claude, TX	03/04/88	BN	FTW88FRZ13
2	Punta Gorda, FL	03/10/88	SGLR	ATL88FRZ13
3	Pasco, WA	04/08/88	BN	CHI88FRZ17
4	Jeffersonville, IN	04/26/88	CR	CHI88FRZ18
5	Wilmington, CA	04/27/88	UP	LAX88FRZ10
6	Roodhouse, IL	05/03/88	CMNW	CHI88FRZ20
7	Denver, CO	05/04/88	UP	DEN88FRZ11
8	Gulfport, MS	05/07/88	MSRC	ATL88FRZ15
9	Sheridan, WI	05/14/88	WC	CHI88FRZ22
10	Las Vegas, NV	05/23/88	UP	LAX88FRZ12
11	Columbus, OH	06/11/88	CSX	ATL88FRZ16
12	Crofton, KY	06/22/88	CSX	ATL88FRZ19
13	Deer Park, TX	07/22/88	PTRA	FTW88FRZ23
14	Farnum, NE	07/22/88	BN	DEN88FRZ17
15	White Bluff, TN	07/24/88	CSX	FTW88FRZ24
16	Altoona, IA	07/30/88	IAIS	DCA88MRZ06
17	Umbarger, TX	07/30/88	ATSF	FTW88FRZ25
18	Ohiopyle, PA	08/01/88	CSX	FTW88FRZ26
19	Brazoria, TX	08/02/88	UP	FTW88FRZ27
20	Loudonville, OH	08/04/88	CR	LAX88FRZ15
21	Elsberry, MO	08/06/88	BN	FTW88FRZ28
22	Elberton, GA	08/08/88	CSX	ATL88FRZ20
23	Elm Grove, WI	08/10/88	SOO	CHI88FRZ27
24	Athens, GA	08/13/88	CSX	ATL88FRZ21
25	Memphis, TN	08/18/88	IC	ATL88FRZ22
26	Jacksonville, FL	09/15/88	CSX	ATL88FRZ23
27	Summit, IL	09/25/88	IC	CHI88FRZ29
28	Rineyville, KY	10/13/88	PAL	ATL89FRZ02
29	Easley, SC	10/16/88	NS	ATL89FRZ03
30	Pearl, IL	10/26/88	CMNW	CHI89FRZ05
31	Morganza, LA	10/26/88	LA	FTW89FRZ01
32	Newcastle, CA	11/02/88	SP	LAX89FRZ02
33	Lyndon Station, WI	11/09/88	SOO	CHI89FRZ06
34	Bangor, AL	11/19/88	CSX	ATL89FRZ05
35	Lanagan, MO	11/20/88	KCS	CHI89FRZ07
36	Fruitvale, TX	11/25/88	UP	FTW89FRZ04
37	Palmyra, MO	11/29/88	BN	CHI89FRZ08
38	Edison, NJ	12/09/88	CR	NYC89FRZ03
39	Flagstaff, AZ	12/14/88	ATSF	LAX89FRZ05
40	Bonners Ferry, ID	01/28/89	UP	LAX89FRZ13
41	Helena, MT	02/02/89	MRL	DCA89MRZ01
42	Kansas City, KS	02/02/89	ATSF	CHI89FRZ11
43	Manteca, CA	02/20/89	SP	LAX89FRZ15
44	Bordulac, ND	02/20/89	SOO	CHI89FRZ14
45	Akron, OH	02/26/89	CSX	DCA89MZ004

Of the 45 cases, 35 cases (78 percent) involved Class I railroads:[13]

Railroad	Number of cases
Class I Railroads:	
CSX Transportation, Inc.	9
Union Pacific Railroad Company	6
Burlington Northern Railroad Company	5
Atchinson, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company	3
Consolidated Rail Corporation (Conrail)	3
Soo Line Railroad Company	3
Illinois Central Railroad Company	2
Southern Pacific Transportation Company	2
Kansas City Southern Railway	1
Norfolk Southern Corporation	1
Other Classes:	
Chicago, Missouri & Western Railway Company	2
Iowa Interstate Railroad, Ltd.	1
Louisiana & Arkansas Railway Company	1
MidSouth Rail Corporation	1
Montana Rail Link, Inc.	1
Paducah & Louisville Railway, Inc.	1
Port Terminal Railroad Association	1
Seminole Gulf Railway, Inc.	1
Wisconsin Central Ltd.	1
Total	45

[13] The Interstate Commerce Commission defines Class I railroads based on the carrier's annual operating revenue for each year; there are 16 Class I railroads. All other railroads are defined by the AAR as one of two types: regional or local railroad.

The 45 cases occurred in 25 States; 20 of the 45 cases (44 percent) occurred in 6 States: Texas, California, Illinois, Missouri, Ohio, and Wisconsin:

State	Number of cases
Texas	5
California	3
Illinois	3
Missouri	3
Ohio	3
Wisconsin	3
Florida	2
Georgia	2
Kentucky	2
Tennessee	2
Other States (Alabama, Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Iowa, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Montana, North Dakota, Nebraska, Nevada, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Washington[14])	17
Total	45

Evacuations were conducted in 33 of the 45 cases. The estimated number of persons evacuated by accident location follows:

Location of accident	Estimated number of persons evacuated
Crofton, Kentucky	4,000
Helena, Montana	3,500
Akron, Ohio	1,785
Altoona, Iowa	1,500
Bangor, Alabama	1,000
Roodhouse, Illinois	1,000
Elsberry, Missouri	600
Flagstaff, Arizona	500
Bonners Ferry, Idaho	500
Jacksonville, Florida	400
Punta Gorda, Florida	300
Gulfport, Mississippi	300
Elberton, Georgia	300
Elm Grove, Wisconsin	300
Morganza, Louisiana	300
Newcastle, California	300
Ohiopyle, Pennsylvania	200

[14] Each of these States had one accident.

Manteca, California	150
Easley, South Carolina	130
Bordulac, North Dakota	125
Brazoria, Texas	70
Fruitvale, Texas	60
Rineyville, Kentucky	50
Sheridan, Wisconsin	50
Summit, Illinois	30
Loudonville, Ohio	30
Lanagan, Missouri	20
Edison, New Jersey	10
Other locations (Umbarger, Texas; Memphis, Tennessee; White Bluff, Tennessee; Lyndon Station, Wisconsin; Athens, Georgia[15])	19
Total	17,529

Recent Legislation Related To Hazardous Materials Transportation

Improvements in the transportation of hazardous materials have recently been prompted by Congressional and Federal regulatory action. The Hazardous Materials Transportation Uniform Safety Act (Public Law 101-615, signed into law in November 1990) is a comprehensive amendment and expansion of the Hazardous Materials Transportation Act. Major provisions of the new Act address tank car design and emergency response training. A summary of those provisions that are applicable to rail safety are described in appendix E.

Federal regulatory actions related to the safety issues addressed in this safety study are discussed in subsequent sections of the report.

[15] Each location had fewer than 10 persons evacuated.

TRANSPORT OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS IN DOT-111A TANK CARS

Performance of DOT-111A Tank Cars Involved in Accidents

The decision to transport a hazardous material in a selected tank car is complex and is based on many factors, including, but not limited to, volume capacity and availability of tank cars, cost of shipping, location of outlets, weight restrictions, and specialized requirements (such as maintaining the purity of the products). The inadequacy of the protection provided by DOT-111A tank cars for certain dangerous products has been evident for many years in accidents investigated by the Safety Board. Some of the problems are illustrated by accidents that occurred at Livingston, Louisiana; Denver, Colorado; and Jackson, South Carolina.

Livingston, Louisiana. On September 28, 1982, 36 tank cars in an Illinois Central Gulf Railroad freight train derailed in Livingston, Louisiana (NTSB 1983). Of the derailed cars, 5 contained flammable petroleum products and 29 contained various hazardous materials or toxic chemicals. A total of 20 tank cars leaked, were punctured, or otherwise breached in the derailment; 17 were DOT-111A tank cars. Fires broke out in the wreckage, and smoke and toxic gases were released into the atmosphere. Thermally-induced explosions occurred in two DOT-105 tank cars that had not been punctured. About 3,000 persons within a 5-mile radius of the accident site were evacuated for up to 2 weeks, and 19 residences and other buildings were destroyed or severely damaged. More than 14,000 gallons of perchloroethylene, released from a DOT-111A tank car, were absorbed into the ground and required extensive excavation of contaminated soil. The accident resulted in a long-term closure of the railroad line and an adjacent highway. Property damage was estimated at more than $20 million.

Denver, Colorado. On April 3, 1983, the tank head of a DOT-111A tank car was punctured when freight cars were being switched in a Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company rail yard at Denver, Colorado. Fuming nitric acid escaped from the car, ignited small fires involving the railroad track crossties, and formed a vapor cloud that dispersed over the area. About 9,000 persons were evacuated from the area, 34 persons sustained injuries, and property damage was estimated at $341,000.

The Safety Board's investigation concluded that the fuming nitric acid would not have been released had the tank car been better protected (for example, with head shields) (NTSB 1985a).

Jackson, South Carolina. On February 23, 1985, a Seaboard System Railroad freight train derailed at Jackson, South Carolina. Of the 27 cars that derailed, 8 were tank cars--all of which were DOT-111A tank cars containing cyclohexane (a volatile flammable liquid). The heads of five of the eight tank cars were penetrated; none of the eight tank cars had head shield protection. Cyclohexane was subsequently released and it ignited immediately. Residents within a 1-mile radius of the accident site were

evacuated; damage was estimated at $1.3 million. No fatalities or injuries resulted from the accident.

The Safety Board's investigation concluded that the volatile hazardous materials would not have been released or ignited had the derailed DOT-111A tank cars been better equipped (NTSB 1985b).

The release of products from the DOT-111A tank cars observed by the Safety Board in the investigations of these accidents were also found in the 45 cases investigated by the Safety Board from March 1988 through February 1989. These 45 cases involved 149 tank cars: 84 cars (57 percent) were DOT-111A tank cars, 32 cars (21 percent) were DOT-105 tank cars, 29 cars (19 percent) were DOT-112/114 tank cars, and 4 cars (3 percent) were other specifications.

Of the 61 DOT-105, -112, and -114 tank cars involved, 14 tank cars (23 percent) released products: 11 leaked (18 percent), and 3 ignited or exploded (5 percent). The products were released as a result of head punctures or failures in two of the tank cars and shell punctures or failures in five (a total of 11 percent).

Of the 84 DOT-111A tank cars involved, 46 tank cars (54 percent) released product: 31 leaked (37 percent), and 15 ignited or exploded (18 percent) (table 3). The products were released as a result of head punctures or failures in 5 of these tank cars, and shell punctures or failures in 13 (a total of 22 percent).[16]

These data indicate that 23 percent of the DOT-105, -112 and -114 tank cars involved in the 45 cases released product whereas 54 percent of the DOT-111A tank cars released product. Further, the rate at which the DOT-111A tank cars experienced head or shell puncture or failure was also double that of the DOT-105, -112 and -114 tank cars. Although the accidents were not selected on a basis such that they are statistically representative of hazardous materials accidents, the rate of failure of the DOT-111A tank cars (double that of the non-DOT-111A cars) strongly suggests that DOT-111A tank cars do not provide as much protection for their products in accidents as do the DOT-105, -112, and -114 tank cars.

---

[16] One of the tank cars that exploded was involved in the 1989 accident in Helena, Montana. In its investigation of the accident, the Safety Board concluded that the tank car was probably punctured during the accident sequence, but the location(s) of the puncture(s) could not be determined. Although that tank car has been counted as 1 of the 15 that ignited or exploded, it has not been included as 1 of the 5 with head punctures or failures, or as 1 of the 13 with shell punctures or failures.

Table 3.--Type of tank car failure in DOT-111A tank cars that released hazardous materials in the accidents/incidents investigated March 1988 to February 1989 during the safety study, and hazardous materials released, by location and type of accident

Event number	Location of accident	Type of accident	DOT tank car specification	Type of tank car failure	Hazardous material released
3	Pasco, WA	Derailment	111A100W3	Fitting damage, leaked	Sodium chlorate
			111A100W1	Fitting damage, leaked	Sodium hydroxide
			111A100W3	Shell puncture, leaked	Sodium hydroxide
4	Jeffersonville, IN	Standing car	111A60ALW1	Fitting damage, leaked	Acetic acid
6	Roodhouse, IL	Derailment	111A100W2	Fitting damage, leaked	Sulfuric acid
10	Las Vegas, NV	Standing car	111A100W2	Fitting damage, leaked	Sulfuric acid
11	Columbus, OH	Derailment	111A100W1	Fitting damage, leaked	Toluene
12	Crofton, KY	Derailment	111A100W1	Fitting damage, leaked, ignited	Phosphorus
13	Deer Park, TX	Standing car	111A100W6	Exploded, rocketed	Methyl methacrylate
15	White Bluff, TN	Derailment	111A100W1	Shell puncture, leaked	Petroleum sulfite waste
16	Altoona, IA	Collision	111A100W1	Fitting damage, leaked, ignited	Ethyl alcohol
			111A100W1	Fitting damage, leaked, ignited	Ethyl alcohol
19	Brazoria, TX	Derailment	111A100W1	Shell puncture, leaked, ignited	Acetaldehyde
			111A100W1	Exploded, rocketed	Acetaldehyde
			111A100W1	Shell failure, leaked, ignited	Acetaldehyde
			111A100W1	Shell failure, leaked, ignited	Acetaldehyde
			111A100W1	Shell failure, leaked, ignited	Acetaldehyde
			111A100W1	Head puncture, leaked, ignited	Acetaldehyde
20	Loudonville, OH	Derailment	111A100W1	Shell failure, leaked ignited, rocketed	Hexamethylene diamine
			111A100W1	Shell severed, leaked, ignited	Octanol
22	Elberton, GA	Derailment	111A60W1	Head puncture, leaked	Xylene
			111A60W1	Fitting damage, leaked	Xylene
			111A60W1	Fitting damage, leaked	Xylene
			111A60W1	Fitting damage, leaked	Xylene
			111A100W3	Head puncture, leaked	Xylene
			111A100W5	Fitting damage, leaked	Ferric chloride
25	Memphis, TN	Standing car	111A100W5	Head failure, leaked	Muriatic acid
26	Jacksonville, FL	Derailment	111A100W1	Fitting damage, leaked	Potassium hydroxide
27	Summit, IL	Derailment	111A100W1	Fitting damage, leaked	Phosphoric acid
28	Rineyville, KY	Derailment	111A60ALW1	Fitting damage, leaked	Acetic acid
			111A100W1	Shell puncture, leaked	Sodium hydroxide
			111A100W1	Fitting damage, leaked	Hydrochloric acid
29	Easley, SC	Derailment	111A60W1	Shell puncture, leaked	Sodium hydroxide
			111A100W1	Fitting damage, leaked	Sodium hydroxide
			111A100W1	Fitting damage, leaked	Sodium hydroxide
30	Pearl, IL	Derailment	111A100W1	Fitting damage, leaked	Isopropanol
31	Morganza, LA	Derailment	111A60W1	Shell puncture, leaked	Toluene dissocyanate
32	Newcastle, CA	Derailment	111A100W1	Shell puncture, leaked	Ethyl alcohol
33	Lyndon Station, WI	Derailment	111A100W1	Shell puncture, leaked	Carbolic acid
34	Bangor, AL	Derailment	111A100W2	Fitting damage, leaked	Sulfuric acid
			111A100W1	Shell puncture, leaked	Diethylene glycol
37	Palmyra, MO	Standing car	111A60W7	Overpressure, leaked	Sulfuric acid
41	Helena, MT	Collision	111A60W1	Head puncture, leaked, ignited	Isopropyl alcohol/acetone[a]
			111A60ALW2	Valve leaked, ignited	Hydrogen peroxide
			111A60ALW2	Exploded, rocketed[b]	Hydrogen peroxide
42	Kansas City, KS	Standing car	111A60ALW1	Fitting damage, leaked	Acetic anhydride

[a] The hazardous materials were in dual tanks.

[b] The investigation of this accident concluded that this tank car was probably punctured during the collision and derailment, but the location(s) of the puncture(s) could not be determined.

The 46 DOT-111A tank cars that released hazardous materials were transporting 24 different products, 12 of which (a) could cause serious injury, temporary or long-term, from brief exposure even when medical attention is promptly given; and/or (b) are highly flammable at ambient temperature conditions.

The RPI and AAR, in their 1990 study that analyzed the performance of the DOT-111A tank cars and other tank cars (RPI and AAR 1990a), reported a greater incidence of head and shell punctures in DOT-111A (insulated and non-insulated), DOT-112A/114A, and aluminum tank cars (fig. 1)--none of which have the improved tank head resistance protection and/or thermal protection as required for the DOT-112S, J, and T tank cars, the DOT-114S, J, and T tank cars, and for the DOT-105S, J, and T tank cars (see footnote 5). The incidence of head and shell punctures in tank cars damaged in accidents to the total number of tank cars damaged in accidents during a 22-year period (1965-86) is also shown in figure 1.

The DOT-111A tank cars often have been unable to withstand the forces of an accident, even when the train was traveling at slow speeds. The poor performance of DOT-111A tank cars documented in the RPI-AAR study is consistent with the poor performance of DOT-111A tank cars involved in accidents/incidents investigated by the Safety Board.

Safety risks posed by the release of hazardous materials from DOT-111A tank cars are well illustrated by 3 of the 45 cases: Brazoria, Texas; Elberton, Georgia; and Helena, Montana. Although the investigations could not conclusively identify the mechanism that caused the tank damage, the Safety Board remains concerned that some of the more dangerous materials, such as those released in these accidents, continue to be transported in tank cars with less protection than is needed.

Brazoria, Texas. On August 2, 1988, a Union Pacific Railroad Company freight train derailed near Brazoria, Texas. There were 13 tank cars in the train, containing various hazardous materials. During the derailment, five DOT-111A tank cars containing acetaldehyde (a flammable liquid that easily ignites and can polymerize[17]) were severely damaged and released about 133,000 gallons of product. A large fire ignited, and a sixth DOT-111A tank car loaded with 30,000 gallons of acetaldehyde exploded. The explosive force rocketed the tank head from the tank car into an open field about 700 feet from the derailment. The fire scorched vegetation up to 900 feet from the accident site. About 70 persons were evacuated from a 1-mile area, and 4 persons were treated for minor eye and skin irritations and then released from a local hospital. Of the six DOT-111A tank cars involved in this

---

[17] A material that can polymerize is one in which, under certain conditions, a chemical reaction can occur such that two or more small molecules combine to form larger molecules that contain repeating structural units of the original molecule, often releasing heat in the process.



Figure 1.--Incidence of head and shell punctures to total number of tank cars damaged in accidents, 1965-86. (Source: Railway Progress Institute and Association of American Railroads 1990a.)

accident, one had a tank head puncture, one had a shell puncture, three had shell tears, and one exploded. Had the acetaldehyde been transported in tank cars with better protection, such as head shields or thermal protection, the product might not have been released.

Elberton, Georgia. On August 8, 1988, 61 cars from a CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSX) freight train derailed near Elberton, Georgia. Five DOT-111A tank cars containing xylene (a flammable liquid) and one DOT-111A tank car containing ferric chloride solution (a corrosive) were damaged and released product. Although no fire resulted from the accident, 23 persons were treated for chemical exposure then released from a local hospital, and 2 persons with more serious exposure were admitted for observation. Also as a result of the accident, 300 persons were evacuated from a 3-mile area, and the ground water and portions of a lake 1/2 mile from the accident site were contaminated. Environmental damage was estimated at $3 million. Of the six DOT-111A tank cars involved in this accident, one had a tank head puncture, one had a shell puncture, and four had damage to fittings. The DOT-111A tank cars provided inadequate protection for the xylene in this accident.

Helena, Montana. In the February 2, 1989, accident at Helena, Montana, two aluminum DOT-111A tank cars containing hydrogen peroxide (a strong oxidizer) and one steel DOT-111A tank car containing acetone and isopropyl alcohol (in dual compartments) were severely damaged and released their products. Fire and explosions resulted, dispersing fragments of one of the aluminum tank cars as far away as 1/2 mile. About 3,500 persons were evacuated, 2 persons were injured, and damage and cost of cleanup exceeded $6 million.

The Safety Board's investigation determined that the steel DOT-111A tank car sustained a head puncture; the investigation also concluded that one of the aluminum DOT-111A tank cars probably was punctured during the collision and derailment, but the disintegration of the tank car from the explosion precluded an exact determination of the number and locations of the punctures. Because of its past concern about the transport of hazardous materials that pose severe threats to public safety in tank cars that do not have puncture resistant protection, such as head shields, the Safety Board reiterated to the RSPA, AAR, and FRA safety recommendations that called for a testing and evaluation program to develop head shield protection for the aluminum tank cars and requirements for the installation of the head shield. The recommendations (R-85-61, R-85-63, and R-85-64, originally issued as a result of the 1983 accident involving fuming nitric acid at Denver), were reiterated because testing being done by the FRA, in response to the recommendations, and rulemaking action to implement tank car head puncture protection had not been completed. Safety Recommendations R-85-61 and -64 to the RSPA and FRA, respectively, remain classified as "Open--Acceptable Response" pending issuance by the RSPA of a final rule from Docket HM-175A, Specifications for Tank Car Tanks (discussed in appendix G). Safety Recommendation R-85-63 to the AAR is classified as "Open--Acceptable Response" pending issuance of car interchange rules requiring head shields for aluminum tank cars.

In its report on the Helena accident, the Safety Board also expressed concern regarding the methods that have been used by the DOT agencies to evaluate the performance of tank cars carrying hazardous materials because the methods used have been the basis for determining the safety standards of tank cars and, thereby, the protection provided to hazardous materials (NTSB 1989). The changes made by the RSPA between 1977 and 1989, in the regulations that provided protection to hazardous materials by tank cars, primarily were made in response to specific safety problems identified through the investigations of individual tank car accidents. The Safety Board believes that the DOT should establish safety standards based on a safety analysis that considers the severity of the danger to public safety posed by the release of hazardous materials and that identifies the level of protection necessary to provide an acceptable level of risk. As a result of the Helena accident, the Safety Board issued the following safety recommendation to the RSPA:

R-89-80

> Evaluate present safety standards for tank cars transporting hazardous materials by using safety analysis methods to identify the unacceptable levels of risk and the degree of risk from the release of a hazardous material, then modify existing regulations to achieve an acceptable level of safety for each product/tank car combination.

On June 13, 1990, the DOT replied that a working group, comprising representatives of the RSPA and the FRA, has developed a course of action to address the Safety Board's concerns: a safety analysis will be initiated using "deterministic risk analysis methods" to classify high-risk materials and to analyze postaccident histories. Upon completion of the effort, the RSPA and the FRA will review the results of the analysis to determine if rulemaking action is necessary to shift the transport of hazardous materials to improved tank cars. Based on the response from the DOT, the Safety Board classified Safety Recommendation R-89-80 as "Open--Acceptable Response." The need for evaluating present safety standards for tank cars that transport hazardous materials is so important that the Safety Board has placed Safety Recommendation R-89-80 to the DOT on its "Most Wanted" list of safety improvements.[18]

While the Safety Board is extremely concerned about the level of protection that is provided by tank cars that transport materials that are potentially hazardous to human life and property, the Board is also concerned about the level of protection provided to the hazardous materials that can harm the environment. The potential harm to humans through deleterious effects on the environment is illustrated by the accidents in Livingston,

---

[18] In October 1990, the Safety Board adopted a program to identify the "Most Wanted" safety improvements. The purpose of the Safety Board's "Most Wanted" list, which is drawn up from safety recommendations previously issued, is to bring special emphasis to the safety issues the Board deems most critical.

Louisiana (involving perchloroethylene, 1982); Jackson, South Carolina (involving cyclohexane, 1985); and Elberton, Georgia (involving xylene, 1988). According to the AAR, the railroad industry has recognized this issue and, in conjunction with the chemical and tank car industries, is developing a "quantitative risk assessment methodology" that incorporates chemical risks to the environment as well as other risks. The industries have also developed a list of hazardous materials that, because of their potential to contaminate soil and ground water, would be candidates for early action for improved packaging. Perchloroethylene, cyclohexane, and xylene are included in the list; however, action for improved packaging has not been initiated. Further, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has identified perchloroethylene and xylene as being among the hazardous materials most likely to cause a serious threat to human health and has banned land disposal of materials contaminated with perchloroethylene, xylene, and cyclohexane.[19] Because the release of hazardous materials can also threaten health through contamination of the environment, the Safety Board urges the DOT to consider environmental hazards when conducting its deterministic risk analysis.

Action Needed

The Safety Board is concerned that dangerous materials are being transported in tank cars without puncture protection, thermal protection, and/or the benefit of thicker shells. The July 22, 1989, derailment of a CSX freight train near Freeland, Michigan, is yet another example of the importance of transporting hazardous materials in tank cars with adequate protection. Six of the tank cars involved in the derailment contained hazardous materials: styrene monomer, acrylic acid, and acrylonitrile (all of which can polymerize and become explosive), petroleum naptha (a flammable liquid), and chlorosilane compounds (a flammable and corrosive liquid). Three of the six tank cars released their products: acrylic acid and chlorosilane compounds (from a DOT-111A and a DOT-105, respectively, that sustained head punctures), and petroleum naptha (from a DOT-111A that sustained a side puncture). The products released from the tank cars ignited, and the fire burned for several days; the mixture of chlorosilanes was especially difficult to extinguish once it ignited. The accident resulted in the evacuation of about 1,000 residents for 7 days; 11 persons were treated for injuries.

None of the six tank cars was equipped with a head shield, nor were the tank cars required by safety regulations to be equipped with head shields to transport these products. Nevertheless, except for the petroleum naptha, most of the materials posed multiple hazards. At the time this report was written, the report on the Freeland accident had not been adopted by the Safety Board; therefore, no conclusions can be drawn. However, the Freeland accident illustrates that hazardous materials are still being transported in DOT-111A tank cars with protection that is inadequate for the dangers posed to the public by the materials.

---

[19] 52 FR 12866-12874 (1987), 53 FR 41280-41285 (1988), and 40 CFR 268.35(a).

Rulemaking activity for tank cars is currently underway by the RSPA: Performance-Oriented Packaging Standards (Docket HM-181, discussed in appendix F), and Specifications for Tank Car Tanks (Docket HM-175A, discussed in appendix G). Both rulemaking actions address the protection needed for some hazardous materials now being transported in DOT-111A tank cars. Additional rulemaking will probably be needed after the DOT completes its deterministic risk analysis (in response to Safety Recommendation R-89-80). However, the Safety Board is concerned that it may take several years until final rules are issued as a result of Docket HM-175A and even longer until final rules are issued in response to Safety Recommendation R-89-80. Thus, the Safety Board is concerned that, in the interim, many hazardous materials that pose severe threats to public safety will continue to be transported in tank cars with inadequate protection.

Following its investigation of the 1985 derailment at Jackson, South Carolina, the Safety Board issued Safety Recommendation R-85-105 to the RSPA to require that all tank car shipments of hazardous materials with an isolation radius of 1/2 mile or more, as recommended by the U.S. Department of Transportation Emergency Response Guidebook, be transported in tank cars equipped with head shield or full tank head protection (NTSB 1985b). However, in its 1986 reply to the safety recommendation, the RSPA pointed out that head protection might be beneficial for tank cars carrying a broader class of hazardous materials. Further, the RSPA staff has also indicated to the Safety Board that many products listed in the DOT Emergency Response Guidebook as requiring a 1/2-mile evacuation radius do not really require greater protection than that provided by DOT-111A tank cars. In its latest reply, dated April 1990, the RSPA indicated that advanced notice of proposed rulemaking (Docket HM-175A) addresses head shield protection for new and existing tank cars that are used to transport critical hazardous materials such as flammable gases, certain non-flammable gases, reactive materials, and materials that are poisonous by inhalation. (These products currently may be transported in DOT-111A tank cars.) The RSPA indicates that it expects to issue a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for Docket HM-175A, in the summer 1991. Safety Recommendation R-85-105 is currently classified as "Open--Acceptable Response."

The Safety Board recognizes there is some merit in RSPA's position that use of the 1/2-mile-radius criteria (per the DOT Emergency Response Guidebook) may not be the most appropriate means to determine which hazardous materials need to be provided full head shield and thermal protection. The Safety Board believes that fulfilling the intent of Safety Recommendation R-89-80, which asks that the RSPA conduct a safety analysis, is the most appropriate way to determine how to properly protect hazardous materials for shipment by rail tank cars.

However, because of the substantial amount of time that will be required to fulfill the intent of Safety Recommendation R-89-80, the Safety Board believes that immediate action is needed to identify the most harmful materials (those that pose the greatest consequences) and to have these materials transported in stronger tank cars that are protected by head shields and thermal jackets. The RSPA believes, and the Safety Board agrees, that using the 1/2-mile-radius criteria in the DOT Emergency Response

Guidebook is not the most appropriate method to determine the products that require greater protection than is provided by DOT-111A tank cars. Therefore, the Safety Board classifies R-85-105 as "Closed--Acceptable Action/Superseded" by Safety Recommendation R-91-11, and urges the RSPA, in cooperation with the FRA, AAR, Chemical Manufacturers Association, the American Petroleum Institute, and the National Fire Protection Association, to establish a working group to expeditiously improve the packaging of the more dangerous products (such as those that are highly flammable or toxic, or pose a health hazard through contamination of the environment) by (a) developing a list of hazardous materials that should be transported only in pressure tank cars with head shield protection and thermal protection (if needed); and (b) establishing a working agreement to ship the listed hazardous materials in tank cars that provide adequate protection. Companion recommendations are being issued to the FRA (R-91-12), the AAR (R-91-14), the Chemical Manufacturers Association (R-91-19), the American Petroleum Institute (R-91-20), and the National Fire Protection Association (R-91-21).

Another issue of concern to the Safety Board is damage to tank car fittings. Of the 84 DOT-111A tank cars involved in the 45 cases investigated during the study, 22 (26 percent) sustained fitting damage (see table 3). Damage occurred at many different locations, including, but not limited to, top and/or bottom nozzle outlets, manway covers, induction pipe, and measuring stick aperture. Of the DOT-105, -112, and -114 tank cars, 3 of the 61 tank cars (5 percent) involved in the 45 cases sustained fitting damage: one DOT-105 released product from top outlets, one DOT-112 released product from a packing gland, and another DOT-112 released product from unspecified fitting damage.[20] For all the tank cars with fitting damage, there was no definitive fitting location that could be consistently identified for a specific safety correction.

Although the data are not statistically representative, the greater number of fittings damaged among the DOT-111A tank cars suggests that they may be more susceptible to damage than fittings of the better protected DOT-105, -112, and -114 tank cars. The Safety Board will continue to examine fitting damage in future accident investigations to determine the extent of the problem and whether a specific safety correction may be appropriate.

---

[20] Bottom outlets are prohibited on DOT-105 and -112 tank cars but are optional on DOT-114 tank cars (49 CFR 179.101-1).

EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLANNING FOR RAILROAD ACCIDENTS INVOLVING HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

The Need for Emergency Response Planning Between Railroads and Communities

For over a decade, the Safety Board has been concerned with emergency response management of railroad accidents involving hazardous materials. Between 1977 and 1987, the Safety Board investigated several railroad accidents and incidents involving hazardous materials in which the lack of adequate written emergency response plans and the lack of practice with the emergency response procedures between the railroads and the community presented major safety problems.[21] In these accidents/incidents, the lack of planning (a) hindered efforts made by the community response personnel to handle the emergency and to minimize the risk to the public, (b) increased the severity of the damage or consequences resulting from the accident, and/or (c) lengthened the duration of the evacuation period and disruption to businesses.

As a result of problems seen in its investigation of the 1977 accident in Rockingham, North Carolina, the Safety Board conducted a special investigation to address on-scene coordination among agencies at hazardous materials accidents. Based on the findings of the special investigation (NTSB 1979), the Safety Board recommended that the DOT develop and disseminate guidelines for planning emergency response to transportation accidents involving hazardous materials; the plan should address the on-scene command structure, establishment of a command post and communications, the structure of coordination of efforts, and control of access to the accident site. In the recommendation (Safety Recommendation I-79-5), the Board also asked that the DOT clearly identify the responsibilities of the responding Federal, State, local, and private agencies.

Two DOT agencies took action in response to the recommendation. In August 1980, the RSPA completed a study entitled "A Community Model for Handling Hazardous Material Transportation Emergencies," which includes a users manual for small communities and rural areas to conduct risk assessments. In September 1980, the Federal Highway Administration published "Guidelines for Applying Criteria To Designate Routes for Transporting Hazardous Materials." Further, in July 1981, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) published "Planning Guide and Checklist for Hazardous Materials Contingency Plans." FEMA also contracted with the International Association of Fire Chiefs to prepare the planning guide "Disaster Planning Guidelines for Fire Chiefs." Based on the actions taken

---

[21] The events occurred in Rockingham, North Carolina (1977); Crestview, Florida (1979); Sommerville, Massachusetts (1980); Livingston, Louisiana (1982); North Little Rock, Arkansas (1984); Elkhart, Indiana (1985); Pine Bluff, Arkansas (1985); Miamisburg, Ohio (1986); and New Orleans, Louisiana (1987).

by the Federal agencies, the Safety Board classified Safety Recommendation I-79-5 as "Closed--Acceptable Action" on August 11, 1982.

Despite the actions taken by the Federal agencies to develop and publish guidelines addressing on-scene coordination for emergency response, the Safety Board continued to see problems related to the lack of planning for emergency response between communities and railroads. In 1985, in its special investigation report on railroad yard safety, the Board addressed the need for coordinated emergency response planning for railroad yards, through which pass a high volume of hazardous materials and where the release of the materials pose great threats to public safety (NTSB 1985c). The special investigation identified many accidents/incidents in which the coordination needed to handle the emergency was inadequate and in which the inadequacy resulted from a lack of planning and joint disaster drills between the railroad and emergency response personnel. Based on its special investigation, on June 6, 1985, the Safety Board issued the following safety recommendation to all railroads that operate rail yards:

R-85-53

> In coordination with communities adjacent to your railroad yards, develop and implement emergency planning and response procedures for handling releases of hazardous materials. These procedures should address, at a minimum, initial notification procedures, response actions for the safe handling of releases of the various types of hazardous materials transported, identification of key contact personnel, conduct of emergency drills and exercises, and identification of the resources to be provided and the actions to be taken by the railroad and the community.

Of the 54 railroads that received the recommendation, 9 no longer exist because of mergers or other corporate changes and 29 did not respond to the Safety Board:[22]

Alton & Southern Railroad Company
Atlanta & Saint Andrews Bay Railway Company
Bangor and Aroostock Railroad Company
Belt Railway Company of Chicago
Bessemer and Lake Erie Railroad Company
Boston and Maine Corporation
Colorado and Southern Railway Company
Duluth, Missabe and Iron Range Railway Company
Florida East Coast Railway Company
Grand Trunk Western Railroad Company

---

[22] The railroads that no longer exist are: Chessie System; Clinchfield Railroad Co.; Detroit, Toledo, and Short Line Railroad Co.; Ft. Worth and Denver Railway Co; Georgia Railroad; Illinois Terminal Railroad Company; Norfolk Franklin and Danville Railway Co.; Seaboard System Railroad, Inc., and Washington Terminal Company.

Green Bay and Western Railroad Company
Kansas City Southern Railway Company
Lake Superior & Ishpeming Railroad Company
Maine Central Railroad Company
Milwaukee Road
Minneapolis, Northfield and Southern Railroad Company
Monogahela Railway Company
Norfolk and Portsmouth Belt Line Railroad Company
Norfolk and Western Railway Company
Pittsburg & Shawmut Railroad Company
Pittsburgh and Lake Erie Railroad Company
Soo Line Railroad Company
Southern Pacific Transportation Company
Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis
Texas Mexican Railway Company
Toledo, Peoria & Western Railway Company
Union Pacific Railroad Company
Union Railroad Company
Vermont Railway, Inc.

Only 16 railroads responded; the status of the recommendation, based on the response of each rail carrier, is as follows:

Railroad	Status
Alaska Railroad Corp.	Closed--Acceptable Action
Atchinson, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Co.	Closed--Acceptable Action
Burlington Northern Railroad Company	Closed--Acceptable Action
Cambria and Indiana Railroad Co.	Closed--Reconsidered[23]
CSX Transportation, Inc.	Open--Acceptable Response
Chicago and Illinois Midland Railroad Co.	Closed--Acceptable Action
Chicago and North Western Transportation Co.	Open--Acceptable Response
Consolidated Rail Corporation	Open--Response Received
Delaware and Hudson Valley Railway Co.	Open--Acceptable Response
Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Co.	Open--Acceptable Response
Detroit and Mackinac Railway Co.	Open--Acceptable Response
Elgin, Joliet and Eastern Railway Co.	Open--Response Received
Illinois Central Railroad Company	Open--Acceptable Response
Indiana Harbor Belt Railroad Co.	Closed--Acceptable Action
Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Co.	Open--Unacceptable Response
Richmond, Fredericksburg & Potomoc Railroad Co.	Closed--Acceptable Action

[23] Cambria and Indiana Railroad responded that it did not transport any hazardous materials. Based on this information, the Safety Board classified the Safety Recommendation R-85-53 to the railroad as "Closed--Reconsidered."

Only 6 of the 54 railroads that operate rail yards indicated that they have been in contact with communities to develop and implement emergency planning and response procedures. Consequently, the Safety Board believes that action is still needed between most railroads that operate rail yards and the communities in which the yards are located.

The Safety Board has also addressed its concerns about the need for emergency response planning to non-Federal agencies. In 1985, as a result of a derailment at Murdock, Illinois, the Safety Board urged the International Association of Fire Chiefs (IAFC), the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP), and the International Society of Fire Service Instructors (ISFSI) to notify their members that evacuation zones may need to be larger than the initial distances recommended in the DOT Emergency Response Guidebook for Hazardous Materials Incidents because parts of tank cars carrying liquids or gases may be propelled a distance far beyond the recommended evacuation zone; thus a larger evacuation zone may be necessary to protect against injury (Safety Recommendation I-85-15).[24] Based on the actions taken by the IACP and ISFSI to notify their members, the Safety Board classified Safety Recommendation I-85-15 to those organizations as "Closed--Acceptable Action." In its 1989 response, the IAFC stated it had notified its members and had also requested that DOT revise the distances in the guidebook. The DOT revised the "protective action" distances in the guidebook, which was distributed to IAFC members. Based on the action taken, the Safety Board classifies Safety Recommendation I-85-15 to the IAFC as "Closed--Acceptable Action."

In 1988, the Safety Board recommended that the National League of Cities (NLC) (a) advise its membership of events of the 1987 hazardous materials accident in New Orleans, Louisiana, in which butadiene leaked from a tank car and ignited (NTSB 1988), and (b) urge its membership to develop and implement, in coordination with rail yard management, emergency response procedures for handling releases of hazardous materials from tank cars (Safety Recommendation R-88-69). In September 1989, the Board sent a followup letter to the NLC. No response was received.

The Safety Board is concerned that so few of the railroads that were recipients of Safety Recommendation R-85-53 have acted in a positive manner. Likewise, the Safety Board is concerned that the NLC has not responded to Safety Recommendation R-88-69, especially because the Board learned in its investigations of the 45 cases that many communities and the railroads that operate trains carrying hazardous materials through those communities either do not have proper emergency response plans or are not properly exercising the plans.

---

[24] After the accident, which occurred on September 2, 1983, a tank car loaded with flammable compressed gas exploded and rocketed 3,630 feet from the derailment site. That distance is nearly 1,000 feet beyond the 1/2-mile evacuation zone recommended in the DOT Emergency Response Guidebook. Safety Recommendation I-85-15 was issued in a letter dated April 19, 1985, to the IAFC, the IACP, and the ISFSI.

In at least 21 of the 45 cases (47 percent), the incident commander did not have a hazardous materials emergency response plan to follow (table 4). In these accidents, the decisions of emergency response personnel to evacuate were generally based on their visual observation of the accident sites and on various emergency response guidebooks published by Federal or State agencies. In 9 of the 45 cases, personnel responding to the emergency did not use an emergency response plan because either evacuations were not conducted or the emergency was resolved quickly.[25] Emergency response plans were followed in 15 of the 45 cases.

Major problems did not occur in most of the cases in which the incident commander relied on various emergency response guidebooks. However, the value of an emergency response plan is illustrated by the 1988 accident in Punta Gorda, Florida.

Punta Gorda, Florida. On March 10, 1988, 40 cars in a Seminole Gulf Railway, Inc., freight train derailed in Punta Gorda, Florida. One of the derailed cars, a covered hopper car, contained ammonium nitrate (an oxidizer). Because the product was potentially explosive, and two tank cars containing liquified petroleum gas (a flammable gas) were in the immediate area, local authorities ordered a precautionary evacuation of 300 persons in the vicinity of the derailment.

The local community did not have an emergency response plan, and the railroad and local emergency response agencies had not previously participated in any planning activity to prepare for an emergency. No one answered a published telephone number for the railroad, which is usually call-forwarded to the railroad agent's residence after the close of business, and the railroad had not published an emergency telephone number. Consequently, the local fire chief did not know how to contact the railroad to obtain information about the ammonium nitrate. Unable to obtain information from the railroad, local fire officials used the 1987 Federal Emergency Guidelines for Hazardous Materials (DOT P5800.4) to contact CHEMTREC[26] for information. Fire officials were unable to supply CHEMTREC with the name of the shipper or consignee as CHEMTREC required because the railroad could not be reached to provide the necessary information. As a result, CHEMTREC did not initially respond to the fire department's request for information. Based on its investigation, the Safety Board concluded

---

[25] For example, the leak of hazardous materials from the fitting on a standing tank car, which was quickly stopped.

[26] CHEMTREC, the Chemical Transportation Emergency Center, is operated by the Chemical Manufacturers Association. The Center was established to provide initial and immediate information on handling hazardous materials and other chemicals.

Table 4.--Occurrence of evacuations and community emergency response plans in accidents/incidents investigated March 1988 to February 1989 during the safety study, and occurrence of emergency response planning and disaster drills between railroad personnel and emergency response agencies, by location and type of accident

Event number	Location of accident	Railroad	Type of accident	Evacuation conducted	Documented plans	Planning activity	Disaster drills
1	Claude, TX	BN	Derailment	N	--	N	N
2	Punta Gorda, FL	SGLR	Derailment	Y	N	N	N
3	Pasco, WA	BN	Derailment	N	--	Y	N
4	Jeffersonville, IN	CR	Standing car	N	--	--	--
5	Wilmington, CA	UP	Standing car	N	Y	--	N
6	Roodhouse, IL	CMNW	Derailment	Y	N	N	N
7	Denver, CO	UP	Standing car	N	--	--	--
8	Gulfport, MS	MSRC	Derailment	Y	Y	Y	Y
9	Sheridan, WI	WC	Derailment	Y	N	N	N
10	Las Vegas, NV	UP	Standing car	N	Y	Y	Y
11	Columbus, OH	CSX	Derailment	N	N	N	Y
12	Crofton, KY	CSX	Derailment	Y	Y	Y	N
13	Deer Park, TX	PTRA	Standing car	N	--	--	--
14	Farnum, NB	BN	Grade crossing	N	--	--	--
15	White Bluff, TN	CSX	Derailment	Y[a]	N	Y	N
16	Altoona, IA	IAIS	Collision	Y	Y	--	--
17	Umbarger, TX	ATSF	Standing car	Y	N	N	N
18	Ohiopyle, PA	CSX	Derailment	Y	N	N	N
19	Brazoria, TX	UP	Derailment	Y	Y	Y	Y
20	Loudonville, OH	CR	Derailment	Y	N	Y	N
21	Elsberry, MO	BN	Derailment	Y	N	N	N
22	Elberton, GA	CSX	Derailment	Y	Y	N	N
23	Elm Grove, WI	SOO	Derailment	Y	Y	Y	Y
24	Athens, GA	CSX	Derailment	Y	N	--	--
25	Memphis, TN	IC	Standing car	Y	Y	Y	N
26	Jacksonville, FL	CSX	Derailment	Y	Y	Y	Y
27	Summit, IL	IC	Derailment	Y	N	N	Y
28	Rineyville, KY	PAL	Derailment	Y	N	Y	N
29	Easley, SC	NS	Derailment	Y	N	N	N
30	Pearl, IL	CMNW	Derailment	N	--	--	--
31	Morganza, LA	LA	Derailment	Y	N	N	N
32	Newcastle, CA	SP	Derailment	Y	N	N	N
33	Lyndon Station, WI	SOO	Derailment	Y	N	Y	Y
34	Bangor, AL	CSX	Derailment	Y	N	N	N
35	Lanagan, MO	KCS	Derailment	Y	N	N	N
36	Fruitvale, TX	UP	Derailment	Y	N	N	N
37	Palmyra, MO	BN	Standing car	N	--	--	Y
38	Edison, NJ	CR	Standing car	Y	Y	Y	N
39	Flagstaff, AZ	ATSF	Derailment	Y	Y	Y	Y
40	Bonners Ferry, ID	UP	Standing car	Y	Y	N	N
41	Helena, MT	MRL	Collision	Y	Y	N	N
42	Kansas City, KS	ATSF	Standing car	N	--	--	--
43	Manteca, CA	SP	Derailment	Y	N	--	--
44	Bordulac, ND	SOO	Derailment	Y	N	N	N
45	Akron, OH	CSX	Derailment	Y	Y	Y	N

-- = Not applicable, or railroad did not answer Safety Board inquiry; Y = Yes; N = No.

[a] Self-evacuated.

that had the community had an emergency response plan that listed an emergency number for the railroad, the problems experienced by responding personnel in obtaining information about the hazardous materials could have been avoided.[27]

As a result of this accident, the Safety Board issued Safety Recommendation R-89-29 to the American Shortline Railroad Association (ASLRA) asking that member railroads be urged to maintain a 24-hour telephone number and a point of contact in the event of an emergency. Based on the action taken by the ASLRA to advise its members of the recommendation, the Board classified R-89-29 as "Closed--Acceptable Action" on May 29, 1990. As a result of this recommendation, the Safety Board believes that communities with a written emergency response plan are more likely to have reliable information (including telephone numbers) to use in the event of an accident involving hazardous materials. However, the Safety Board remains concerned that communities without such a plan may experience similar problems to those that occurred in the Punta Gorda accident.

The accident in Helena, Montana, illustrates the importance of considering all the potential complications that could affect a community's ability to effectively handle the emergency.

Helena, Montana. During the emergency response to the February 2, 1989, accident in Helena, Montana, the incident commander was unable to effectively exercise control over the multiple command posts established, some responding agencies were unaware that a centralized command center had been established or that an incident commander had been designated, and some responding agencies could not coordinate their activities.[28] As a result of its investigation of the accident, the Safety Board concluded that the hazardous materials emergency response plan used by the city of Helena did not provide for adequate coordination between participating agencies, did not define the role of the participating agencies or the duties and authority of the incident commander, and did not provide for training of personnel to implement the plan (NTSB 1989). The Safety Board issued several site specific safety recommendations to correct deficiencies noted.[29]

---

[27] Since the accident, CHEMTREC has implemented new procedures that allow the emergency center to provide product information to emergency response personnel in the early minutes of an emergency even when the railroad, the shipper, or the consignee cannot be located or identified.

[28] A summary of the accident appears in the section "Performance of DOT-111A Tank Cars Involved in Accidents."

[29] The current classifications are as follows: Safety Recommendations R-89-84, -85, and -87 to the city of Helena are "Open--Acceptable Response"; R-89-86 to the city of Helena is "Closed--Acceptable Action"; and R-89-88 to the State of Montana and R-89-89 to the Lewis and Clark Disaster Emergency Services are "Open--Await Response." Followup letters were sent to the State of Montana and the Lewis and Clark Disaster Emergency Services on May 7, 1991.

In the cases in which the incident commander followed emergency response plans, the plans contributed to the effectiveness of the emergency response. The benefit of written emergency response plans is illustrated by the accident at Elberton, Georgia.

Elberton, Georgia. Emergency agencies of Elbert County, in which Elberton is located, were notified immediately after the August 8, 1988, derailment.[30] Within 10 minutes, personnel from the responding fire department made contact with the train's conductor, who supplied the fire department with information about the hazardous materials. The evacuation followed the guidelines of the Elberton-Elbert County Emergency Operations Plan.

The investigation of the accident concluded that the effective and efficient emergency response, which followed the emergency response plan, limited the number of persons who would have been exposed to the potential harmful effects of the product xylene (which had been released from damaged tank cars) had the product ignited, and also limited the number of injuries resulting from exposure to the xylene.

The accidents in Punta Gorda, Florida; Helena, Montana; and Elberton, Georgia, provide examples of the importance of having a coordinated, well-managed response to an accident involving a release of hazardous materials. In at least 19 of the 45 cases (42 percent), the local incident commanders and the railroads had not been in contact before the accidents to plan actions to take in the event of a train accident involving hazardous materials (see table 4).

Rail carriers transport a variety of hazardous materials that, if released, pose great threats to public safety of the communities along their routes. The ability of community response agencies to respond effectively to a railroad accident involving hazardous materials depends on the adequacy of the information that is available to them. Development of a written emergency response plan is the most efficient means to ensure that the incident commander (whose role it is to coordinate the emergency response) has the information needed to respond effectively, whether the accidents involve a single, standing tank car or many tank cars scattered over a large area and posing multiple hazards. The incident commander should be knowledgeable of the content of the community emergency response plan, which should include up-to-date information on items such as key railroad personnel and means of contact, procedures to identify the hazardous materials being transported, identification of resources for technical assistance that may be needed during the response effort, and procedures for coordination of activities between railroad officials and emergency response agencies after an accident. In addition, rail carriers that routinely transport hazardous materials through communities have a responsibility to provide to the community current information that would enable the community to establish

---

[30] A summary of the accident appears in the section "Performance of DOT-111A Tank Cars Involved in Accidents."

appropriate emergency response procedures to cope with a release of, or fire or explosion involving, hazardous materials.

In a similar manner, the railroad's emergency response plan should document appropriate and up-to-date information from the community, including the identification of the local emergency response personnel for hazardous materials emergencies, sources of specialized equipment (such as foam equipment) within the local area, and resource capabilities of the local emergency response agencies and organizations. However, results of the last official survey on emergency response planning reported by the FEMA and conducted by the FRA hazardous materials staff in October 1986 indicate that only 110 of 408 operating railroads responding to the survey have published emergency response plans that address railroad accidents/incidents involving hazardous materials. (About 100 additional railroads did not respond or were not surveyed.) Because most railroads handle at least some hazardous materials, these data suggest that many of the operating railroads that responded to the survey have not addressed the issue of the safe transport of hazardous materials in published emergency response plans.

Drills Of Simulated Emergencies

It is important for railroad personnel and local emergency response organizations to exercise or "test" the procedures outlined in a documented emergency response plan. A joint, full-scale disaster drill of a simulated emergency could identify any shortcomings in the plan and would better prepare responding personnel for emergencies involving hazardous materials. In at least 26 of the 45 cases (58 percent), the local emergency response coordinators and railroad personnel had not participated in joint disaster drills (see table 4). The accidents in Akron, Ohio, and in Elm Grove, Wisconsin, illustrate the positive effects of disaster drills. The accident in Akron also illustrates the need for disaster drills with railroad and emergency response personnel.

Akron, Ohio. On February 26, 1989, 21 freight cars in a CSX train derailed in a rail yard in Akron, Ohio. Of the 21 cars, 9 were tank cars filled with butane (a flammable gas); these tank cars came to rest adjacent to a B.F. Goodrich Chemical Company plant. Butane, released from two breached tank cars, immediately caught fire; some of the butane burned for 5 days before the fire could be extinguished. About 1,750 residents were evacuated from the area. As a result of the accident, 5 emergency response personnel received minor injuries, and 50 residents and passersby were treated for complaints of coughing, conjunctivitis, eye irritation, and anxiety. Damage to the freight cars was estimated at $521,000; damage to the chemical plant was estimated at $1 million.

The Akron fire department and the B.F. Goodrich Chemical Company had participated in disaster drills and planning for an emergency. Fire department personnel responded to the emergency situation at the chemical plant in a well-organized manner: the fire department knew the potential hazards at the plant and the persons to contact, and communications and coordination between fire department and plant personnel were efficient. In

contrast, the communications and coordination between the fire department and railroad personnel in the early stages of the emergency response were not well organized: inadequate communications between emergency response personnel and railroad personnel about vital information regarding the tank cars and hazardous materials involved in the derailment resulted in a delay for the emergency response personnel in obtaining timely information needed to attack the fire. Based on its investigation, the Safety Board concluded that the inadequate communications may have resulted, in part, from the lack of jointly conducted disaster drills between city agencies and the railroad (NTSB 1990).

As a result of its investigation, the Safety Board recommended that the CSX should complete, as soon as possible, drills for handling releases of hazardous materials with all communities through which CSX operates trains transporting hazardous materials (Safety Recommendation R-90-29). On November 15, 1990, CSX responded to the recommendation stating that since 1978, CSX had provided training for 30,000 non-company personnel. According to materials provided by CSX to the Safety Board, the current training includes classroom instruction, videotapes, and an occasional drill or "hands-on" exercise. The Safety Board stated in its reply to CSX on May 7, 1991, that although the type of training the railroad provides is useful, that type of training may not be as effective by itself as it would be in combination with drills and it therefore did not meet the intent of the recommendation. The Board also emphasized the need for joint disaster drills to bring about improvements in coordination and communication between the railroad and communities during an actual emergency. Because the CSX had not taken appropriate action, the Board classified Safety Recommendation R-90-29 as "Open--Unacceptable Response."

Elm Grove, Wisconsin. On August 10, 1988, 24 of 116 cars in a SOO Line Railroad Company freight train derailed at Elm Grove, Wisconsin. Of the derailed cars, one was a tank car loaded with isobutane (a flammable gas) and two were tank cars loaded with methanol (a flammable liquid); the tank cars did not release their products. Two other tank cars involved in the accident contained hazardous materials residue (sodium hydroxide). Emergency response personnel were immediately notified of the accident. Within 5 minutes after the accident the command post was set up, from which the actions of three fire departments were coordinated. Because of the hazards of the isobutane and methanol, emergency response personnel evacuated 300 persons from the area; the evacuation remained in effect for 30 hours until the tank cars containing hazardous materials were re-railed. Responding personnel followed the community's documented emergency response plan. In addition, railroad and emergency response personnel had participated in joint disaster drills prior to the accident. The Safety Board believes that the results of proper emergency planning, including the conduct of joint disaster drills, facilitated the management of the emergency, demonstrating the value of such planning and testing.

The severity of these accidents and the potential for catastrophic results emphasizes the importance of having an emergency response plan and the testing of the emergency response procedures.

The AAR also has recognized the need for adequate hazardous materials emergency response plans. In guidelines prepared under contract for the FRA, the AAR cited several problems addressed in Safety Board reports, including (1) a lack of coordination among governmental organizations, (2) the inability of emergency response crews to quickly obtain the description of the cargo from the shipping papers on the train, (3) a lack of sufficient involvement by railroads in the emergency response planning and preparedness of local organizations, and (4) inadequate communication between railroad and public officials at the accident site (AAR 1989). The AAR also urged railroads to coordinate their plans with local organizations so that emergency response personnel of the railroad and the local organizations will be familiar with one another's plans. In addition, the AAR believes that railroads should consider periodic drills to evaluate the emergency response capabilities of the railroads and of the State and local emergency response agencies.

Further, an Inter-Industry Task Force on the Safe Transportation of Hazardous Materials, comprising representatives of the AAR and the Chemical Manufacturers Association, has designated hazardous materials routes as routes on which railroads should focus training and assistance related to community contingency planning. (The recommended railroad operating practices for the transport of hazardous materials, based on recommendations of the Inter-Industry Task Force, are presented in appendix H).

Recent legislation also recognizes the importance of emergency preparedness for transportation accidents involving hazardous materials. The Hazardous Materials Transportation Uniform Safety Act of 1990 provides grants to States for training emergency response personnel and requires the establishment of standards in emergency preparedness for personnel responding to accidents involving the transportation of hazardous materials (see appendix E).

The Safety Board believes that the railroads have a responsibility to coordinate with communities to assist them in developing a written emergency response plan and keeping its content up-to-date. In addition, the Safety Board also believes that communities have a responsibility to their citizens to contact the railroads to obtain the information needed for developing a comprehensive emergency response plan and for keeping its content current.

Action Needed

The continuation of problems related to the lack of coordinated emergency response planning as seen in the accidents investigated by the Safety Board indicates that not all communities and railroads have taken the necessary actions to adequately plan for hazardous materials emergencies in rail yards and along hazardous materials routes. Accordingly, the Board

classifies Safety Recommendation R-85-53 as Closed--[Various Actions]/Superseded"[31] by Safety Recommendations R-91-15 to Class I and two large regional railroads (Guilford Transportation Industries, Inc., and MidSouth Rail Corporation), and R-91-17 to the ASLRA (for local and other regional railroads), urging the railroads to develop, implement, and keep current, in coordination with communities adjacent to the railroad yards and along hazardous materials routes, written emergency response plans and procedures for handling releases of hazardous materials. The procedures should address, at a minimum, key railroad personnel and means of contact, procedures to identify the hazardous materials being transported, identification of resources for technical assistance that may be needed during the response effort, procedures for coordination of activities between railroad and emergency response personnel, and the conduct of disaster drills or other appropriate methods to test emergency response plans.

The Safety Board also believes that the NLC, National Association of Counties, IAFC, IACP, and the National Sheriffs' Association should encourage their members to (a) develop, implement, and keep current, in coordination with each other and the railroads, written emergency response plans and procedures for handling releases of hazardous materials; and (b) urge the incident commanders to stay knowledgeable of the written content. Accordingly, the Board classifies Safety Recommendation R-88-69 to the NLC as "Closed--Unacceptable Action--No Response Received/Superseded" by Safety Recommendation R-91-22 asking that these actions be taken by the organizations named above.

---

[31] Based on the current status of the recommendation issued to the individual railroads and indicated in the tabulation in the section "The Need for Emergency Response Planning Between Railroads and Communities."

RAILROAD EMPLOYEE TRAINING FOR HAZARDOUS MATERIALS EMERGENCIES

Emergency response planning between railroads and the community, discussed in the previous section, is but one aspect of preparedness for hazardous materials emergencies. Another aspect is the training needed by railroad employees who operate trains transporting hazardous materials and who must take appropriate actions immediately after an accident that involves hazardous materials.

The Need for Improved Railroad Employee Training

The Safety Board first addressed the need for improved railroad employee training for emergencies in its report about the 1975 accident involving the collision of three passenger trains in Wilmington, Delaware (NTSB 1976). In its 1980 report of a special study on railroad emergency procedures, a composite of 10 accidents involving hazardous materials investigated between 1970 and 1980, the Safety Board issued recommendations urging the FRA to develop and establish guidelines for procedures to be used by railroad personnel in the event of an emergency, and to require that rail carriers test their emergency response procedures using simulated emergencies (Safety Recommendations R-80-6 and -7) (NTSB 1980b). In the 1980 special study report, the Safety Board also reiterated a similar recommendation (R-76-29), issued to the FRA in 1976 as a result of the passenger train collision in Wilmington, to address railroad employee training for emergencies. Because the FRA did not take action, in June 1986, the Board classified Safety Recommendations R-76-29, R-80-6, and R-80-7 as "Closed--Unacceptable Action."

After the 1980 safety study, the Safety Board continued to issue recommendations about railroad employee training to various rail carriers whose personnel were involved in hazardous materials accidents. Two such accidents--in Livingston, Louisiana, and in Miamisburg, Ohio--further illustrate the need for improved railroad employee training.

Livingston, Louisiana. The Safety Board's investigation of the September 28, 1982, accident in Livingston, Louisiana, revealed that immediately after the accident, the conductor took the train's waybills and consist with him, but he left an emergency response hazardous materials guidebook locked up in the caboose (NTSB 1983).[32] Had he provided the guidebook to emergency response personnel, it could have aided the responding personnel in identifying actions to take to manage the emergency and to protect the public. Fortunately, an off-duty State police officer arrived 45 minutes later with an emergency response guidebook. Had the officer not arrived with a guidebook, initial actions to manage the emergency could have been even further delayed. As a result of its investigation, the Safety Board recommended that the rail carrier, Illinois

---

[32] A summary of the accident appears in the section "Performance of DOT-111A Tank Cars Involved in Accidents."

Central Gulf Railroad Company (ICG), include in its training curricula thorough reviews and explanations of the timetable special instructions pertaining to the handling of hazardous materials emergencies (Safety Recommendation R-83-86, issued August 12, 1983). The ICG did not respond to the recommendation, so the Safety Board wrote the carrier again in October 1984. Because there was still no response, the Board classified the recommendation as "Closed--Unacceptable Action" and in a letter to ICG dated December 1, 1986, stated that it would reconsider the classification if the ICG had information or documentation to indicate action had been taken on the recommendation. The ICG did not respond.

Miamisburg, Ohio. On July 8, 1986, 15 cars in a Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company freight train derailed in Miamisburg, Ohio. Of the 15 cars, 2 were tank cars containing hazardous materials: yellow phosphorus (a highly flammable, solid material that ignites on contact with air and that is toxic by inhalation) and molten sulfur (a product that can produce toxic gases when burned). These tank cars were extensively damaged, released their products, and were involved in the subsequent fire. About 7,000 persons were evacuated as a safety precaution. During the next 48 hours, a 3-square-mile area was evacuated, affecting 30,000 persons; 569 persons were treated for various medical complaints during the incident. Property damage and cost of cleanup were estimated at $3.5 million.

The Safety Board concluded from its investigation that the crew's ineffective actions made it more difficult for emergency response personnel to coordinate their efforts: (a) The conductor did not dispatch a crewmember to inspect the rear of the train; consequently, he could provide emergency response personnel only limited information about the number of cars derailed and hazardous materials involved; (b) the conductor lost valuable time retrieving the waybills and reassembling them to identify all the cars in the derailment; (c) when the conductor left the locomotive, he inadvertently left behind an emergency guidebook, which contained information that could have aided emergency response personnel in immediately identifying actions to take to manage the emergency and to protect the public (NTSB 1987). As a result of the investigation, the Safety Board recommended that CSX[33] reemphasize to all operating personnel the importance of directing their initial activities following a derailment to local emergency response agencies (Safety Recommendation R-87-56). The CSX responded that it had revised its hazardous materials training schedule, emphasized the procedures spelled out in its emergency response guide, and issued bulletins addressing the CSX yard and terminal hazardous materials program. Based on the action taken by the railroad, the Safety Board classified Safety Recommendation R-87-56 as "Closed--Acceptable Action."

---

[33] At the time of the accident, the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company was a subsidiary of the Chesapeake and Ohio Railway Company. During the investigation, the B&O merged into the C&O and became CSX Transportation, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of CSX Corporation.

Results of interviews with crewmembers involved in 31 of the 45 cases indicate that 16 of 31 conductors and 15 of 31 engineers had not received any hazardous materials training apart from rules examinations (table 5). The accident at Akron, Ohio, illustrates some deficiencies in railroad employee training.

Akron, Ohio. During the investigation of the accident that occurred February 26, 1989, in Akron, Ohio,[34] CSX crewmembers stated that the only hazardous materials training they received had been provided in routine railroad operating rules class. Also, the crewmembers had not been given efficiency checks on actions to take following emergencies involving hazardous materials.

Based on its investigation, the Safety Board concluded that the failure of the traincrew to immediately contact and provide emergency response personnel with train papers and information about hazardous materials involved in the derailment, and the failure of first-arriving railroad supervisory personnel to verify that necessary information had been provided to emergency response personnel, were probably the result of inadequate instruction and training on actions to take immediately following an emergency involving hazardous materials (NTSB 1990). On September 25, 1990, the Safety Board issued the following safety recommendation to CSX:

> R-90-28
>
> Provide training, in addition to operating rules classes, to operating crews and supervisors on the actions they are to take immediately following an accident involving hazardous materials; this training should include, at a minimum, (1) the responsibility of crewmembers to identify themselves to emergency response personnel and to provide accurate information, including onboard documentation, of hazardous materials involved in the accident, (2) the responsibility of supervisory personnel to verify that emergency response personnel have all needed information and that it is accurate, and (3) the means by which supervisors are to determine if employees understand fully their responsibilities.

In a response dated November 15, 1990, the CSX outlined action it was taking as a result of the recommendation: (1) The operating rules classes for traincrews have been increased from 4 hours biennially to 8 hours annually; of the 8 hours, 3 are devoted to hazardous materials training provided by the company's hazardous materials personnel; (2) the operating rules examination for traincrews now include two specific questions that address responsibilities of traincrews to assist emergency response personnel in a hazardous materials incident; and (3) efficiency tests are to be given by company officials to determine the operating traincrews' understanding of their responsibilities to emergency response personnel.

---

[34] A summary of the accident appears in the section "Drills of Simulated Emergencies."

Table 5.--Occurrence of training related to hazardous materials emergencies provided to the conductors and engineers involved in the accidents/incidents investigated March 1988 to February 1989 during the safety study, by location of accident and railroad[a]

Event number	Location of accident	Railroad	Training for conductor	Training for engineer
1	Claude, TX	BN	N	N
2	Punta Gorda, FL	SGLR	N	Y
3	Pasco, WA	BN	N	N
4	Jeffersonville, IN	CR	n/a	n/a
5	Wilmington, CA	UP	n/a	n/a
6	Roodhouse, IL	CMNW	N	N
7	Denver, CO	UP	n/a	n/a
8	Gulfport, MS	MSRC	N	N
9	Sheridan, WI	WC	N	N
10	Las Vegas, NV	UP	n/a	n/a
11	Columbus, OH	CSX	Y	Y
12	Crofton, KY	CSX	Y	Y
13	Deer Park, TX	PTRA	n/a	n/a
14	Farnum, NB	BN	--	--
15	White Bluff, TN	CSX	N	N
16	Altoona, IA	IAIS	N(2)[b]	N(2)[b]
17	Umbarger, TX	ATSF	Y[c]	Y[c]
18	Ohiopyle, PA	CSX	Y	Y
19	Brazoria, TX	UP	Y	Y
20	Loudonville, OH	CR	Y	Y
21	Elsberry, MO	BN	Y	Y
22	Elberton, GA	CSX	--	--
23	Elm Grove, WI	SOO	Y	Y
24	Athens, GA	CSX	--	--
25	Memphis, TN	IC	n/a	n/a
26	Jacksonville, FL	CSX	--	--
27	Summit, IL	IC	N	N
28	Rineyville, KY	PAL	Y	Y
29	Easley, SC	NS	--	--
30	Pearl, IL	CMNW	N	N
31	Morganza, LA	LA	N	N
32	Newcastle, CA	SP	Y	Y
33	Lyndon Station, WI	SOO	Y	Y
34	Bangor, AL	CSX	Y	Y
35	Lanagan, MO	KCS	N	N
36	Fruitvale, TX	UP	N	N
37	Palmyra, MO	BN	n/a	n/a
38	Edison, NJ	CR	n/a	n/a
39	Flagstaff, AZ	ATSF	Y	Y
40	Bonners Ferry, ID	UP	n/a	n/a
41	Helena, MT	MRL	N	N
42	Kansas City, KS	ATSF	n/a	n/a
43	Manteca, CA	SP	Y	Y
44	Bordulac, ND	SOO	Y	Y
45	Akron, OH	CSX	N	N

-- = Railroad did not answer Safety Board inquiry; Y = Yes; N = No; n/a = not applicable (the accident/incident involved the release of hazardous materials from standing tank cars rather than from trains being operated by traincrews).

[a] Training other than that provided by the railroad in operating rules examinations.

[b] The accident/incident involved the collision of 2 trains; therefore, 2 traincrews were also involved.

[c] The accident was categorized as a standing car accident; it involved hazardous materials in a standing train with traincrew on board.

The Safety Board is pleased that CSX is taking action to improve its employee training program. However, in a reply to CSX on May 7, 1991, the Safety Board highlighted the need for the railroad to train supervisors on their responsibilities to verify that emergency response personnel have complete and accurate information after a hazardous materials incident, and to determine if railroad personnel fully understand their individual responsibilities. The Safety Board also expressed concern about the effectiveness of previous efforts taken by the rail carrier to implement an improved training program for train crewmembers. (The efforts taken by the carrier were in response to Safety Recommendation R-87-56, issued as a result of the Miamisburg, Ohio, accident. Those efforts are described earlier in this section.) The Safety Board consequently requested additional information about the CSX hazardous materials training program, including a description of subject matter covered, the method of instruction, evaluation of the employees' understanding of the subject material, and plans for hazardous materials training specific to supervisory personnel. Based on the positive actions taken by the railroad, and pending additional information on the training program, the Board has classified Safety Recommendation R-90-28 as "Open--Acceptable Response."

Types of Training Provided to Railroad Employees

Discussions between Safety Board staff and personnel of several railroads, and evidence from the Safety Board's accident investigations, indicate that the type of training currently provided to employees varies substantially among rail carriers and sometimes varies within the same company. Generally, much of the information provided to railroad employees is through the company's operating rules and timetables.[35] The rulebooks are publications issued by the railroad, and they include a list of the responsibilities and procedures that traincrews are to follow in a hazardous materials emergency. Although the FRA requires that railroads file their operating rules with the agency (49 CFR Part 217), the Federal rule does not identify any specific requirements regarding instruction in hazardous materials safety or procedures.[36] Each rail carrier, therefore, determines the types of information its employees are to be provided in the rulebook. Training provided by the carrier may include any or all of these elements as a part of the information provided to employees: classroom instruction on operating rules, procedures, and Federal regulations; efficiency checks,

---

[35] Timetables often include safety information about hazardous materials including, but not limited to, placarding, emergency procedures, switching procedures, and other company rules.

[36] The FRA rule requires railroads to have a general program of periodic instruction, operational tests, and inspections. The railroads with more than 40,000 total employee hours are required to report annually a summary of the number, type, and result of each operational test and inspection by operating division and per 10,000 train miles. The rule does not specify any specific hazardous materials program of instruction, operational tests, or inspections.

tests, and examinations; videotapes; and simulations and drills. Railroads require that employees be given a test on the information, termed a "rules examination." Most railroads offer a review class to help employees prepare for a rules examination; the class is often held the same day as the test to minimize time away from work. The railroad determines the frequency of the rules examination; generally the examination is given annually.

After the 1986 Miamisburg, Ohio, accident, the railroad (CSX) made efforts to improve its training program for employees. However, the actions of the CSX traincrew immediately after the 1989 Akron accident illustrated that, despite the railroad's efforts, traincrews needed specific training in addition to that provided in operating rules classes. Based on interviews with personnel from other railroads,[37] the Safety Board is aware that other railroads have recognized a need for additional training and have increased or have plans to increase the level of hazardous materials training provided.

As a result of its accident investigations and its interviews with personnel of other railroads, the Safety Board believes that current employee training, when limited primarily to rules examinations based on classroom instruction, has not adequately prepared railroad employees to handle an accident/incident involving hazardous materials. Railroad employees involved in or responsible for the safe transport of hazardous materials, such as traincrews and first-line supervisors, must not only know the rules, but the employees should also be able to apply the rules in simulated and in actual emergencies. The Safety Board believes that in addition to classroom instruction, railroads that transport hazardous materials should also evaluate the employee's knowledge of emergency procedures and the employee's ability to apply such knowledge in an emergency. Evaluations of employees could be performed during efficiency checks, disaster drills, or simulated emergencies.

Federal Rulemaking Activity

Currently, there are no Federal regulations that require specific hazardous materials training for employees in the railroad industry who are involved in the transportation of hazardous materials. However, on July 26, 1989, the RSPA issued HM-126F, Training for Hazardous Materials, as a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) (54 FR 31144-31155). The purpose of the proposed requirements is to reduce the incidence of hazardous materials accidents caused by human error by increasing the awareness of safety considerations through a uniform level of training for persons involved in the transportation of hazardous materials. According to the RSPA staff, a final rule is expected by the end of 1991.

The RSPA defines training as a systematic program that ensures that a person has knowledge of hazardous materials and hazardous materials

---

[37] The Atchinson, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company; Burlington Northern Railroad Company; Conrail; Guilford Transportation Industries, Inc.; and SOO Line Railroad Company.

regulations. The training requirements outlined in the NPRM include three categories of training: general awareness/familiarization, function-specific, and safety training. General awareness/familiarization training has been described in the NPRM to include an understanding of the Federal rules applicable to hazardous materials (such as the hazard communication requirements and the various classes of hazardous materials). Function-specific training has been described to include detailed training on the Federal rules specifically applicable to the functions the person performs. Safety training has been described to include several topics: (1) emergency response information; (2) general dangers presented by the various classes of hazardous materials and how persons can protect themselves from exposure to those hazards; (3) methods and procedures to avoid accidents; and (4) procedures to be followed immediately after an unintentional release of a hazardous material, including any emergency response procedures for which the person is responsible. The NPRM states that, generally, retraining is needed every 2 years, and the employer must keep records on the training received by the employee.

The Safety Board supports the NPRM issued by the RSPA. When the proposed rule becomes final, the Board urges the FRA to require rail carriers to incorporate into their railroad operating practices aspects of the final rule that relate to hazardous materials training.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Hazardous materials that are highly flammable or toxic, or that pose a threat to health through contamination of the environment are frequently transported in tank cars that provide inadequate protection even though better protected tank cars are available.

2. The DOT-111A tank cars, which are frequently used to transport hazardous materials that pose a potential threat to public safety, have a high incidence of failure when involved in accidents.

3. Evacuations were conducted in 33 of the 45 cases investigated by the Safety Board as part of this safety study; generally, the decisions by emergency response personnel to evacuate were not made as a result of written emergency response plans but were made based on their observations of the on-scene situation and reliance on various emergency response guidebooks published by Federal or State agencies.

4. The development and use of written hazardous materials emergency response plans prepared jointly by local emergency response and railroad personnel improves coordination and timely execution of necessary safety procedures to efficiently and effectively respond to a railroad accident involving hazardous materials.

5. In at least 21 of the 45 cases, the local emergency response incident commander (coordinator) did not have a hazardous materials emergency response plan to follow.

6. In at least 19 of the 45 cases, local emergency response incident commanders (coordinators) and railroad personnel had not been in contact to plan actions to take in the event of a train accident involving hazardous materials; in at least 26 of the 45 cases, local emergency response personnel and railroad personnel had not participated in joint disaster drills of simulated emergencies.

7. Many railroads and community emergency response organizations have not jointly developed written emergency response plans and procedures and have not regularly participated with community emergency response organizations in joint disaster drills of simulated emergencies.

8. Railroad employee training, when limited primarily to rules examinations based on classroom instruction, has not adequately prepared railroad employees to handle an accident or incident involving hazardous materials.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Resulting From This Study

As a result of this safety study, the National Transportation Safety Board made the following recommendations:

--to the Research and Special Programs Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation:

Establish a working group, with the assistance of the Federal Railroad Administration, the Association of American Railroads, the Chemical Manufacturers Association, the American Petroleum Institute, and the National Fire Protection Association, to expeditiously improve the packaging of the more dangerous products (such as those that are highly flammable or toxic, or pose a threat to health through contamination of the environment) by (a) developing a list of hazardous materials that should be transported only in pressure tank cars with head shield protection and thermal protection (if needed); and (b) establishing a working agreement to ship the listed hazardous materials in such tank cars. (Class II, Priority Action) (R-91-11) (Supersedes R-85-105)

--to the Federal Railroad Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation:

Assist the Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA) in the establishment of a working group--comprising the RSPA, the Association of American Railroads, the Chemical Manufacturers Association, the American Petroleum Institute, the National Fire Protection Association, and your agency--to expeditiously improve the packaging of the more dangerous products (such as those that are highly flammable or toxic, or pose a threat to health through contamination of the environment) by (a) developing a list of hazardous materials that should be transported only in pressure tank cars with head shield protection and thermal protection (if needed); and (b) establishing a working agreement to ship the listed hazardous materials in such tank cars. (Class II, Priority Action) (R-91-12)

Require, when the Research and Special Programs Administration issues the final rule on HM-126F (Training for Hazardous Materials), that rail carriers incorporate into their railroad operating practices aspects of the final rule that relate to hazardous materials training. (Class II, Priority Action) (R-91-13)

--to the Association of American Railroads:

Assist the Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA) in the establishment of a working group--comprising the RSPA, the Federal Railroad Administration, the Chemical Manufacturers Association, the American Petroleum Institute, the National Fire Protection Association, and your organization--to expeditiously improve the packaging of the more dangerous products (such as those that are highly flammable or toxic, or pose a threat to health through contamination of the environment) by (a) developing a list of hazardous materials that should be transported only in pressure tank cars with head shield protection and thermal protection (if needed); and (b) establishing a working agreement to ship the listed hazardous materials in such tank cars. (Class II, Priority Action) (R-91-14)

--to Class I railroads and railroad systems,
Guilford Transportation, Inc., and MidSouth Rail Corporation:

Develop, implement, and keep current, in coordination with communities adjacent to your railroad yards and along your hazardous materials routes, written emergency response plans and procedures for handling releases of hazardous materials. The procedures should address, at a minimum, key railroad personnel and means of contact, procedures to identify the hazardous materials being transported, identification of resources for technical assistance that may be needed during the response effort, procedures for coordination of activities between railroad and emergency response personnel, and the conduct of disaster drills or other appropriate methods to test emergency response plans. (Class II, Priority Action) (R-91-15) (Supersedes R-85-53)

Establish, for employees responsible for the safe transport of hazardous materials (such as traincrews and first-line supervisors), methods to evaluate (a) the employee's level of knowledge of emergency procedures, and (b) the employee's ability to apply such knowledge in an actual emergency. Evaluations of employees could be performed during efficiency checks, disaster drills, or simulated emergencies. (Class II, Priority Action) (R-91-16)

--to the American Short Line Railroad Association:

Encourage the regional and local railroads in your membership that transport hazardous materials to develop, implement, and keep current, in coordination with communities adjacent to their railroad yards and along their hazardous materials routes, written emergency response plans and procedures for handling releases of hazardous materials. The procedures should address, at a minimum, key railroad personnel and means of contact, procedures to identify the hazardous materials being transported, identification of resources for technical assistance that may be needed during the response effort, procedures for coordination of activities between railroad and emergency response personnel, and the conduct of disaster drills or other appropriate methods to test emergency response plans. (Class II, Priority Action) (R-91-17) (Supersedes R-85-53)

Encourage the regional and local railroads in your membership that transport hazardous materials to establish, for employees responsible for the safe transport of hazardous materials (such as traincrews and first-line supervisors), methods to evaluate (a) the employee's level of knowledge of emergency procedures, and (b) the employee's ability to apply such knowledge in an actual emergency. Evaluations of employees could be performed during efficiency checks, disaster drills, or simulated emergencies. (Class II, Priority Action) (R-91-18)

--to the Chemical Manufacturers Association:

Assist the Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA) in the establishment of a working group--comprising the RSPA, the Federal Railroad Administration, the Association of American Railroads, the American Petroleum Institute, the National Fire Protection Association, and your organization--to expeditiously improve the packaging of the more dangerous products (such as those that are highly flammable or toxic, or pose a threat to health through contamination of the environment) by (a) developing a list of hazardous materials that should be transported only in pressure tank cars with head shield protection and thermal protection (if needed); and (b) establishing a working agreement to ship the listed hazardous materials in such tank cars. (Class II, Priority Action) (R-91-19)

--to the American Petroleum Institute:

Assist the Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA) in the establishment of a working group--comprising the RSPA, the Federal Railroad Administration, the Association of American Railroads, the Chemical Manufacturers Association, the National Fire Protection Association, and your organization--to expeditiously improve the packaging of the more dangerous products (such as those that are highly flammable or toxic, or pose a threat to health through contamination of the environment) by (a) developing a list of hazardous materials that should be transported only in pressure tank cars with head shield protection and thermal protection (if needed); and (b) establishing a working agreement to ship the listed hazardous materials in such tank cars. (Class II, Priority Action) (R-91-20)

--to the National Fire Protection Association:

Assist the Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA) in the establishment of a working group--comprising the RSPA, the Federal Railroad Administration, the Association of American Railroads, the Chemical Manufacturers Association, the American Petroleum Institute, and your organization--to expeditiously improve the packaging of the more dangerous products (such as those that are highly flammable or toxic, or pose a threat to health through contamination of the environment) by (a) developing a list of hazardous materials that should be transported only in pressure tank cars with head shield protection and thermal protection (if needed); and (b) establishing a working agreement to ship the listed hazardous materials in such tank cars. (Class II, Priority Action) (R-91-21)

--to the National League of Cities, the National Association of Counties, the International Association of Fire Chiefs, the International Association of Chiefs of Police, and the National Sheriffs' Association:

Urge your members to (a) develop, implement, and keep current, in coordination with each other, and with the Class I, regional, and local railroads that transport hazardous materials through the members' areas, written emergency response plans and procedures for handling releases of hazardous materials; and (b) encourage incident commanders to stay knowledgeable of the written content. The procedures should address, at a minimum, key railroad personnel and means of contact, procedures to identify the hazardous materials being transported, identification of resources for technical assistance that may be needed during the response effort, procedures for coordination of activities between railroad and emergency response personnel, and the conduct of disaster drills or

other appropriate methods to test emergency response plans. (Class II, Priority Action) (R-91-22) (Supersedes R-88-69)

Closed

As a result of this study, the National Transportation Safety Board classified the following recommendations as "Closed."

R-85-53

In coordination with communities adjacent to your railroad yards, develop and implement emergency planning and response procedures for handling releases of hazardous materials. These procedures should address, at a minimum, initial notification procedures, response actions for the safe handling of releases of the various types of hazardous materials transported, identification of key contact personnel, conduct of emergency drills and exercises, and identification of the resources to be provided and the actions to be taken by the railroad and the community.

Status: "Closed--[Various actions as indicated below]/Superseded" by Safety Recommendations R-91-15 and R-91-17.

Unacceptable Action--No Response Received:

Alton & Southern Railroad Company
Atlanta & Saint Andrews Bay Railway Company
Bangor and Aroostock Railroad Company
Belt Railway Company of Chicago
Bessemer and Lake Erie Railroad Company
Boston and Maine Corporation
Colorado and Southern Railway Company
Duluth, Missabe and Iron Range Railway Company
Florida East Coast Railway Company
Grand Trunk Western Railroad Company
Green Bay and Western Railroad Company
Kansas City Southern Railway Company (now part of Kansas City Southern Lines)
Lake Superior & Ishpeming Railroad Company
Maine Central Railroad Company
Milwaukee Road
Minneapolis, Northfield and Southern Railroad Company
Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Co.
Monogahela Railway Company
Norfolk and Portsmouth Belt Line Railroad Company
Norfolk and Western Railway Company (now part of Norfolk Southern Corporation)
Pittsburg & Shawmut Railroad Company
Pittsburgh and Lake Erie Railroad Company
SOO Line Railroad Company

Southern Pacific Transportation Company (now part of The Southern Pacific Lines)
Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis
Texas Mexican Railway Company
Toledo, Peoria & Western Railway Company
Union Pacific Railroad Company
Union Railroad Company
Vermont Railway, Inc.

Acceptable Action:
CSX Transportaton, Inc.
Chicago and North Western Transportation
Consolidated Rail Corporation (Conrail)
Delaware and Hudson Valley Railway Co.
Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Co. (now part of The Southern Pacific Lines)
Detroit and Mackinac Railway Co.
Elgin, Joliet and Eastern Railway Co.
Illinois Central Railroad Company

R-85-105

Require that all tank car shipments of hazardous materials with an isolation radius of one-half mile or more, as recommended by the U.S. Department of Transportation Emergency Response Guidebook, be transported in tank cars equipped with head shield or full tank head protection.

Status: "Closed--Acceptable Action/Superseded" by Safety Recommendation R-91-11.

I-85-15

Notify your members who use the U.S. Department of Transportation Emergency Response Guidebook for Hazardous Materials Incidents of the fact that parts of a rail tank car carrying liquids or gases may be propelled unpredictable distances should it rupture violently, that parts of such tank cars have been known to travel far greater distances than the recommended initial evacuation zones, and that far greater evacuation distances may be necessary to protect against injury.

Status: "Closed--Acceptable Action."

R-88-69

Advise your membership of the hazardous materials/railroad accident in New Orleans, Louisiana, on September 8, 1987, and urge your members, in coordination with rail yard management, to develop and implement emergency response procedures for handling releases of hazardous materials from railroad vehicles.

Status: "Closed--Unacceptable Action--No Response Received/Superseded" by Safety Recommendation R-91-22.

BY THE NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

JAMES L. KOLSTAD
Chairman

SUSAN M. COUGHLIN
Vice Chairman

JOHN K. LAUBER
Member

JIM BURNETT
Member

CHRISTOPHER A. HART
Member

Adopted: May 16, 1991

Member Burnett would classify Safety Recommendations R-85-61 and -64 as "Open--Unacceptable Response" because 6 years have passed without the completion of regulatory action by the RSPA and the FRA. Member Burnett notes that Safety Recommendations R-85-61 and -64 expanded on the need to address the protection provided for certain hazardous materials, which was first brought to the attention of the DOT in Safety Recommendation R-80-12 issued 10 years ago. Member Burnett also would classify Safety Recommendation R-85-105 as "Open--Unacceptable Response" because the RSPA has taken no positive action in response to the recommendation; Member Burnett believes the Safety Board should provide an alternative criteria to the isolation radius of 1/2 mile as stated in the recommendation.

REFERENCES

Association of American Railroads. 1985. Specifications for tank cars. In: Manual of standards and recommended practices (p. C-III-8 through C-III-12). Washington, DC.

Association of American Railroads. 1985-90. Yearbook of railroad facts. Washington, DC. Annual.

Association of American Railroads. 1989. Hazardous materials emergency response plan guidance document for railroads. Federal Railroad Administration Contract No. DTFR 53-81C-00238. Washington, DC. 29 p. plus appendixes.

Association of American Railroads. 1990a. Annual report of hazardous materials transported by rail, year 1989. BOE 89-1. Washington, DC. 18 p.

Association of American Railroads. 1990b. Recommended railroad operating practices for transportation of hazardous materials. Circular OT-55. Washington, DC. 6 p.

General American Transportation Corporation. 1985. GATX tank car manual. 5th ed. Chicago, IL.

National Transportation Safety Board. 1976. Collision of Penn Central Transportation Company operated passenger trains numbers 132, 944, and 939 near Wilmington, Delaware, October 17, 1975. Railroad Accident Report NTSB-RAR-76-7. Washington, DC. 19 p.

National Transportation Safety Board. 1978. Analysis of proceedings of the National Transportation Safety Board into derailments and hazardous materials, April 4-6, 1978. Safety Effectiveness Evaluation NTSB-SEE-78-2. Washington, DC. 49 p.

National Transportation Safety Board. 1979. Onscene coordination among agencies at hazardous materials accidents. Special Investigation Report NTSB-HZM-79-3. Washington, DC. 24 p.

National Transportation Safety Board. 1980a. The accident performance of tank car safeguards. Special Investigation Report NTSB-HZM-80-1. Washington, DC. 24 p.

National Transportation Safety Board. 1980b. Railroad emergency procedures. Special Study NTSB-RSS-80-1. Washington, DC. 16 p.

National Transportation Safety Board. 1983. Derailment of Illinois Central Gulf Railroad freight train Extra 9629 East (GS-2-28) and release of hazardous materials at Livingston, Louisiana, September 28, 1982. Railroad Accident Report NTSB/RAR-83/05. Washington, DC. 80 p.

National Transportation Safety Board. 1985a. Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company train yard accident involving punctured tank car, nitric acid and vapor cloud, and evacuation, Denver, Colorado, April 3, 1983. Railroad Accident Report NTSB/RAR-85/10. Washington, DC. 15 p.

National Transportation Safety Board. 1985b. Derailment of Seaboard System Railroad train No. F-690 with hazardous material release, Jackson, South Carolina, February 23, 1985, and collision of Seaboard System Railroad train No. F-481 with standing cars, Robbins, South Carolina, February 25, 1985. Railroad Accident Report NTSB/RAR-85/12. Washington, DC. 42 p.

National Transportation Safety Board. 1985c. Railroad yard safety--hazardous materials and emergency preparedness. Special Investigation Report NTSB/SIR-85/02. Washington, DC. 59 p.

National Transportation Safety Board. 1987. Hazardous materials release following the derailment of Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company train No. SLFR, Miamisburg, Ohio, July 8, 1986. Hazardous Materials Accident Report NTSB/HZM-87/01. Washington, DC. 90 p.

National Transportation Safety Board. 1988. Butadiene release and fire from GATX 55996 at the CSX terminal junction interchange, New Orleans, Louisiana, September 8, 1987. Hazardous Materials/Railroad Accident Report NTSB/HZM-88/01. Washington, DC. 79 p.

National Transportation Safety Board. 1989. Collision and derailment of Montana Rail Link freight train with locomotive units and hazardous materials release, Helena, Montana, February 2, 1989. Railroad Accident Report NTSB/RAR-89/05. Washington, DC. 112 p.

National Transportation Safety Board. 1990. Derailment of a CSX Transportation freight train and fire involving butane, Akron, Ohio, February 26, 1989. Hazardous Materials Accident Report NTSB/HZM-90/02. Washington, DC. 101 p.

Railway Progress Institute; Association of American Railroads. 1989. Analysis of tank cars damaged in accidents 1965 through 1986. RPI-AAR Tank Car Safety Project Report RA-02-6-55 (AAR R-709). Chicago, IL: Association of American Railroads, Technical Center.

Railway Progress Institute; Association of American Railroads. 1990a. Analysis of aluminum tank cars damaged in accidents 1965 through 1986. RPI-AAR Tank Car Safety Project Report RA-20-1-57 (AAR R-749). Chicago, IL: Association of American Railroads, Technical Center. 20 p.

Railway Progress Institute; Association of American Railroads. 1990b. Railroad tank car safety assessment. RPI-AAR Tank Car Safety Project Report RA-12-4-58 (AAR R-751). Chicago, IL: Association of American Railroads, Technical Center.

APPENDIX A

SIZE OF THE HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SEGMENT WITHIN THE RAILROAD INDUSTRY, 1984-89

Table 6.--Chemicals and allied products transported by Class I railroads, 1984-89

Year	Tons originated		Revenue	
	Tons	Portion of all products	Dollars	Portion of all products
	Million	Percent	Billion	Percent
1984	107.4	7.5	3.4	11.3
1985	106.4	8.1	3.3	11.8
1986	105.6	8.1	3.3	12.3
1987	115.9	8.5	3.5	12.6
1988	123.4	8.6	3.8	12.8
1989	122.5	8.7	3.8	12.9

Source: Association of American Railroads (1985-90).

APPENDIX B

VOLUME OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS TRANSPORTED BY RAIL, 1989

Table 7.--Top 25 hazardous materials transported by rail, by number of carloads originated, 1989

Rank	and commodity	Number of carloads originated
1	Mixed shipments	327,106
2	Liquified petroleum gas	175,080
3	Sodium hydroxide	102,809
4	Molten sulfur	75,002
5	Anhydrous ammonia	66,526
6	Sulfuric acid	64,903
7	Chlorine	60,910
8	Fuel oil	39,140
9	Methyl alcohol	33,486
10	Vinyl chloride	31,591
11	Phosphoric acid	31,543
12	Ammonium nitrate fertilizer	20,952
13	Styrene monomer, inhibited [a]	18,299
14	Carbon dioxide, refrigerated liquid	15,894
15	Hydrochloric acid	14,838
16	Fuel oil, diesel	13,323
17	Crude oil, petroleum	12,580
18	Gasoline	11,726
19	Denatured alcohol	11,537
20	Hazardous substance, n.o.s.[b]	10,707
21	Phenol/carbolic acid	7,822
22	Petroleum naphtha	7,608
23	Hexamethylamine diamine solution	7,327
24	Adipic acid	7,296
25	Ethylene oxide	7,276
	Total, top 25 commodities	1,175,281
All the hazardous materials		348,493
All hazardous materials		1,523,774

[a] An inhibitor added to a commodity is a chemical compound that retards or stops an undesired chemical reaction.

[b] Not otherwise specified.

Source: Association of American Railroads (1990a).

APPENDIX C

DIAGRAMS OF TANK CARS AND TANK CAR SPECIFICATIONS

APPENDIX C



Schematic of a tank car.
(Source: American Association of Railroads.)





General features of a DOT-111A (top) and DOT-105 (bottom) tank car.
(Source: General American Transportation Corporation 1985.)



General features of a DOT-112 tank car. Features of a DOT-114 tank car are similar to those of the DOT-112. (Source: General American Transportation Corporation 1985.)

The **specification** of a tank car is the specific designation within a class, for example "Spec. DOT-111A100W2."

The **type** of a tank car designates the approving authority such as AAR, ARA, ICC, DOT, or USG. Preferred usage is, for example, "DOT tank cars."

A **tank** consists of a shell and heads together with connections welded directly thereto. As used in these specifications, "tank" means tank car tank. The head of a tank is one of the end closures.

"Shell-full" refers to the volume corresponding to a liquid level at the inside top of the shell at the manway opening or dome ring opening. This shell-full volume is not to be used when calculating the filling density of the lading. A tank is **"calibrated"** to accurately measure its capacity. A tank is **"gaged"** to determine the quantity of liquid loaded into it. Shell full stamping on tank car tank heads is net volume with allowance for tank internals.

A **stub sill** tank car (or a tank car without continuous center sill) has draft sills at each end of the tank instead of a continuous center sill and utilizes its tank as a part of the car structure.

A **certified car** is a stub sill, non-pressure, non-exterior coiled car built prior to July 1, 1974 and meeting the requirements of 1.4.5.

1.2.3. TANK CAR DEFINITIONS

Tank cars currently in service are of four types: DOT, AAR, ICC, and USG. See 1.1.3. for specifications in effect for new construction.

1.2.3.1. DOT TANK CARS

DOT tank car specification numbers consist of a class designation followed by identifying letters and numbers. The second number, where present, indicates tank test pressure in psi. In all classes except Classes 103, 104 and 113, the two number series are separated by an "A" which has no special significance. Suffix "W" denotes a fusion welded tank; suffix "F" denotes a forge welded tank and suffix "X" has special significance as discussed below. The absence of a suffix indicates seamless tank construction.

Class **DOT-103*W** tank cars are insulated or uninsulated non-pressure cars with an expansion dome. The expansion capacity in the dome is listed below. Class 103*W cars built for specific services or requiring special fittings or materials of construction are designated by letters interposed for the asterisk.

	Tank	Bottom Outlet	Bottom Washout	Minimum % Expansion
(No Ltr.)	carbon steel			2
A	carbon steel	No		1
AL	aluminum alloy			2
A-AL	aluminum alloy	No		1
AN	nickel	No		1
B	carbon steel, elastomer lined	No	No	1
C	alloy steel	No	No	1
D	alloy steel			2
E	alloy steel	No		1

Class DOT-104W tank cars are insulated carbon steel non-pressure cars with an expansion dome and having a minimum expansion capacity of 2% in the dome.

Class DOT-105A, J or S***W tank cars are insulated carbon steel pressure cars, with a manway nozzle, designed for top loading and unloading; bottom outlet or washout prohibited. Class 105A or J***ALW tank cars are similar except that they have aluminum alloy tanks. Class 105A***F has forge welded tanks.

A = equipped with top-and-bottom shelf couplers

J = equipped with jacketed thermal protection, tank head puncture resistance and top-and-bottom shelf couplers

S = equipped with tank head puncture resistance and top-and-bottom shelf couplers

Class DOT-106A***X tanks are uninsulated carbon steel tanks designed to be removed from the car structure for filling or emptying, and designed to a maximum stress level in the shell.

X = Fusion welded longitudinal tank seam and forge welded head seams

XNC = Nickel clad

NCI = Nickel—Chromium—Iron

Class DOT-107A**** tank cars are uninsulated high pressure service cars having several permanently mounted seamless forged and drawn steel tanks designed to a maximum stress level in the shell.

Class DOT-109A***W tank cars are insulated or uninsulated carbon steel pressure cars, with a manway nozzle and an optional bottom washout designed for top loading and unloading.

Class DOT-109A***ALW tank cars are similar except they have aluminum alloy tanks.

Class DOT-110A***W tanks are uninsulated carbon steel tanks designed to be removed from the car structure for filling or emptying, and designed to a burst pressure.

Class DOT-111A***W* tank cars are insulated or uninsulated non-pressure cars without an expansion dome. The expansion capacity in the tank is two percent. Class DOT-111A***W* tank cars built for specific services or requiring special fittings or materials of construction are designated by suffix letters or numerals. Class DOT-111A***F* have forge welded tanks converted from Spec. ICC-105A300, 400, or 500. Suffix letters are:

	Tank	Bottom Outlet	Bottom Washout
ALW1	aluminum alloy		
ALW2	aluminum alloy	No	
W1	carbon steel		
W2	carbon steel	No	
W3†	carbon steel		
W4†	carbon steel	No	No
W5	carbon steel, elastomer lined	No	No
W6	alloy steel		
W7	alloy steel	No	No
F1	carbon steel		
F2	carbon steel	No	

†Insulation required.

Class DOT-112A, J, S, or T***W tank cars are uninsulated carbon steel pressure cars, with a manway nozzle and without bottom connections, designed for top loading and unloading. They are designed for loading of liquefied compressed gases or flammable liquids.

A = equipped with top-and-bottom shelf couplers

J = equipped with jacketed thermal protection, tank head puncture resistance, and top-and-bottom shelf couplers

S = equipped with head shields and top-and-bottom shelf couplers

T = equipped with non-jacketed thermal protection system, top-and-bottom shelf couplers, and head shields

Note: Class 112A, J, S, or T*** F tank cars are similar except they are forge welded tanks converted from Class ICC-105A.

Class DOT-113****W tank cars are vacuum insulated cars having an inner container and carbon steel outer shell; the insulation system is designed for a holding time. Class DOT-113 cars are designed for specific loading and shipping temperatures and have certain materials and fittings requirements as designated by the intermediate letter:

A = Minus 423F (−253°C) loading; high alloy steel inner container; special fittings and insulation for refrigerated (cryogenic) liquid hydrogen.

C = Minus 260F (−162°C) loading; high alloy steel inner container; special fittings for refrigerated (cryogenic) liquid natural gas, refrigerated (cryogenic) liquid methane (DOT exemption required), or refrigerated (cryogenic) liquid ethylene.

D = Minus 155F (−104°C) loading; nickel alloy steel inner container; special fittings for refrigerated liquid ethane (DOT exemption required) or refrigerated (cryogenic) liquid ethylene.

Class DOT-114A, J, S or T***W tank cars are uninsulated carbon steel pressure cars with a manway nozzle and optional non-circular cross section. An additional group of valves and fittings may be provided in another location. They are designed for loading of liquefied compressed gases or flammable liquids.

A = equipped with top-and-bottom shelf couplers

J = equipped with jacketed thermal protection, tank head puncture resistance, and top-and-bottom shelf couplers

S = equipped with head shields and top-and-bottom shelf couplers

T = equipped with non-jacketed thermal protection system, top-and-bottom shelf couplers, and head shields

Class DOT-115A***W* tank cars are insulated non-pressure cars having an inner container and carbon steel outer shell with optional bottom connections. Suffix letters are:

W1 = Steel inner container

W6 = Alloy steel inner container

ALW = Aluminum inner container

Proposed Class DOT-120***W tank cars are insulated pressure cars designed for ambient temperature loading of liquefied compressed gases and/or flammable liquids. Proposed Class DOT-120***ALW tank cars are similar except that they have aluminum alloy tanks.

1.2.3.2. AAR TANK CARS

AAR tank cars are for non-regulated commodity services. Most AAR tank cars have DOT counterparts, the main specification differences being that only partial postweld heat treatment is required and radioscopy is not required for carbon steel tanks. The second number, where present, indicates tank test pressure in psi. Suffix "W" denotes a fusion welded tank.

Class AAR-201A**W tank cars, now obsolete for new construction, are insulated or uninsulated aluminum non-pressure cars with an expansion dome.

Class AAR-203*W tank cars are insulated or uninsulated non-pressure cars with an expansion dome. These cars conform, with certain exceptions, to Class DOT-103W.

(No letter) = carbon steel

D = alloy steel

Class AAR-204 tank cars are vacuum insulated cars having an inner container and carbon steel outer shell. They are designed for loading of liquid argon, nitrogen or oxygen. Spec. AAR-204W tank cars are similar in concept to Class DOT 113****W cars. Suffix letters are:

X = Conversion from XT boxed tank cars

W = Fusion welded alloy steel inner container and carbon steel outer shell

Spec. AAR-205A300W tank cars are now designated DOT-109A300W

Spec. AAR-206W tank cars are insulated non-pressure cars having an inner container and carbon steel outer shell. These cars conform, with certain exceptions, to Class DOT-115A***W*.

Class AAR-207A**W* tank cars are designed for 15 psig (103 kPa) minimum internal pressure and are used for the transportation of granular commodities that are unloaded pneumatically. Suffix letters are:

W = Carbon steel fusion welded tank

ALW = Aluminum alloy fusion welded tank

W6 = Alloy steel fusion welded tank

Spec. AAR-208 tank cars are non-pressure cars having wood-staved metal hooped tanks for the transportation of certain food-grade materals.

Class AAR-211A***W* tank cars are insulated or uninsulated non-pressure cars without an expansion dome. The numeral after "W" designates specific outlet and bottom connection options. These cars conform, with certain exceptions, to Class DOT-111A***W*. Suffix letter, or numerals are:

W1 = Carbon steel tank; 2% minimum expansion capacity in tank; optional bottom outlet or washout

W6 = Alloy steel, optional bottom outlet or bottom washout

W7 = Alloy steel, no bottom outlet or bottom washout

ALW = Aluminum alloy tank

1.2.3.3. ICC TANK CARS

ICC tank car specifications, in general, were redesignated DOT specifications. Those tank cars not so redesignated have riveted or forge welded tanks, but conform in other respects to corresponding DOT classes.

Class ICC-103 and Class ICC-104 have riveted tanks.

Spec. ICC-103 CAL has a triple-riveted aluminum tank with 1% minimum expansion capacity dome.

Class ICC-105A*** have forge welded carbon steel tanks.

Class ICC-106A*** tanks are identical to DOT-106A***X except they have forge welded longitudinal seams.

1.2.3.4. EMERGENCY USG TANK CARS

Emergency USG* tank cars are insulated or uninsulated carbon steel non-pressure cars with 2% capacity expansion domes. They were built during World War II for transportation of petroleum products limited to eight pounds per gallon (0.959 kg/L), and vapor pressure not exceeding 16 psia at 100F (110 kPa [abs.] at 37.8°C). They became obsolete for new construction in 1965.

APPENDIX D

BRIEFS OF THE CASES INVESTIGATED DURING THE SAFETY STUDY

Event number	Location of accident	Date of accident	Railroad	NTSB accident number
1	Claude, TX	03/04/88	BN	FTW88FRZ13
2	Punta Gorda, FL	03/10/88	SGLR	ATL88FRZ13
3	Pasco, WA	04/08/88	BN	CHI88FRZ17
4	Jeffersonville, IN	04/26/88	CR	CHI88FRZ18
5	Wilmington, CA	04/27/88	UP	LAX88FRZ10
6	Roodhouse, IL	05/03/88	CMNW	CHI88FRZ20
7	Denver, CO	05/04/88	UP	DEN88FRZ11
8	Gulfport, MS	05/07/88	MSRC	ATL88FRZ15
9	Sheridan, WI	05/14/88	WC	CHI88FRZ22
10	Las Vegas, NV	05/23/88	UP	LAX88FRZ12
11	Columbus, OH	06/11/88	CSX	ATL88FRZ16
12	Crofton, KY	06/22/88	CSX	ATL88FRZ19
13	Deer Park, TX	07/22/88	PTRA	FTW88FRZ23
14	Farnum, NE	07/22/88	BN	DEN88FRZ17
15	White Bluff, TN	07/24/88	CSX	FTW88FRZ24
16	Altoona, IA	07/30/88	IAIS	DCA88MRZ06
17	Umbarger, TX	07/30/88	ATSF	FTW88FRZ25
18	Ohiopyle, PA	08/01/88	CSX	FTW88FRZ26
19	Brazoria, TX	08/02/88	UP	FTW88FRZ27
20	Loudonville, OH	08/04/88	CR	LAX88FRZ15
21	Elsberry, MO	08/06/88	BN	FTW88FRZ28
22	Elberton, GA	08/08/88	CSX	ATL88FRZ20
23	Elm Grove, WI	08/10/88	SOO	CHI88FRZ27
24	Athens, GA	08/13/88	CSX	ATL88FRZ21
25	Memphis, TN	08/18/88	IC	ATL88FRZ22
26	Jacksonville, FL	09/15/88	CSX	ATL88FRZ23
27	Summit, IL	09/25/88	IC	CHI88FRZ29
28	Rineyville, KY	10/13/88	PAL	ATL89FRZ02
29	Easley, SC	10/16/88	NS	ATL89FRZ03
30	Pearl, IL	10/26/88	CMNW	CHI89FRZ05
31	Morganza, LA	10/26/88	LA	FTW89FRZ01
32	Newcastle, CA	11/02/88	SP	LAX89FRZ02
33	Lyndon Station, WI	11/09/88	SOO	CHI89FRZ06
34	Bangor, AL	11/19/88	CSX	ATL89FRZ05
35	Lanagan, MO	11/20/88	KCS	CHI89FRZ07
36	Fruitvale, TX	11/25/88	UP	FTW89FRZ04
37	Palmyra, MO	11/29/88	BN	CHI89FRZ08
38	Edison, NJ	12/09/88	CR	NYC89FRZ03
39	Flagstaff, AZ	12/14/88	ATSF	LAX89FRZ05
40	Bonners Ferry, ID	01/28/89	UP	LAX89FRZ13
41	Helena, MT	02/02/89	MRL	DCA89MRZ01
42	Kansas City, KS	02/02/89	ATSF	CHI89FRZ11
43	Manteca, CA	02/20/89	SP	LAX89FRZ15
44	Bordulac, ND	02/20/89	SOO	CHI89FRZ14
45	Akron, OH	02/26/89	CSX	DCA89MZ004

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # FTW88FRZ13 | **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** | **RUNDATE:** 03/23/90

File No. - 22 | 03/04/88 | CLAUDE, TX | Time (Lcl) - 0820 CST

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - BN
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TIMETABLE
TRAIN ORDERS

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 380,734.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

Injuries	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	4
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

BN - BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - BN
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 3000 WEST
Direction - WEST
Speed (Est.) - 39
Speed (Auth.) - 49

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 4
No. Cars/Caboose - 79/0
End of Train Monitor - MONITOR
Length (Feet) - 4809
Trailing Tons - 5973
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 15/28

Crew Information
Front End - 4
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

BN - BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLOUDY
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - NO

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
WICHITA FALLS, TX

Destination
AMARILLO, TX

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 4

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - ASC. 0.97
Alignment - CURVE 1 D 0 M

---Narrative---
BN FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 3000 WEST HAD 24 CARS DERAIL WHILE MOVING 39 MPH. THE FIRST CAR TO DERAIL WAS THE 4TH CAR BEHIND THE LOCOMOTIVE. INVESTIGATION REVEALED A CONTINUOUSLY WELDED RAIL BROKE AS THE TRAIN PASSED OVER IT. THE RAIL BROKE WHERE THERE WAS A PRE-EXISTING 46% FRACTURE THROUGH THE RAIL HEAD. A TANK CAR CRACKED, LEAKING ANHYDROUS AMMONIA, BUT DUE TO THE REMOTENESS OF THE DERAILMENT AREA, NO EVACUATION WAS NECESSARY. ABOUT 7 MONTHS BEFORE THE ACCIDENT, A RAIL DEFECT CAR PASSED OVER THE SITE AND DID NOT DETECT ANY RAIL DEFECTS.

File No. - 22 03/04/88 CLAUDE, TX Time (Lcl) - 0820 CST

Occurrence #1 - DERAILMENT
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
1. RAIL, CONTINUOUSLY WELDED - DEFECT, INTERNAL
2. RAIL, CONTINUOUSLY WELDED - BROKEN

Occurrence #2 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # ATL88FRZ13 | **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** | **RUNDATE:** 03/23/90

File No. - 23 | 03/10/88 | PUNTA GORDA, FL | Time (Lcl) - 1455 EST

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - SGLR
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - MANUAL BLOCKS

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 4,960.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	2
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

SGLR - SEMINOLE GULF RAILROAD

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - SGLR
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 573 SOUTH
Direction - SOUTH
Speed (Est.) - 20
Speed (Auth.) - 20

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 2
No. Cars/Caboose - 40/0
End of Train Monitor - MARKER
Length (Feet) - 2405
Trailing Tons - 1715
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 0/1

Crew Information
Front End - 2
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

SGLR - SEMINOLE GULF RAILROAD

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
ARCADIA, FL

Destination
FT. MYERS, FL

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 1

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - CURVE 3 D 30 M

---Narrative---
A SEMINOLE GULF RAILWAY FREIGHT TRAIN HAD ONE OF ITS 40 CARS DERAIL NEAR PUNTA GORDA, FL. THE CAR DERAILED ON CURVED TRACK, AND DURING A POST ACCIDENT INSPECTION WAS FOUND TO HAVE TRUCK SIDE BEARINGS IN CONSTANT TIGHT CONTACT WITH THE CAR BODY. THE DERAILED CAR CONTAINED AMMONIUM NITRATE WHICH DID NOT SPILL. A PRECAUTIONARY EVACUATION OF ABOUT 300 PERSONS WAS ORDERED BY LOCAL PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS. THERE WAS NO FIRE OR PERSONAL INJURY AS A RESULT OF THE DERAILMENT.

File No. - 23 | 03/10/88 | PUNTA GORDA, FL | Time (Lcl) - 1455 EST

Occurrence #1 - DERAILMENT
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
1. SIDE BEARING - BINDING (MECHANICAL)

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # CHI88FRZ17 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/23/90

File No. - 32 04/08/88 PASCO, WA Time (Lcl) - 1230 PDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - BN
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TRAFFIC CONTROL TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 1,292,853.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	4
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

BN - BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - BN
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 6810 EAST
Direction - EAST
Speed (Est.) - 28
Speed (Auth.) - 45

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 7
No. Cars/Caboose - 135/1
End of Train Monitor - MONITOR
Length (Feet) - 7895
Trailing Tons - 10518
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 13/24

Crew Information
Front End - 4
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

BN - BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - NO

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
WISHRAM, WA

Destination
PASCO, WA

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 4

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/2
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
BN FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 6810 EAST HAD 24 CARS DERAIL. THE TRAIN WAS GOVERNED BY THE SIGNAL INDICATIONS OF A CENTRALIZED TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM. AS THE TRAIN APPROACHED THE CTC SIGNAL AT THE WEST END OF A SIDING, THE SIGNAL ASPECT CHANGED FROM "CLEAR" TO "STOP". THE ENGINEER STOPPED THE TRAIN WITH AN EMERGENCY APPLICATION OF THE BRAKES. THIS CAUSED THE DERAILMENT OF AN UNOCCUPIED CABOOSE 42 CARS BEHIND THE ENGINE. THE SIGNAL THEN CHANGED BACK TO "CLEAR", AND THE TRAIN PROCEEDED WITHOUT BEING INSPECTED BY THE CREW. BN RULES DO NOT REQUIRE THIS INSPECTION. THE GENERAL DERAILMENT OCCURRED WHEN THE DERAILED CABOOSE STRUCK THE SWITCH AT THE WEST END OF THE SIDING. A HIGHWAY-RAIL VEHICLE WAS SPRAYING WEEDS IN THE AREA OF THE WEST END OF THE SIDING. THE MAINTENANCE OF WAY EMPLOYEE IN CHARGE KNEW OF THE APPROACHING TRAIN, AND STATED THEY PERFORMED NO ACTION THAT WOULD HAVE CAUSED THE SIGNAL ASPECT TO CHANGE, ALTHOUGH PUTTING THE WEED SPRAYER ON THE TRACK WOULD CHANGE THE SIGNAL TO "STOP".

File No. - 32 04/08/88 PASCO, WA Time (Lcl) - 1230 PDT

Occurrence #1 - ABRUPT MANEUVER
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
1. HIGH RAIL VEHICLE - ON TRACK
2. BLOCK SIGNAL - INITIATED - MAINTENANCE OF WAY LABORER
3. INATTENTIVE - MAINTENANCE OF WAY LABORER

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT,INITIAL
Phase - STOPPING

Occurrence #3 - DERAILMENT, SECONDARY
Phase - ACCELERATING

Finding(s)
4. INADEQUATE PROCEDURE - COMPANY OPERATOR/MGMT

Occurrence #4 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2, 3

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 4

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # CHI88FRZ18 | BRIEF OF ACCIDENT | RUNDATE: 03/23/90

File No. - 35 | 04/26/88 | JEFFERSONVILLE, IN | Time (Lcl) - 1645 EDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - CR
Type of Accident - HAZ. MAT. RELEASED
Operating Phase - STANDING
Method of Operation - VERBAL PERMISSION

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 832.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	3
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

CR - CONSOLIDATED RAIL CORPORATION

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - CR
Type of Train - YARD
Train ID - INTERCHANGE
Direction - NORTH
Speed (Est.) - 0
Speed (Auth.) - 0

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 1
No. Cars/Caboose - 39/0
End of Train Monitor - NO
Length (Feet) - 2400
Trailing Tons - 3000
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - N/A

Crew Information
Front End - 3
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - NO
Operational - N/A

CR - CONSOLIDATED RAIL CORPORATION

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - NO

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
LOUISVILLE, KY

Destination
JEFFERSONVILLE, IN

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 1

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - YARD/15
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
A CUT OF CARS WAS RECEIVED IN INTERCHANGE BY CONRAIL FROM THE PADUCAH & LOUISVILLE RAILWAY AT LOUISVILLE, KY. AFTER PULLING THE CARS INTO CONRAIL'S JEFFERSONVILLE YARD, IT WAS FOUND THAT ONE CAR WAS LEAKING FROM THE BOTTOM OUTLET. CHEMTREC, FIRE DEPARTMENT, STATE AND FEDERAL OFFICIALS WERE PROMPTLY NOTIFIED. THE SHIPPER CONTRACTED WITH A LOCAL HAZMAT COMPANY AND THE LEAK WAS PLUGGED AT ABOUT 8 PM. THE COMMODITY WAS ACETIC ACID AND THE LOSS WAS BETWEEN 10 AND 200 GALLONS. NO INJURIES OR EVACUATIONS WERE ASSOCIATED WITH THIS INCIDENT. REPAIR OF THE CAR SHOWED AN EXCESSIVE AMOUNT OF WELDING SLAG IN THE BOTTOM OUTLET AREA ALONG WITH A 6 INCH PIECE OF WELDING ROD MATERIAL. A RECENT REPAIR TO A HEATER COIL BRACKET WAS EVIDENT.

File No. - 35 04/26/88 JEFFERSONVILLE, IN Time (Lcl) - 1645 EDT

Occurrence #1 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STANDING

Finding(s)
1. BOTTOM OUTLET VALVES - LEAK
2. EQUIPMENT REPAIR - POOR - COMPANY MAINTENANCE

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # LAX88FRZ10 | BRIEF OF ACCIDENT | RUNDATE: 03/23/90

File No. - 38 | 04/27/88 | WILMINGTON, CA | Time (Lcl) - 0435 PDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - UP
Type of Accident - HAZ. MAT. RELEASED
Operating Phase - STANDING
Method of Operation - YARD RULES
TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 0.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	2	2
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

UP - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - UP
Type of Train - YARD
Train ID - SWITCH ENGINE 2013
Direction - EAST
Speed (Est.) - 0
Speed (Auth.) - 10

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 1
No. Cars/Caboose - 4/0
End of Train Monitor - NO
Length (Feet) - 280
Trailing Tons - 250
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - N/A

Crew Information
Front End - 4
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

UP - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLOUDY
Condition of Light - DARK

Evacuation - NO

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
WILMINGTON, CA

Destination
WILMINGTON, CA

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 1

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - YARD/1
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
A UP SWITCH ENGINE CREW WAS PREPARING TO MOVE A TANK CAR FROM AN INDUSTRIAL SIDING WHEN THE CONDUCTOR AND BRAKEMAN BEGAN HAVING DIFFICULTY BREATHING AND BECAME DIZZY. THE ENGINEER SAW THEM AND RADIOED FOR HELP. THE TWO CREWMEN HAD INHALED SULPHUR DIOXIDE FUMES. AN IMPROPERLY CLOSED UNLOADER VALVE WAS FOUND ON THE TANK CAR. IT WAS REPORTED TO BE EMPTY, BUT HAD BEEN FILLED WITH SULPHUR DIOXIDE. THE TWO CREWMEN WERE TAKEN TO A LOCAL HOSPITAL, TREATED, AND RELEASED. NO FIRES OR EVACUATION OCCURRED AND THERE WERE NO OTHER INJURIES. THE VALVE WAS CLOSED AND SECURED BY UP PERSONNEL. THE YARD IS ABOUT 2500 FEET FROM LONG BEACH HARBOR.

File No. - 38 04/27/88 WILMINGTON, CA Time (Lcl) - 0435 PDT

Occurrence #1 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - PICKUP NOT IN YARD

Finding(s)
1. TANK CAR(S) - LEAK
2. EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE - INADEQUATE - OTHER PERSON
3. COMPLACENCY - OTHER PERSON

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 3

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # CHI88FRZ20 | **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** | **RUNDATE:** 03/23/90

File No. - 41 | 05/03/88 | ROODHOUSE, IL | Time (Lcl) - 0830 CDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - CMNW
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TIMETABLE
TRAIN ORDERS

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 32,000.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	3
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

CMNW - CHICAGO MISSOURI & WESTERN RAILWAY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - CMNW
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 3014 EAST
Direction - EAST
Speed (Est.) - 15
Speed (Auth.) - 25

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 5
No. Cars/Caboose - 60/0
End of Train Monitor - MARKER
Length (Feet) - 4260
Trailing Tons - 6040
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 0/5

Crew Information
Front End - 3
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

CMNW - CHICAGO MISSOURI & WESTERN RAILWAY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLOUDY
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
KANSAS CITY, MO

Destination
E. ST. LOUIS, IL

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 3

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - ASC. 0.71
Alignment - CURVE 0 D 0 M

---Narrative---
CMNW FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 3014 EAST DERAILED ONE CAR AT A LOCATION OF CROSS LEVEL ELEVATION VARIANCE. THE TRAIN WAS TRAVELING AT AN ESTIMATED 15 MPH WHEN A SUSPECTED HARMONIC ROCK SITUATION BEGAN AND ONE WHEELSET ON THE 45TH CAR CLIMBED THE SOUTH RAIL AND DERAILED. THE TRAIN TRAVELED THIS WAY FOR OVER 3 MILES BEFORE BEING STOPPED AT ROODHOUSE, ILLINOIS, FOR A CREW CHANGE. THE NEW OUTBOUND CREW DID NOT MAKE THE MANDATORY AIR BRAKE TEST/INSPECTION BEFORE DEPARTURE. AS THEY WERE DEPARTING ROODHOUSE, THE DERAILED WHEELSET STRUCK A CLOSURE RAIL AT A SWITCH AND THE CAR WAS FORCED DOWN AN EMBANKMENT, DERAILING 4 OTHER CARS. TWO HAZMAT CARS DERAILED AND TURNED OVER, SPILLING THEIR CONTENTS OF AMMONIUM NITRATE AND SULFURIC ACID. ABOUT 1000 RESIDENTS WERE EVACUATED VOLUNTARILY AS A PRECAUTIONARY MEASURE, BUT SOON RETURNED TO THEIR HOMES.

File No. - 41 05/03/88 ROODHOUSE, IL Time (Lcl) - 0830 CDT

Occurrence #1 - DERAILMENT,INITIAL
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
1. CROSS ELEVATION - IRREGULAR
2. INADEQUATE INSPECTION - MAINTENANCE OF WAY INSPECTOR
3. WHEEL - RAISED

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT, GENERAL
Phase - STARTING

Finding(s)
4. WHEEL(S) - OFF TRACK
5. GENERAL RULES - NOT COMPLIED - ROAD FREIGHT CONDUCTOR (through freight)
6. INADEQUATE INSPECTION - ROAD FREIGHT CONDUCTOR (through freight)

Occurrence #3 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2, 5, 6

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 3

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # DEN88FRZ11 | **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** | **RUNDATE:** 03/23/90

File No. - 42 | 05/04/88 | DENVER, CO | Time (Lcl) - 0900 MDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - UP
Type of Accident - HAZ. MAT. RELEASED
Operating Phase - LOADING/UNLOADING
Method of Operation - TRAFFIC CONTROL TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 840.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	0
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	1

UP - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - UP
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 2519 WEST
Direction - WEST
Speed (Est.) - 0
Speed (Auth.) - 0

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 3
No. Cars/Caboose - 83/0
End of Train Monitor - MONITOR
Length (Feet) - 6772
Trailing Tons - 6444
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - N/A

Crew Information
Front End - 4
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

UP - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - NO

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
NORTH PLATTE, NE

Destination
DENVER, CO

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 1

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - INDUSTRIAL/6
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
A UNION PACIFIC TRUCK HOSTLER WAS DERAMPING A TRAILER FROM A FLATCAR IN UP FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 2519 WEST WHEN HE NOTICED LIQUID LEAKING OUT THE TRAILER DOOR. INSPECTION OF THE TRAILER REVEALED ONE OF THE 72 55-GALLON DRUMS IN THE TRAILER WAS LEAKING. WAYBILL INFORMATION INDICATED THE DRUM CONTAINED CYTHION INSECTICIDE (PREMIUM GRADE MALATHION). INVESTIGATION REVEALED THE DRUMS HAD NOT BEEN ADEQUATELY BLOCKED, ALLOWING THE DRUMS TO SHIFT WHILE EN ROUTE. BLOCKING HAD PULLED LOOSE AND A NAIL IN THE FLOOR OF THE TRAILER PUNCTURED THE DRUM.

File No. - 42 05/04/88 DENVER, CO Time (Lcl) - 0900 MDT

Occurrence #1 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - UNKNOWN

Finding(s)
1. BLOCKING/BRACING/BANDING - INADEQUATE
2. CARGO LOADING/UNLOADING - INADEQUATE - OTHER PERSON
3. CONTAINER - PUNCTURED
4. CONTAINER - LEAK

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2, 3

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 4

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # ATL88FR215 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/23/90

File No. - 44 05/07/88 GULFPORT, MS Time (Lcl) - 1343 CDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - MSRC
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TIMETABLE
RADIO

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 140,000.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	3
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

MSRC - MID SOUTH RAIL CORP.

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - MSRC
Type of Train - LOCAL FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 1036 SOUTH
Direction - SOUTH
Speed (Est.) - 25
Speed (Auth.) - 25

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 2
No. Cars/Caboose - 12/0
End of Train Monitor - MARKER
Length (Feet) - 840
Trailing Tons - 1080
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 0/6

Crew Information
Front End - 3
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

MSRC - MID SOUTH RAIL CORP.

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
HATTIESBURG, MS

Destination
GULFPORT, MS

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 6

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
LOCAL FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 1036 SOUTH, TRAVELING AT 25 MPH, DERAILED SIX CARS OF THE TRAIN'S 12 CAR CONSIST AT MILEPOST 7.6 NEAR GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI. THE DERAILED CARS CONTAINED HAZARDOUS MATERIAL, HOWEVER, THERE WAS NO LEAKAGE. A PRECAUTIONARY EVACUATION WAS INITIATED DURING THE TIMES THAT THE CARS WERE BEING RERAILED. A BROKEN RAIL WAS FOUND AT THE POINT OF THE DERAILMENT. THE TRACK WAS LAST INSPECTED ON MAY 2, 1988, 5 DAYS BEFORE THE DERAILMENT. AT THE TIME OF THAT INSPECTION, A DEFECTIVE RAIL WAS CHANGED OUT AT MILEPOST 7.9, BUT THE RAIL DEFECT AT THE ACCIDENT SITE WAS NOT DETECTED.

File No. - 44 05/07/88 GULFPORT, MS Time (Lcl) - 1343 CDT

Occurrence #1 - DERAILMENT
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
1. RAIL BASE - BROKEN
2. TRACK INSPECTION - INADEQUATE - MAINTENANCE OF WAY INSPECTOR
3. INADEQUATE PROCEDURE - COMPANY OPERATOR/MGMT

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 3

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # CHI88FRZ22 | BRIEF OF ACCIDENT | RUNDATE: 03/23/90

File No. - 49 | 05/14/88 | SHERIDAN, WI | Time (Lcl) - 1830 CDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - WC
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - AUTOMATIC BLOCK TRAFFIC CONTROL

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 277,113.00
Non-Railroad - $ 10,000.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	2
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

WC - WISCONSIN CENTRAL LTD. (ALSO RAILWAY)

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - WC
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 6517 WEST
Direction - WEST
Speed (Est.) - 40
Speed (Auth.) - 40

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 2
No. Cars/Caboose - 70/0
End of Train Monitor - MARKER
Length (Feet) - 3940
Trailing Tons - 4812
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 8/20

Crew Information
Front End - 2
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

WC - WISCONSIN CENTRAL LTD. (ALSO RAILWAY)

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DUSK

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
FOND DU LAC, WI

Destination
STEVENS POINT, WI

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 1

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - CURVE 1 D 0 M

---Narrative---
WC FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 6517 WEST PASSED A HOT BOX DETECTOR WHICH RECORDED A LOW READING, BUT NOT ENOUGH TO REQUIRE ACTION. ABOUT 10 MILES BEYOND, A BEARING ON THE 20TH CAR EXPERIENCED A TOTAL FAILURE, BURNED OFF THE AXLE, AND STARTED A DERAILMENT. ONE CAR OF CHLORINE WAS INCLUDED IN THE 20-CAR DERAILMENT, BUT IT DID NOT OVERTURN OR LEAK. A PRECAUTIONARY EVACUATION OF ABOUT 50 RESIDENTS FOR ABOUT 2 HOURS WAS INITIATED BY LOCAL AUTHORITIES WHILE AN INSPECTION TOOK PLACE. THERE WERE NO INJURIES ASSOCIATED WITH THE DERAILMENT OR EVACUATION. THE BEARING WAS TOTALLY DESTROYED AND COULD NOT BE EXAMINED.

BRIEF OF ACCIDENT, continued

File No. - 49 | 05/14/88 | SHERIDAN, WI | Time (Lcl) - 1830 CDT

Occurrence #1 - TRAIN COMPONENT SYSTEM/FAILURE/MALFUNCTION
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
1. ROLLER BEARING - OVERHEATED
2. AXLE JOURNAL - BURN-OFF
3. DETECTOR - OBSERVED - TRAIN DISPATCHER

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # LAX88FRZ12 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/23/90

File No. - 54 05/23/88 LAS VEGAS, NV Time (Lcl) - 0700 PDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - UP
Type of Accident - HAZ. MAT. RELEASED
Operating Phase - STANDING
Method of Operation - YARD RULES
TRAFFIC CONTROL

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 0.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	3
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

UP - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - UP
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 3403 WEST
Direction - WEST
Speed (Est.) - 0
Speed (Auth.) - 50

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 3
No. Cars/Caboose - 101/0
End of Train Monitor - MARKER
Length (Feet) - 5657
Trailing Tons - 8250
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - N/A

Crew Information
Front End - 3
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

UP - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - NO

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
MILFORD, UT

Destination
LOS ANGELES, CA

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 1

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/8
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
UP FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 3403 WEST HAD A TANK CAR LOADED WITH SULFURIC ACID THAT WAS OBSERVED TO HAVE LIQUID ON THE SIDE OF THE CAR. THE CAR HAD BEEN LOADED AT KENNECOTT CORPORATION'S GARFIELD, UTAH, FACILITY, AND WAS ENROUTE TO CONSIGNEE, THATCHER CHEMICAL COMPANY IN HENDERSON, NEVADA, WHEN THE LEAK WAS DISCOVERED. THE INVESTIGATION REVEALED THAT THE VENT VALVE DISC WAS RUPTURED AND WAS THE SOURCE OF THE LEAK. AFTER THE DISC WAS REPLACED THE CAR WAS PLACED IN ANOTHER TRAIN TO ITS DESTINATION. NO INJURIES, FIRES, EVACUATION OR DAMAGE OCCURRED. THERE WAS NO ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCE OR CONTAMINATION AS A RESULT OF THIS INCIDENT.

File No. - 54 05/23/88 LAS VEGAS, NV Time (Lcl) - 0700 PDT

Occurrence #1 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STANDING

Finding(s)
1. TANK CAR(S) - LEAK
2. SAFETY RELIEF VALVES - RUPTURED

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 2

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

APPENDIX D

NTSB # ATL88FRZ16 | BRIEF OF ACCIDENT | RUNDATE: 03/23/90

File No. - 61 | 06/11/88 | COLUMBUS, OH | Time (Lcl) - 1215 EDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - CSX
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TIMETABLE
RADIO

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 235,000.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	1	4
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	1	0

CSX - CSX TRANSPORTATION

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - CSX
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 2684 WEST
Direction - WEST
Speed (Est.) - 26
Speed (Auth.) - 30

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 2
No. Cars/Caboose - 111/0
End of Train Monitor - MONITOR
Length (Feet) - 6987
Trailing Tons - 5977
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 11/14

Crew Information
Front End - 4
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

CSX - CSX TRANSPORTATION

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - NO

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
COLUMBUS, OH

Destination
TOLEDO, OH

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 6

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/2
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - CURVE 3 D 24 M

---Narrative---
CSX FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 2684 WEST, TRAVELING ABOUT 26 MPH, HAD THE 24TH CAR DERAIL IN A 3-DEGREE CURVE. THE TRAIN WENT ABOUT 400 FT TO A GRADE CROSSING WHERE THE DERAILED TRUCK WAS TORN FROM THE CAR AND THROWN TO THE SIDE. THE TRAIN CONTINUED A SHORT DISTANCE TO A BRIDGE WHICH THE DETRUCKED CAR STRUCK AND STARTED A DERAILMENT INVOLVING 14 CARS. SMALL AMOUNTS OF TOLUENE AND BUTANE LEAKED FROM TANK CARS. AFTER THE DERAILMENT, A RAILROAD SUPERVISOR AND A FIREFIGHTER RECEIVED MINOR INJURIES. THE FIRST CAR TO DERAIL HAD CLIMBED OVER THE OUTSIDE RAIL IN THE CURVE. THIS CAR REPORTEDLY HAD EXCESSIVE SIDE BEARING CLEARANCE THAT HAD NOT BEEN DETECTED.

File No. - 61 06/11/88 COLUMBUS, OH Time (Lcl) - 1215 EDT

Occurrence #1 - DERAILMENT,INITIAL
Phase - ACCELERATING

Finding(s)
1. SIDE BEARING CLEARANCE - EXCESSIVE
2. EQUIPMENT INSPECTION - IMPROPER - CARMAN
3. VISUAL/AURAL PERCEPTION - CARMAN
4. INADEQUATE METHOD OF COMPLIANCE/NO RECORDKEEPING - COMPANY OPERATOR/MGMT

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT, GENERAL
Phase - ACCELERATING

Occurrence #3 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 2

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # ATL88FRZ19 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/23/90

File No. - 67 06/22/88 CROFTON, KY Time (Lcl) - 1820 CDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - CSX
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TRAFFIC CONTROL TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 861,265.00
Non-Railroad - $ 300,000.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	3
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	12	181	0

CSX - CSX TRANSPORTATION

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - CSX
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 6742 NORTH
Direction - NORTH
Speed (Est.) - 35
Speed (Auth.) - 10

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 3
No. Cars/Caboose - 121/0
End of Train Monitor - MARKER
Length (Feet) - 6625
Trailing Tons - 5827
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 15/37

Crew Information
Front End - 3
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

CSX - CSX TRANSPORTATION

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
NASHVILLE, TN

Destination
EVANSVILLE, IN

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 4

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - DES. 0.60
Alignment - CURVE 4 D 0 M

---Narrative---
CSX FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 6742 NORTH, TRAVELING 35 MPH, HAD 36 CARS DERAIL. ONE OF THE DERAILED CARS WAS A TANK CAR CONTAINING WHITE PHOPHORUS THAT BREACHED, SPILLED SOME PRODUCT, AND IGNITED. AN INITIAL EVACUATION OF 75 FAMILIES WAS INCREASED TO INCLUDE ABOUT 2000 PERSONS WHEN A TOXIC CLOUD FORMED AND MOVED NORTHWARD. 193 PERSONS CLAIMED INJURIES FROM SMOKE INHALATION, EYE IRRITATION, AND RESPIRATORY COMPLAINTS. A 10 MPH SPEED RESTRICTION (DUE TO TRACK CONDITIONS) IN THE AREA OF THE DERAILMENT WAS NOT COMPLIED WITH. THE MESSAGE INFORMING THE CREW OF THIS CONDITION WAS CLEARLY MISSING FROM THE BULLETIN. THE CREW DID NOT CHECK WITH THE DISPATCHER ABOUT THE MISSING MESSAGE, WHICH THEY ARE REQUIRED TO DO. THERE WAS A NEW CENTRALIZED DISPATCHING SYSTEM GOVERNING THIS AREA THAT HAD ONLY BEEN IN EFFECT FOR LESS THAN 2 WEEKS. IT CONSISTED OF A SYSTEM OF COMPUTER TRANSMITTED MESSAGES AND BULLETINS.

File No. - 67 06/22/88 CROFTON, KY Time (Lcl) - 1820 CDT

Occurrence #1 - TRACK COMPONENT SYSTEM/FAILURE/MALFUNCTION
Phase - UNKNOWN

Finding(s)
1. ALIGNMENT - IRREGULAR

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT
Phase - SLOWING

Finding(s)
2. EXTRA TRAIN - OVERSPEED
3. BULLETIN/MESSAGE - NOT COMPLIED - ROAD FREIGHT ENGINEER/MOTORMAN (through freight)
4. BULLETIN/MESSAGE - NOT OBTAINED - ENTIRE TRAIN CREW
5. INATTENTIVE - ROAD FREIGHT CONDUCTOR (through freight)
6. INATTENTIVE - ROAD FREIGHT BRAKEMAN/FLAGMAN (through freight)

Occurrence #3 - FIRE
Phase - STOPPING

Finding(s)
7. DOME - BREACHED

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 2, 3, 5, 6

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 4

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # FTW88FRZ23 | **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** | **RUNDATE:** 09/05/90

File No. - 72 | 07/22/88 | DEER PARK, TX | Time (Lcl) - 0025 CDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - PTRA
Type of Accident - EXPLOSION
Operating Phase - STANDING
Method of Operation - YARD RULES

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 222,000.00
Non-Railroad - $ 33,000.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	0
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

PTRA - PORT TERMINAL RAILROAD ASSOCIATION

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - PTRA
Type of Train - SINGLE CAR
Train ID - N/A
Direction - N/A
Speed (Est.) - 0
Speed (Auth.) - 0

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 0
No. Cars/Caboose - 1/0
End of Train Monitor - NO
Length (Feet) - 50
Trailing Tons - 123
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 1/4

Crew Information
Front End - 0
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - NO
Operational - N/A

PTRA - PORT TERMINAL RAILROAD ASSOCIATION

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLOUDY
Condition of Light - DARK

Evacuation - NO

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
DEER PARK, TX

Destination
DEER PARK, TX

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 1

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - INDUSTRIAL/9
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
AN UNATTENDED TANK CAR LOADED WITH ACID WASHED METHACRYLIC ACID STANDING ON AN INDUSTRIAL YARD TRACK VIOLENTLY RUPTURED, ROCKETING PARTS OF THE CAR AS FAR AS 1,000 FEET AWAY. AN EARLIER LABORATORY TEST REVEALED THAT AN INHIBITOR CONCENTRATION IN THE ACID WAS 15 PARTS PER MILLION (PPM). FOR EXPORT SHIPMENT, INHIBITOR CONCENTRATION MUST BE ABOUT 300 PPM. DUE TO VERBAL MISUNDERSTANDING, ADDITIONAL INHIBITOR WAS NOT ADDED. TWO DAYS LATER THE PRODUCT WAS TESTED AGAIN REVEALING AN INHIBITOR CONCENTRATION OF 0.0 PPM. EMPLOYEES CONSIDERED THIS TO BE A BAD TEST, SO NO ADDITIONAL INHIBITOR WAS ADDED. ABOUT 7 1/2 DAYS AFTER THE SECOND LABORATORY TEST, THE CAR BEGAN TO VENT VAPOR FROM THE PRESSURE RELIEF VALVE WHILE STANDING IN A REMOTE STORAGE YARD INSIDE THE R&H PLANT. EMERGENCY ACTION WAS TAKEN TO DISPERSE THE VAPORS AND KEEP THE CAR COOL, AND TO MINIMIZE DAMAGE IF THE CAR RUPTURED. THE CARGO BEGAN TO POLYMERIZE, RESULTING IN THE EXPLOSION, DERAILING 3 OTHER CARS. NEARBY POWER LINES WERE CUT OFF BY FLYING TANK CAR PARTS.

File No. - 72 07/22/88 DEER PARK, TX Time (Lcl) - 0025 CDT

Occurrence #1 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STANDING

Finding(s)
1. SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS - DISREGARDED - SHIPPER
2. INADEQUATE PROCEDURE - MANUFACTURER
3. INADEQUATE SURVEILLANCE OF OPERATION - MANUFACTURER
4. INADEQUATE PROCEDURE - SERVICE ATTENDANT
5. INFORMATION UNCLEAR (phraseology) - MANUFACTURER
6. INADEQUATE SUBSTANTIATION PROCESS - MANUFACTURER

Occurrence #2 - RUPTURE OF TANK CAR
Phase - STANDING

Finding(s)
7. CARGO - DETERIORATED
8. CARGO - OVERHEATED
9. CARGO - PRESSURE EXCESSIVE

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2, 7, 8, 9

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 3, 4, 5

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # DEN88FRZ17 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/23/90

File No. - 73 07/22/88 FARNUM, NE Time (Lcl) - 1645 CDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - BN
Type of Accident - HIGHWAY CROSSING
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - MANUAL BLOCKS
TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 28,800.00
Non-Railroad - $ 60,000.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	4
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	1	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

BN - BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - BN
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 2348 WEST
Direction - WEST
Speed (Est.) - 30
Speed (Auth.) - 30

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 2
No. Cars/Caboose - 3/0
End of Train Monitor - MONITOR
Length (Feet) - 315
Trailing Tons - 390
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - 0/2
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 0/3

Crew Information
Front End - 4
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

BN - BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - NO

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
HOLDREGE, NE

Destination
CURTIS, NE

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 2

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - DES. 0.60
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
EXTRA 2348 WEST, A TRIWEEKLY LOCAL FREIGHT TRAIN, WAS STRUCK BY A TRACTOR/SEMI-TRAILER AT A CROSSBUCK MARKED GRADE CROSSING ON NEBRASKA STATE HIGHWAY NO. 23, TWO MILES WEST OF FARNUM, NE. TWO LOCOMOTIVES AND THREE CARS WERE DERAILED AND THE TRACTOR/SEMI-TRAILER WAS DESTROYED. THE TRUCK DRIVER WAS SERIOUSLY INJURED. TWO OF THE DERAILED CARS CONTAINED ANHYDROUS AMMONIA THAT DID NOT LEAK. THE FUEL TANK ON THE 2348 WAS RUPTURED SPILLING ABOUT 2000 GALLONS OF DIESEL FUEL. THE TRUCK DRIVER HAD JUST COME OVER THE CREST OF A HILL, WHICH LIMITED HIS LINE OF SIGHT, NEAR THE GRADE CROSSING AND HAD IGNORED THE ADVANCE WARNING SIGN.

BRIEF OF ACCIDENT, continued

File No. - 73 07/22/88 FARNUM, NE Time (Lcl) - 1645 CDT

Occurrence #1 - COLLISION, SIDE
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
1. EXTRA TRAIN - STRUCK
2. VEHICLE HANDLING - IMPROPER - MOTOR VEHICLE/MOTOR CAR OPERATOR
3. INATTENTIVE - DRIVER OF VEHICLE

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 2, 3

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # FTW88FRZ24 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/23/90

File No. - 75 07/24/88 WHITE BLUFF, TN Time (Lcl) - 1030 CDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - CSX
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - AUTOMATIC BLOCK TRAFFIC CONTROL

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 1,753,856.00
Non-Railroad - $ 20,000.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	3
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

CSX - CSX TRANSPORTATION

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - CSX
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 2651 EAST
Direction - EAST
Speed (Est.) - 35
Speed (Auth.) - 50

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 5
No. Cars/Caboose - 150/1
End of Train Monitor - NO
Length (Feet) - 10191
Trailing Tons - 10549
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 32/34

Crew Information
Front End - 3
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

CSX - CSX TRANSPORTATION

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
BREWSTER, TN

Destination
NASHVILLE, TN

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 5

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - DES. 1.50
Alignment - CURVE 3 D 0 M

---Narrative---
CSX FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 2651 EAST HAD 34 CARS DERAIL WHILE TRAVELING 35 MPH DOWN A GRADE THAT HAD REVERSE AND COMPOUND CURVES. A DERAILED TANK CAR WAS BREACHED AND RELEASED 20,000 GALLONS OF PETROLEUM SULFITE WASTE. ONE FAMILY WAS EVACUATED OVERNIGHT. THE TANK CAR WAS NOT LISTED ON THE TRAIN CONSIST AS A HAZMAT CAR. THE ENGINEER'S USE OF THE TRAIN BRAKES AND TRAIN SPEED WERE NOT CONSISTENT WITH GOOD TRAIN HANDLING TECHNIQUES. HEAVILY LOADED CARS WERE ON THE REAR PORTION OF THE TRAIN. TOXICOLOGICAL TESTS OF THE CREW ABOUT 8 HOURS AFTER THE ACCIDENT RESULTED IN THE CONDUCTOR TESTING POSITIVE FOR MARIJUANA METABOLITE IN THE FOLLOWING CONCENTRATIONS: BLOOD, 24 NG/ML; AND URINE, 71 NG/ML.

BRIEF OF ACCIDENT, continued

File No. - 75 | 07/24/88 | WHITE BLUFF, TN | Time (Lcl) - 1030 CDT

Occurrence #1 - DERAILMENT
Phase - SLOWING

Finding(s)
1. TRAIN HANDLING - POOR - ROAD FREIGHT ENGINEER/MOTORMAN (through freight)
2. INADEQUATE SUPERVISION - ROAD FREIGHT CONDUCTOR (through freight)
3. TRAIN MAKEUP - INADEQUATE - CHIEF TRAIN DISPATCHER
4. INSUFFICIENT STANDARDS/REQUIREMENTS - FRA
5. USE OF DRUGS - ROAD FREIGHT CONDUCTOR (through freight)

Occurrence #2 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 2, 3

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # DCA88MRZ06B **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 12/19/90

File No. - 77 07/30/88 ALTOONA, IA Time (Lcl) - 1140 CDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - IAIS
Type of Accident - COLLISION, HEAD-ON
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - YARD RULES
TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 500,000.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - YES

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	2	0	0	0
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	2	1500

IAIS - IOWA INTERSTATE RAILROAD

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - IAIS
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 470 WEST
Direction - WEST
Speed (Est.) -
Speed (Auth.) - 0

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 1
No. Cars/Caboose - 7/0
End of Train Monitor - MARKER
Length (Feet) - 400
Trailing Tons - 700
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - 1/1
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 0/3

Crew Information
Front End - 2
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - N/A

IAIS - IOWA INTERSTATE RAILROAD

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
NEWTON, IA

Destination
DES MOINES, IA

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 2

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - ASC. 0.85
Alignment - CURVE 1 D 0 M

---Narrative---
IAIS FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 406 EAST AND IAIS FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 470 WEST COLLIDED HEAD-ON WITHIN YARD LIMITS. ALL 5 LOCOMOTIVE UNITS FROM BOTH TRAINS; 11 CARS OF EXTRA 406 EAST; AND 3 CARS, INCLUDING 2 TANK CARS CONTAINING DENATURED ALCOHOL, OF EXTRA 470 WEST DERAILED. THE DENATURED ALCOHOL, WHICH WAS RELEASED THROUGH THE PRESSURE RELIEF VALVES AND THE MANWAY DOMES OF THE 2 DERAILED TANK CARS, WAS IGNITED BY THE FIRE RESULTING FROM THE COLLISION. BOTH CREWMEMBERS OF 470 WERE FATALLY INJURED; THE 2 CREWMEMBERS OF 406 HAD MINOR INJURIES. THE CREW OF 406 HAD NOT COMPLIED WITH THE WAIT PROVISIONS OF A TRAIN ORDER AND LEFT THE YARD BEFORE 470 ARRIVED. A COMBINATION OF FATIGUE, INEXPERIENCE, AND OTHER FACTORS CONTRIBUTED TO THE ACCIDENT. THE FRA HAD INADEQUATE SURVEILLANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE IAIS. FOR A MORE DETAILED DESCRIPTION, SEE ACCIDENT REPORT NTSB/RAR-89/04.

File No. - 77 07/30/88 ALTOONA, IA Time (Lcl) - 1140 CDT

Occurrence #1 - COLLISION, HEAD-ON
Phase - SLOWING

Finding(s)
1. OPERATING RULES - NOT COMPLIED - CREW MEMBER OF OTHER TRAIN
2. INADEQUATE SUPERVISION - EXECUTIVES AND OFFICIALS
3. FATIGUE (work schedule) - CREW MEMBER OF OTHER TRAIN
4. SELF-INDUCED PRESSURE - CREW MEMBER OF OTHER TRAIN
5. LACK OF TOTAL EXPERIENCE IN TYPE OF OPERATION - CREW MEMBER OF OTHER TRAIN
6. HABIT INTERFERENCE - CREW MEMBER OF OTHER TRAIN
7. EXPECTANCY - CREW MEMBER OF OTHER TRAIN
8. INADEQUATE TRAINING - EXECUTIVES AND OFFICIALS
9. INADEQUATE SURVEILLANCE OF OPERATION - FRA

Occurrence #2 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

Finding(s)
10. SAFETY RELIEF VALVES - RELEASED
11. MANWAY - RELEASED

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # DCA88MRZ06A **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 12/19/90

File No. - 77 07/30/88 ALTOONA, IA Time (Lcl) - 1140 CDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - IAIS
Type of Accident - COLLISION, HEAD-ON
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - YARD RULES
TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 682,000.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - YES

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	2	0
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	2	0	0	1500

IAIS - IOWA INTERSTATE RAILROAD

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - IAIS
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 406 EAST
Direction - EAST
Speed (Est.) - 15
Speed (Auth.) - 0

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 4
No. Cars/Caboose - 67/0
End of Train Monitor - MONITOR
Length (Feet) - 4000
Trailing Tons - 7000
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - 1/4
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 0/11

Crew Information
Front End - 2
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

IAIS - IOWA INTERSTATE RAILROAD

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
COUNCIL BLUFFS, IA

Destination
NEWTON, IA

Hazardous Materials
Involved - NO
Cars Involved - 0

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - ASC. 0.85
Alignment - CURVE 1 D 0 M

---Narrative---
IAIS FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 406 EAST AND IAIS FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 470 WEST COLLIDED HEAD-ON WITHIN YARD LIMITS. ALL 5 LOCOMOTIVE UNITS FROM BOTH TRAINS; 11 CARS OF EXTRA 406 EAST; AND 3 CARS, INCLUDING 2 TANK CARS CONTAINING DENATURED ALCOHOL, OF EXTRA 470 WEST DERAILED. THE DENATURED ALCOHOL, WHICH WAS RELEASED THROUGH THE PRESSURE RELIEF VALVES AND THE MANWAY DOMES OF THE 2 DERAILED TANK CARS, WAS IGNITED BY THE FIRE RESULTING FROM THE COLLISION. BOTH CREWMEMBERS OF 470 WERE FATALLY INJURED; THE 2 CREWMEMBERS OF 406 HAD MINOR INJURIES. THE CREW OF 406 HAD NOT COMPLIED WITH THE WAIT PROVISIONS OF A TRAIN ORDER AND LEFT THE YARD BEFORE 470 ARRIVED. A COMBINATION OF FATIGUE, INEXPERIENCE, AND OTHER FACTORS CONTRIBUTED TO THE ACCIDENT. THE FRA HAD INADEQUATE SURVEILLANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE IAIS. FOR A MORE DETAILED DESCRIPTION, SEE ACCIDENT REPORT NTSB/RAR-89/04.

File No. - 77 07/30/88 ALTOONA, IA Time (Lcl) - 1140 CDT

Occurrence #1 - COLLISION, HEAD-ON
Phase - SLOWING

Finding(s)
1. OPERATING RULES - NOT COMPLIED - ENTIRE TRAIN CREW
2. INADEQUATE SUPERVISION - EXECUTIVES AND OFFICIALS
3. FATIGUE (work schedule) - ENTIRE TRAIN CREW
4. SELF-INDUCED PRESSURE - ENTIRE TRAIN CREW
5. LACK OF TOTAL EXPERIENCE IN TYPE OF OPERATION - ENTIRE TRAIN CREW
6. HABIT INTERFERENCE - ENTIRE TRAIN CREW
7. EXPECTANCY - ENTIRE TRAIN CREW
8. INADEQUATE TRAINING - EXECUTIVES AND OFFICIALS
9. INADEQUATE SURVEILLANCE OF OPERATION - FRA

Occurrence #2 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

Finding(s)
10. SAFETY RELIEF VALVES - RELEASED
11. MANWAY - RELEASED

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # FTW88FRZ25 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 12/21/90

File No. - 78 07/30/88 UMBARGER, TX Time (Lcl) - 0245 CDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - ATSF
Type of Accident - HAZ. MAT. RELEASED
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TRAFFIC CONTROL TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 82,100.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - YES

Injuries	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	3
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	6

ATSF - ATCHISON, TOPEKA AND SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - ATSF
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 7429 WEST
Direction - WEST
Speed (Est.) - 77
Speed (Auth.) - 70

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 4
No. Cars/Caboose - 53/0
End of Train Monitor - MONITOR
Length (Feet) - 4800
Trailing Tons - 3690
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 1/0

Crew Information
Front End - 3
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

ATSF - ATCHISON, TOPEKA AND SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DARK

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
AMARILLO, TX

Destination
CLOVIS, NM

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 1

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
ATSF FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 7429 WEST STOPPED EN ROUTE DUE TO AN IN-TRAIN FIRE NEAR THE MIDDLE OF THE TRAIN. THE FIRE WAS IN A TRUCK TRAILER VAN LOADED ON A FLAT CAR. THE FIRE BURNED VIOLENTLY FOR A SHORT TIME UNTIL THE ALUMINUM TRUCK TRAILER WAS COMPLETELY CONSUMED AND THE FLAT CAR WAS DESTROYED. A FIRE DEPARTMENT 10 MILES AWAY SAW FIREBALLS IN THE AIR WHILE ON THEIR WAY TO THE SITE. THE TRAILER CARGO CONSISTED OF 105 DRUMS OF POTASSIUM PERMANGANATE, A POWERFUL OXIDIZING AGENT. EACH SINGLE TRIP DRUM HAD A CAPACITY OF 30 GALLONS AND WAS MANUFACTURED FROM 24 GAUGE STEEL TO DOT SPECIFICATION 37A355. THE DRUMS WERE LOADED ON WOODEN PALLETS WITH FOUR DRUMS PER EACH PALLET. THE DESTRUCTION OF THE TRAILER PREVENTED A DETERMINATION OF THE ORIGIN OF THE FIRE. SIX RESIDENTS WERE EVACUATED FROM NEARBY FARM HOUSES FOR ABOUT THREE HOURS. THERE WERE NO INJURIES.

File No. - 78 07/30/88 UMBARGER, TX Time (Lcl) - 0245 CDT

Occurrence #1 - FIRE
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
1. CARGO - BURNED
2. TRAILER - DESTROYED

Occurrence #2 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STANDING

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # FTW88FRZ26 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/23/90

File No. - 79 08/01/88 OHIOPYLE, PA Time (Lcl) - 0315 EDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - CSX
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - AUTOMATIC BLOCK TRAFFIC CONTROL

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 723,000.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - YES

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	4
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

CSX - CSX TRANSPORTATION

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - CSX
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 8388 WEST
Direction - WEST
Speed (Est.) - 34
Speed (Auth.) - 40

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 2
No. Cars/Caboose - 91/0
End of Train Monitor - MONITOR
Length (Feet) - 5300
Trailing Tons - 7746
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 16/19

Crew Information
Front End - 4
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

CSX - CSX TRANSPORTATION

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - FOG
Condition of Light - DARK

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
CUMBERLAND, MD

Destination
WILLARD, OH

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 5

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/2
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
CSX FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 8388 WEST HAD 19 CARS DERAIL WHILE MOVING 34 MPH. DERAILED TANK CARS CONTAINED LIQUID CHLORINE, SODIUM HYDROXIDE, AND HYDROCHLORIC ACID, BUT NONE LEAKED OR SPILLED. TWO BOXCARS LOADED WITH PAPER CAUGHT ON FIRE. WHITE-WATER RAFTERS ON THE YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER WERE EVACUATED FROM THE AREA AND HIKING AND BICYCLE TRAILS WERE CLOSED. ONE OF THE BOXCARS OF PAPER HAD AN AXLE JOURNAL THAT OVERHEATED AND BURNED OFF DUE TO A FAILED ROLLER BEARING. A HOTBOX DETECTOR HAD DETECTED THE OVERHEATED JOURNAL ABOUT 24 MILES PRIOR TO THE DERAILMENT SITE AND THE TRAIN WAS STOPPED FOR AN INSPECTION. THE CONDUCTOR WALKED BACK TO CHECK, BUT HE FAILED TO LOCATE THE HOT AXLE BEARING. DUE TO A MISCALCULATION, HE INSPECTED THE AXLES OF THE WRONG CARS. HE ALSO DID NOT INSPECT THE REQUIRED NUMBER OF CARS. AFTER THIS INADEQUATE INSPECTION, THE TRAIN WAS STARTED AND OPERATED UNTIL THE AXLE JOURNAL FAILED AND THE TRAIN DERAILED.

File No. - 79 08/01/88 OHIOPYLE, PA Time (Lcl) - 0315 EDT

Occurrence #1 - TRAIN COMPONENT SYSTEM/FAILURE/MALFUNCTION
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
1. ROLLER BEARING - OVERHEATED
2. AXLE JOURNAL - BURN-OFF
3. INADEQUATE INSPECTION - ROAD FREIGHT CONDUCTOR (through freight)
4. OPERATING RULES - NOT COMPLIED - ROAD FREIGHT CONDUCTOR (through freight)

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT
Phase - ACCELERATING

Occurrence #3 - FIRE
Phase - STOPPING

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2, 3, 4

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # FTW88FRZ27 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 12/21/90

File No. - 80 08/02/88 BRAZORIA, TX Time (Lcl) - 2105 CDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - UP
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TRAFFIC CONTROL TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 593,700.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - YES

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	4
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	4	66

UP - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - UP
Type of Train - LOCAL FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 832 NORTH
Direction - NORTH
Speed (Est.) - 38
Speed (Auth.) - 35

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 2
No. Cars/Caboose - 44/0
End of Train Monitor - MARKER
Length (Feet) - 2721
Trailing Tons - 4866
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 8/13

Crew Information
Front End - 4
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

UP - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLOUDY
Condition of Light - DUSK

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
BAY CITY, TX

Destination
ANGLETON, TX

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 13

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - CURVE 1 D O M

---Narrative---
UP LOCAL FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 832 NORTH HAD 13 HAZARDOUS MATERIAL TANK CARS DERAIL WHILE MOVING 38 MPH. FIVE TANK CARS CONTAINING ACETALDEHYDE, A FLAMMABLE LIQUID, WERE RUPTURED AND CAUGHT FIRE. ANOTHER ACETALEHYDE TANK CAR WAS ENGULFED IN FLAMES AND EXPLODED. ABOUT 70 LOCAL RESIDENTS WERE EVACUATED FOR 3 HOURS. FOUR PERSONS ENTERED THE DERAILMENT AREA AND WERE LATER TREATED FOR MINOR EYE AND SKIN IRRITATION. THE INITIAL DERAILMENT OCCURRED WHEN A WHEEL OF A TANK CAR CLIMBED OVER THE OUTSIDE RAIL IN A CURVE. ABOUT 1.3 MILES FARTHER, THE DERAILED WHEELSET STRUCK A FACING POINT SWITCH, STARTING THE GENERAL DERAILMENT OF THE 13 TANK CARS. INVESTIGATION REVEALED IRREGULAR TRACK SURFACE AND CURVE ELEVATION OF THE TRACK IN THE AREA OF THE INITIAL DERAILMENT.

File No. - 80 08/02/88 BRAZORIA, TX Time (Lcl) - 2105 CDT

Occurrence #1 - DERAILMENT,INITIAL
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
1. SURFACE - IRREGULAR
2. ELEVATION - IRREGULAR

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT, GENERAL
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Occurrence #3 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

Finding(s)
3. TANK SHELL - RUPTURED
4. TOP FITTINGS - DAMAGE

Occurrence #4 - FIRE/EXPLOSION
Phase - STOPPING

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # LAX88FRZ15 | **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** | **RUNDATE:** 03/23/90

File No. - 81 | 08/04/88 | LAUDONVILLE, OH | Time (Lcl) - 2140 EDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - CR
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TRAFFIC CONTROL TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 3,870,855.00
Non-Railroad - $ 25,000.00

Fire - YES

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	3
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

CR - CONSOLIDATED RAIL CORPORATION

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - CR
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 6460 EAST
Direction - EAST
Speed (Est.) - 54
Speed (Auth.) - 60

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 4
No. Cars/Caboose - 139/1
End of Train Monitor - MARKER
Length (Feet) - 10710
Trailing Tons - 15318
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 39/46

Crew Information
Front End - 3
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

CR - CONSOLIDATED RAIL CORPORATION

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DARK

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
CRESTLINE, OH

Destination
PITTSBURGH, PA

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 5

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/2
Gradient - DES. 0.10
Alignment - CURVE 1 D 30 M

---Narrative---
CR FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 6460 EAST, TRAVELING 54 MPH, HAD 46 CARS DERAIL, INCLUDING 5 TANK CARS. TWO OF THE TANK CARS RUPTURED, SPILLING HEXAMETHYLENE DIAMINE AND OCTYL ALCOHOL, WHICH IGNITED AND BURNED SEVERAL OF THE DERAILED CARS. ABOUT 150 PERSONS WERE EVACUATED. AN ADJOINING CORNFIELD WAS SATURATED WITH HAZMAT, AND ABOUT 5,000 CUBIC YARDS OF SOIL HAD TO BE REMOVED. A BROKEN RAIL WAS FOUND AT THE POINT OF DERAILMENT. THE BROKEN RAIL HAD A VERTICAL SPLIT HEAD DEFECT (VSH) ON THE BALL OF THE RAIL WHICH BROKE UNDER THE TRAIN. THE TRACK HAD BEEN VISUALLY INSPECTED EARLIER THAT DAY, BUT THE VSH WAS NOT DETECTED. THE RAILS WERE LAST TESTED BY A RAIL TEST CAR IN FEBRUARY 1988, BUT THE VSH WAS NOT DETECTED AT THAT TIME. A VSH IS NORMALLY A MANUFACTURING DEFECT.

File No. - 81 08/04/88 LAUDONVILLE, OH Time (Lcl) - 2140 EDT

Occurrence #1 - DERAILMENT
Phase - ACCELERATING

Finding(s)
1. RAIL - DEFECT, INTERNAL
2. MATERIAL DEFECT - MANUFACTURER
3. RAIL - BROKEN

Occurrence #2 - RUPTURE OF TANK CAR
Phase - STOPPING

Finding(s)
4. TANK CAR(S) - BREACHED

Occurrence #3 - FIRE
Phase - STOPPING

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2, 3

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 4

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # FTW88FRZ28 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/26/90

File No. - 83 08/06/88 ELSBERRY, MO Time (Lcl) - 0630 CDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - BN
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - AUTOMATIC BLOCK TRAFFIC CONTROL

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 468,000.00
Non-Railroad - $ 100,000.00

Fire - YES

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	3
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

BN - BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - BN
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 7192 WEST
Direction - WEST
Speed (Est.) - 46
Speed (Auth.) - 60

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 2
No. Cars/Caboose - 113/0
End of Train Monitor - MONITOR
Length (Feet) - 6900
Trailing Tons - 8568
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 11/14

Crew Information
Front End - 3
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

BN - BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAWN

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
BURLINGTON, IA

Destination
ST. LOUIS, MO

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 0

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - ASC. 0.18
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 7192 WEST, CONSISTING OF 2 LOCOMOTIVE UNITS AND 113 CARS, HAD 14 CARS DERAIL WHILE MOVING 46 MPH. A ROLLER BEARING ON CAR DRGW 22517 HAD OVERHEATED, AND AN AXLE JOURNAL BURNED OFF. CAR DRGW 22517 DERAILED FIRST, AND LATER A GENERAL DERAILMENT OCCURRED. THE DERAILED CARS STRUCK ADJACENT STATIONARY FUEL AND ANHYDROUS AMMONIA STORAGE TANKS, AND THE FUEL TANK CAUGHT ON FIRE. THE ANHYDROUS AMMONIA TANK ALSO LEAKED. THE HAZMAT STORAGE TANKS WERE ABOUT 100 FEET FROM THE TRACK. AN EVACUATION OF ABOUT 600 PEOPLE RESULTED. THE TRAIN HAD PASSED A HOTBOX DETECTOR 96 MILES BEFORE THE ACCIDENT SITE AND A MINOR OVERHEATING WAS RECORDED FOR THAT WHEEL, BUT NOT ENOUGH TO ACTIVATE THE DETECTOR ALARM.

File No. - 83 08/06/88 ELSBERRY, MO Time (Lcl) - 0630 CDT

Occurrence #1 - TRAIN COMPONENT SYSTEM/FAILURE/MALFUNCTION
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
1. ROLLER BEARING - OVERHEATED
2. AXLE JOURNAL - BURN-OFF

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT,INITIAL
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Occurrence #3 - DERAILMENT, GENERAL
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Occurrence #4 - FIRE/EXPLOSION
Phase - STOPPING

Finding(s)
3. HAZMAT STORAGE - OFF TRACK

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 3

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # ATL88FRZ20 | BRIEF OF ACCIDENT | RUNDATE: 03/26/90

File No. - 84 | 08/08/88 | ELBERTON, GA | Time (Lcl) - 1325 EDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - CSX
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TRAFFIC CONTROL TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 2,987,200.00
Non-Railroad - $ 3,000,000.00

Fire - NO

Injuries	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	3
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	2	23	0

CSX - CSX TRANSPORTATION

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - CSX
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 8152 NORTH
Direction - NORTH
Speed (Est.) - 60
Speed (Auth.) - 35

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 3
No. Cars/Caboose - 96/1
End of Train Monitor - NO
Length (Feet) - 6314
Trailing Tons - 8373
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 56/61

Crew Information
Front End - 3
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

CSX - CSX TRANSPORTATION

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
ELBERTON, GA

Destination
HAMLET, NC

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 7

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - DES. 1.20
Alignment - CURVE 4 D 30 M

---Narrative---

CSX FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 8152 NORTH, TRAVELING AT 60 MPH, HAD 61 FREIGHT CARS DERAIL. SIX TANK CARS AND A COVERED HOPPER CAR CONTAINING HAZARDOUS MATERIALS WERE DERAILED. ALL 7 CARS SPILLED OR LEAKED. THEY CONTAINED PARA-XYLENE, FERRIC CHLORIDE, AND ADEPIC ACID. ABOUT 300 PERSONS WERE EVACUATED FROM A 3-MILE RADIUS. TWO PERSONS WERE SERIOUSLY INJURED AND 23 WERE TREATED FOR HAZARDOUS MATERIAL CONTAMINATION AND RELEASED. THERE WAS NO FIRE. THERE WAS EXTENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL DAMAGE TO THE GROUND WATER, AND ABOUT 1,000 FISH WERE KILLED IN LAKE RUSSELL. FUTURE ENVIRONMENTAL DAMAGE AND CLEANUP REQUIREMENTS ARE ESTIMATED TO BE EXTENSIVE. THE ENGINEER HAD LIMITED EXPERIENCE IN OPERATING HEAVY FREIGHT TRAINS IN GRADE TERRITORY. HIS REGULAR ASSIGNMENT WAS ON A WORK TRAIN. HE STATED "THE TRAIN HAD JUST GOT AWAY FROM ME." THE MAXIMUM AUTHORIZED SPEED WAS 35 MPH. AFTER THE ACCIDENT, THE CSX REVISED THE ENGINEER QUALIFICATION RULES.

File No. - 84 08/08/88 ELBERTON, GA Time (Lcl) - 1325 EDT

Occurrence #1 - DERAILMENT
Phase - SLOWING

Finding(s)
1. SPEED - EXCESSIVE - ROAD FREIGHT ENGINEER/MOTORMAN (through freight)
2. TRAIN HANDLING - IMPROPER - ROAD FREIGHT ENGINEER/MOTORMAN (through freight)
3. OVER CONFIDENCE IN PERSONAL ABILITY - ROAD FREIGHT ENGINEER/MOTORMAN (through freight)
4. INADEQUATE PROCEDURE - COMPANY OPERATOR/MGMT

Occurrence #2 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

Finding(s)
5. BOTTOM OUTLET VALVES - BROKEN

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 3, 4, 5

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # CHI88FRZ27 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/26/90

File No. - 85 08/10/88 ELM GROVE, WI Time (Lcl) - 1305 CDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - SOO
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TIMETABLE
RADIO

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 1,363,622.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	3
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

SOO - SOO LINE RAILROAD COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - SOO
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 6618 EAST
Direction - EAST
Speed (Est.) - 40
Speed (Auth.) - 49

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 3
No. Cars/Caboose - 116/0
End of Train Monitor - MARKER
Length (Feet) - 7959
Trailing Tons - 9754
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 14/24

Crew Information
Front End - 3
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

SOO - SOO LINE RAILROAD COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
PORTAGE, WI

Destination
MILWAUKEE, WI

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 5

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/2
Gradient - DES. 0.80
Alignment - CURVE 2 D 0 M

---Narrative---
SOO FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 6618 EAST WAS MOVING 40 MPH WHEN 24 CARS DERAILED. THE FIRST 25 CARS OF THE TRAIN WERE EMPTY 89-FT FLAT CARS. THE LAST OF THESE CARS INITIATED THE DERAILMENT WHEN IMPROPER TRAIN HANDLING ALLOWED A SLACK RUN-IN, AND FORCED THE CAR TO CLIMB THE OUTSIDE RAIL OF A CURVE. THE ENGINEER WAS BRAKING THE TRAIN BY MANIPULATING THE FEED VALVE INSTEAD OF THE AUTOMATIC BRAKE VALVE DUE TO AT LEAST 1 CAR WITH MALFUNCTIONING BRAKES IN THE TRAIN. THE ENGINEER AND THE DIVISION ROAD FOREMAN OF ENGINES KNEW OF THIS PROBLEM BEFORE THE TRAIN DEPARTED ITS INITIAL TERMINAL, BUT NO ACTION WAS TAKEN TO CORRECT IT. THREE LOADED TANK CARS CONTAINING ISOBUTANE AND METHANOL, AND 2 TANK CARS WITH HAZARDOUS MATERIAL RESIDUE WERE DERAILED IN THIS ACCIDENT. THERE WAS NO RELEASE OF HAZARDOUS MATERIAL, BUT 300 PERSONS WERE EVACUATED FROM THE BUSINESS DISTRICT OF ELM GROVE AS A PRECAUTIONARY MEASURE.

File No. - 85 08/10/88 ELM GROVE, WI Time (Lcl) - 1305 CDT

Occurrence #1 - TRAIN COMPONENT SYSTEM/FAILURE/MALFUNCTION
Phase - STANDING

Finding(s)
1. BRAKES - DEFECTIVE
2. OPERATING RULES - NOT OBSERVED - DIVISON OFFICER
3. INATTENTIVE - DIVISION OFFICER
4. INADEQUATE SURVEILLANCE OF OPERATION - COMPANY OPERATOR/MGMT

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT
Phase - MAINTAINING POWER

Finding(s)
5. TERRAIN CONDITION - DOWNHILL
6. TRAIN HANDLING - IMPROPER - ROAD FREIGHT ENGINEER/MOTORMAN (through freight)
7. OPERATING RULES - NOT OBSERVED - ROAD FREIGHT ENGINEER/MOTORMAN (through freight)
8. INADEQUATE SURVEILLANCE OF OPERATION - COMPANY OPERATOR/MGMT
9. TRAIN MAKEUP - POOR - DIVISON OFFICER
10. INSUFFICIENT STANDARDS/REQUIREMENTS - COMPANY OPERATOR/MGMT

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 2, 6, 7

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 4, 8, 9, 10

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # ATL88FRZ21 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/26/90

File No. - 86 08/13/88 ATHENS, GA Time (Lcl) - 0201 EDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - CSX
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TRAFFIC CONTROL TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 639,650.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	4
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

CSX - CSX TRANSPORTATION

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - CSX
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 8965 WEST
Direction - WEST
Speed (Est.) - 46
Speed (Auth.) - 55

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 7
No. Cars/Caboose - 152/0
End of Train Monitor - MARKER
Length (Feet) - 8151
Trailing Tons - 9542
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 12/41

Crew Information
Front End - 4
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

CSX - CSX TRANSPORTATION

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
ABBEVILLE, GA

Destination
ATLANTA, GA

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 6

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - DES. 0.05
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
CSX FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 8965 WEST HAD 41 CARS DERAIL WHILE MOVING ABOUT 46 MPH, AS RECORDED BY A HOTBOX DETECTOR. THE TRAIN WAS APPROACHING AN AREA WITH A SPEED RESTRICTION OF 25 MPH WHEN THE ENGINEER ATTEMPTED TO SLOW THE TRAIN BY MAKING AN APPLICATION OF THE LOCOMOTIVE INDEPENDENT BRAKE, INSTEAD OF A SERVICE BRAKE APPLICATION. A RUN-IN OCCURRED WHICH BROKE A COUPLER KNUCKLE ON THE 18TH CAR, TILTED A RAIL OVER, AND STARTED A DERAILMENT. SIX OF THE DERAILED CARS WERE EMPTY TANK CARS. ONE OF THEM OVERTURNED AND ALLOWED A SMALL AMOUNT OF RESIDUAL SULFUR DIOXIDE TO ESCAPE THROUGH A DOME FITTING. ONE LOCAL RESIDENT WAS EVACUATED FROM A NEARBY RESIDENCE.

BRIEF OF ACCIDENT, continued

File No. - 86 | 08/13/88 | ATHENS, GA | Time (Lcl) - 0201 EDT

Occurrence #1 - DERAILMENT
Phase - DECELERATING

Finding(s)
1. TRAIN HANDLING - IMPROPER - ROAD FREIGHT ENGINEER/MOTORMAN (through freight)
2. BRAKES - IMPROPER USE OF - ROAD FREIGHT ENGINEER/MOTORMAN (through freight)
3. INATTENTIVE - ROAD FREIGHT ENGINEER/MOTORMAN (through freight)
4. TRAIN - SLACK RUN-IN
5. COUPLER KNUCKLE - BROKEN
6. TRACK GAGE - EXCESSIVE
7. INADEQUATE SURVEILLANCE OF OPERATION - COMPANY OPERATOR/MGMT

Occurrence #2 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STANDING

Finding(s)
8. TOP FITTINGS - NOT SECURED

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2, 3, 4, 6

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 5, 7, 8

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # ATL88FRZ22 | **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** | **RUNDATE:** 12/21/90

File No. - 88 | 08/18/88 | MEMPHIS, TN | Time (Lcl) - 1600 CDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - ICG
Type of Accident - HAZ. MAT. RELEASED
Operating Phase - STANDING
Method of Operation - N/A

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 150,000.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	0
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	4	0

ICG - ILLINOIS CENTRAL GULF RAILROAD COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - ZGAT
Type of Train - SINGLE CAR
Train ID - N/A
Direction - N/A
Speed (Est.) - 0
Speed (Auth.) - 0

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 0
No. Cars/Caboose - 1/0
End of Train Monitor - NO
Length (Feet) - 65
Trailing Tons - N/A
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - N/A

Crew Information
Front End - 0
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - NO
Operational - N/A

ZGAT - GENERAL AMERICAN TRANSPORTATION

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLOUDY
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
MEMPHIS, TN

Destination
MEMPHIS, TN

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 1

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - INDUSTRIAL/8
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
AN ICG SWITCHING CREW HAD SWITCHED TANK CAR GATX 301045 TO A HOLDING TRACK IN THE YARD OF THE VELSICOL CHEMICAL PLANT. THE CAR CONTAINED 20,000 GALLONS OF MURIATIC ACID. ABOUT 4 HOURS LATER, A PLANT EMPLOYEE NOTICED THE CAR WAS LEAKING AND A CLOUD WAS FORMING ABOVE IT. THE ENTIRE LOAD OF ACID SPILLED OUT ONTO THE GROUND. A FIRE CHIEF ARRIVING AT THE SCENE EVACUATED AN AREA EXTENDING ONE-QUARTER MILE TO THE SOUTH AND WEST. THE INVESTIGATION DISCLOSED THAT THE TANK CAR HAD BEEN IN AN ACCIDENT IN MARCH OF 1988 AND SENT TO THE CAR OWNER'S PLANT (GATC) FOR REPAIRS. AN OVERSIGHT BY A CLERK IN THE GATC'S FLEET SERVICE DEPARTMENT ALLOWED THE CAR TO BE CLASSIFIED AS OK BEFORE THE CAR LINING HAD BEEN REPAIRED. WHEN THE CAR WAS LOADED, THE HEAD WELD ON ONE END OF THE CAR SPLIT, RESULTING IN A 77-INCH CRACK THAT SPILLED THE LOAD. THREE RAILROAD EMPLOYEES AND 1 EMPLOYEE AT A NEARBY JUNKYARD COMPLAINED OF THROAT IRRITATION.

File No. - 88 08/18/88 MEMPHIS, TN Time (Lcl) - 1600 CDT

Occurrence #1 - RUPTURE OF TANK CAR
Phase - STANDING

Finding(s)
1. TANK CAR HEAD (A-END) - RUPTURED
2. EQUIPMENT REPAIR - NOT PERFORMED - OTHER MAINTENANCE PERSON
3. INATTENTIVE - CLERK
4. INADEQUATE PROCEDURE - COMPANY OPERATOR/MGMT

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2, 3

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 4

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # ATL88FRZ23 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/26/90

File No. - 95 09/15/88 JACKSONVILLE, FL Time (Lcl) - 0206 EDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - CSX
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - AUTOMATIC BLOCK TRAFFIC CONTROL

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 1,368,000.00
Non-Railroad - $ 420,607.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	4
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	1	2	0

CSX - CSX TRANSPORTATION

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - CSX
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 8923 NORTH
Direction - NORTH
Speed (Est.) - 64
Speed (Auth.) - 50

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 6
No. Cars/Caboose - 40/1
End of Train Monitor - NO
Length (Feet) - 2635
Trailing Tons - 3763
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 18/26

Crew Information
Front End - 2
Rear End - 2
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

CSX - CSX TRANSPORTATION

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DARK

Evacuation - NO

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
BAINBRIDGE, GA

Destination
JACKSONVILLE, FL

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 4

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---

CSX FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 8923 NORTH HAD 26 CARS DERAIL WHILE MOVING OVER A GRADE CROSSING AT 64 MPH IN A 50 MPH AREA. A TANK CAR CONTAINING POTASSIUM HYDROXIDE OVERTURNED AND THE CARGO SPILLED. THREE FLORIDA HIGHWAY PATROL TROOPERS INVESTIGATING THE SITE INHALED FUMES AND WERE HOSPITALIZED. SPEED TAPES INDICATED THE TRAIN HAD BEEN OPERATED AT ERRATIC SPEEDS. THE ENGINEER HAD SLOWED THE TRAIN USING ONLY THE INDEPENDENT LOCOMOTIVE BRAKES, WHICH IS NOT CONSISTENT WITH GOOD TRAIN HANDLING TECHNIQUES. THE RESULTING FORCES DISTORTED THE TRACK STRUCTURE WHICH WAS NOT PROPERLY RESTRAINED BY THE BALLAST. EVIDENCE OF SOIL MIGRATION FROM UNDER THE TRACK STRUCTURE RESULTED IN THE BALLAST SINKING FROM UNDER THE ROAD CROSSING. THE RUBBER MATERIAL USED AT THE CROSSING MADE OBSERVATION OF THE BALLAST CONDITION BY TRACK INSPECTORS IMPOSSIBLE DURING NORMAL INSPECTION. LOSSES WERE INCURRED BY LOCAL BUSINESSES THAT COULD NOT OPEN THE NEXT DAY, AND BY DAMAGES TO TELEPHONE CABLES UNDER THE TRACK.

File No. - 95 09/15/88 JACKSONVILLE, FL Time (Lcl) - 0206 EDT

Occurrence #1 - DERAILMENT
Phase - STOPPING

Finding(s)
1. SPEED - EXCESSIVE - ROAD FREIGHT ENGINEER/MOTORMAN (through freight)
2. TRAIN HANDLING - IMPROPER - ROAD FREIGHT ENGINEER/MOTORMAN (through freight)
3. INADEQUATE SURVEILLANCE OF OPERATION - COMPANY OPERATOR/MGMT
4. BALLAST - COLLAPSED
5. TRACK INSPECTION - NOT POSSIBLE -

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2, 4

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 3, 5

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # CHI88FRZ29 | BRIEF OF ACCIDENT | RUNDATE: 03/26/90

File No. - 100 | 09/25/88 | SUMMIT, IL | Time (Lcl) - 0845 CDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - ICG
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - YARD RULES
AUTOMATIC BLOCK

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 87,500.00
Non-Railroad - $ 10,000.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	3
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	30

ICG - ILLINOIS CENTRAL GULF RAILROAD COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - ICG
Type of Train - LOCAL FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 8343 SOUTH
Direction - SOUTH
Speed (Est.) - 10
Speed (Auth.) - 15

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 2
No. Cars/Caboose - 54/1
End of Train Monitor - NO
Length (Feet) - 2797
Trailing Tons - 3928
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 0/6

Crew Information
Front End - 2
Rear End - 1
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

ICG - ILLINOIS CENTRAL GULF RAILROAD COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
CHICAGO, IL

Destination
JOLIET, IL

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 5

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/3
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
ICG LOCAL FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 8343 SOUTH HAD 6 CARS DERAIL WHILE MOVING 10 MPH THROUGH A CROSSOVER. FOUR OF THE CARS CONTAINED HAZARDOUS MATERIALS, AND 1 TANK CAR OF PHOSPHORIC ACID WAS PUNCTURED BY A PIECE OF RAIL AND LEAKED OVER 200 GALLONS. A YARD SWITCHMAN ALINED THE SWITCH FOR THE TRAIN TO GO THROUGH THE CROSSOVER, BUT HE DID NOT LOCK IT PROPERLY. AS THE CARS MOVED OVER THE SWITCH, THE POINTS GAPPED OPEN AND THE 27TH CAR HEADED TOWARD THE NORTHBOUND TRACK INSTEAD OF FOLLOWING ACROSS TO THE SOUTHBOUND TRACK. THE CARS WENT ABOUT 300 FEET BEFORE THE PILEUP BEGAN. ABOUT 30 PERSONS WERE EVACUATED FOR OVER 3 HOURS.

File No. - 100 09/25/88 SUMMIT, IL Time (Lcl) - 0845 CDT

Occurrence #1 - DERAILMENT
Phase - ACCELERATING

Finding(s)
1. SWITCH STAND LOCK - UNLOCKED
2. SWITCHING RULES - NOT COMPLIED - YARD BRAKEMAN/YARD HELPER
3. SWITCH POINT(S) - OPEN

Occurrence #2 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - ACCELERATING

Finding(s)
4. TANK CAR(S) - PUNCTURED

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2, 3

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 4

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # ATL89FRZ02 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/26/90

File No. - 103 10/13/88 RINEYVILLE, KY Time (Lcl) - 0345 CDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - PAL
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - AUTOMATIC BLOCK TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 189,169.00
Non-Railroad - $ 24,569.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	2
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

PAL - PADUCAH & LOUISVILLE RAILWAY COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - PAL
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 8301 NORTH
Direction - NORTH
Speed (Est.) - 30
Speed (Auth.) - 30

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 4
No. Cars/Caboose - 49/0
End of Train Monitor - MONITOR
Length (Feet) - 3345
Trailing Tons - 2000
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 0/12

Crew Information
Front End - 2
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

PAL - PADUCAH & LOUISVILLE RAILWAY COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DARK

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
BEAVER DAM, KY

Destination
LOUISVILLE, KY

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 7

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - DES. 0.28
Alignment - CURVE 5 D 0 M

---Narrative---
PAL FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 8301 NORTH HAD 12 CARS DERAIL IN A FIVE-DEGREE LEFT CURVE WHILE MOVING 30 MPH. INVESTIGATION REVEALED A STRESS FRACTURE IN THE OUTSIDE RAIL OF THE CURVE RESULTED IN THE RAIL BREAKING UNDER THE TRAIN. SEVEN OF THE DERAILED CARS WERE TANK CARS CONTAINING HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. THREE OF THE TANK CARS LEAKED PHOSPHORIC ACID, ACETIC ACID AND CAUSTIC SODA. 10 FAMILIES WERE EVACUATED FROM THEIR HOMES. THE TRACK HAD BEEN ULTRASONICALLY TESTED ABOUT 2 WEEKS BEFORE THE ACCIDENT. THE TESTING REVEALED THE FRACTURE IN THE RAIL, BUT THE TEST EQUIPMENT OPERATOR ATTRIBUTED THE DEFECT TO FLAWS IN THE RAIL HEAD AND THE FRACTURE WAS NOT REPORTED TO THE RAILROAD.

File No. - 103 10/13/88 RINEYVILLE, KY Time (Lcl) - 0345 CDT

Occurrence #1 - TRACK COMPONENT SYSTEM/FAILURE/MALFUNCTION
Phase -

Finding(s)
1. RAIL - FRACTURED
2. TRACK INSPECTION - MISJUDGED - TRACK EQUIPMENT OPERATOR
3. VISUAL/AURAL PERCEPTION - TRACK EQUIPMENT OPERATOR
4. INADEQUATE SUBSTANTIATION PROCESS - CONTRACTOR PERSONNEL

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
5. RAIL - BROKEN

Occurrence #3 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

Finding(s)
6. TANK CAR(S) - LEAK

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2, 3, 5

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 4, 6

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # ATL89FRZ03 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/26/90

File No. - 104 10/16/88 EASLEY, SC Time (Lcl) - 0700 EDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - NS
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - AUTOMATIC BLOCK TRAFFIC CONTROL

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 837,645.00
Non-Railroad - $ 101,823.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	4
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

NS - NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - NS
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 7011 NORTH
Direction - NORTH
Speed (Est.) - 42
Speed (Auth.) - 55

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 4
No. Cars/Caboose - 121/1
End of Train Monitor - NO
Length (Feet) - 7000
Trailing Tons - 9963
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 11/20

Crew Information
Front End - 3
Rear End - 1
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

NS - NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLOUDY
Condition of Light - DAWN

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
ATLANTA, GA

Destination
GREENVILLE, SC

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 10

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/2
Gradient - DES. 0.20
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
NS FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 7011 NORTH HAD 20 CARS DERAIL WHILE MOVING 42 MPH. TEN OF THE DERAILED CARS WERE TANK CARS CONTAINING HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. FOUR TANK CARS OF CAUSTIC SODA AND 1 TANK CAR OF STYRENE MONOMER LEAKED OR SPILLED THEIR CARGO. A PRECAUTIONARY EVACUATION OF ABOUT 130 PERSONS WAS ORDERED BY A LOCAL FIRE CHIEF. THE FIRST CAR TO DERAIL WAS A BOXCAR WITH A BROKEN WHEEL THAT HAD OVERHEATED. THE FRACTURE HAD BEGUN AT A SERIAL NUMBER THAT HAD BEEN HOT STAMPED ON THE BACK OF THE WHEEL RIM DURING MANUFACTURING. WHEELS ARE NO LONGER STAMPED IN THIS MANNER, BUT THE WHEEL PREDATED THIS CHANGE. THE WHEEL HAD APPARENTLY OVERHEATED AND CRACKED FROM BRAKING. THE WHEEL THEN SLID INWARD ON THE AXLE AND DROPPED INTO THE TRACK GAGE. FARTHER ON, THE DERAILED WHEEL STRUCK A SWITCH FROG AND CLOSURE RAIL, STARTING A GENERAL DERAILMENT.

File No. - 104 10/16/88 EASLEY, SC Time (Lcl) - 0700 EDT

Occurrence #1 - DERAILMENT,INITIAL
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
1. WHEEL - OVERHEATED
2. WHEEL - CRACKED
3. MATERIAL DEFECT - MANUFACTURER
4. WHEEL - LOOSE

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT, GENERAL
Phase - SLOWING

Finding(s)
5. SWITCH FROG - STRUCK
6. CLOSURE RAIL - STRUCK

Occurrence #3 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

Finding(s)
7. DOME - LEAK

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 7

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # CHI89FRZ05 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/26/90

File No. - 112 10/26/88 PEARL, IL Time (Lcl) - 0622 CDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - CMNW
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TIMETABLE
TRACK WARRANT CONT

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 283,000.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	3
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

CMNW - CHICAGO MISSOURI & WESTERN RAILWAY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - CMNW
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 3018 EAST
Direction - EAST
Speed (Est.) - 7
Speed (Auth.) - 10

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 3
No. Cars/Caboose - 58/0
End of Train Monitor - MARKER
Length (Feet) - 3770
Trailing Tons - 4630
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 0/13

Crew Information
Front End - 3
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

CMNW - CHICAGO MISSOURI & WESTERN RAILWAY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - NO

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
SLATER, MO

Destination
ROODHOUSE, IL

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 1

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - DES. 0.79
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
CMW FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 3018 EAST HAD 13 CARS DERAIL WHILE MOVING ABOUT 7 MPH. ONE OF THE DERAILED CARS WAS A TANK CAR LOADED WITH 23,000 GALLONS OF ISOPROPANOL. ABOUT 4,000 GALLONS OF CARGO LEAKED FROM A BROKEN MEASURING STICK APERTURE IN THE DOME THAT WAS DAMAGED WHEN THE CAR ROLLED OVER. THE DERAILMENT OCCURRED AT A RAIL THAT HAD A HEAD AND WEB SEPARATION AND THE RAIL BROKE UNDER THE TRAIN. THERE WAS EVIDENCE OF VERTICAL SPLIT HEAD DEFECTS THAT EXISTED PRIOR TO THE DERAILMENT. THE RAIL WAS INSPECTED THE DAY BEFORE THE ACCIDENT FROM A HI-RAIL VEHICLE AND NO DEFECTS WERE NOTED. THIS TYPE OF INSPECTION IS PERMITTED BY FRA REGULATIONS. A GENERAL ORDER HAD BEEN ISSUED REDUCING THE MAXIMUM SPEED TO 10 MPH IN THE AREA DUE TO POOR TRACK CONDITIONS.

File No. - 112 10/26/88 PEARL, IL Time (Lcl) - 0622 CDT

Occurrence #1 - TRACK COMPONENT SYSTEM/FAILURE/MALFUNCTION
Phase -

Finding(s)
1. RAIL HEAD - SEPARATION
2. TRACK INSPECTION - INADEQUATE - MAINTENANCE OF WAY INSPECTOR
3. INSUFFICIENT STANDARDS/REQUIREMENTS - FRA

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT
Phase - SLOWING

Finding(s)
4. RAIL - BROKEN

Occurrence #3 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

Finding(s)
5. DOME - LEAK

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 4

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 2, 5

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # FTW89FRZ01 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 12/19/90

File No. - 113 10/26/88 MORGANZA, LA Time (Lcl) - 0440 CDT

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - LA
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TRACK WARRANT CONT

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 316,300.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	4
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	300

LA - LOUISIANA & ARKANSAS RAILWAY COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - LA
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 4003 NORTH
Direction - NORTH
Speed (Est.) - 22
Speed (Auth.) - 25

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 3
No. Cars/Caboose - 94/1
End of Train Monitor - N/A
Length (Feet) - 5749
Trailing Tons - 7003
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 2/14

Crew Information
Front End - 3
Rear End - 1
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

LA - LOUISIANA & ARKANSAS RAILWAY COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLOUDY
Condition of Light - DARK

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
NEW ORLEANS, LA

Destination
ALEXANDRIA, LA

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 6

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - CURVE 1 D 10 M

---Narrative---
LA FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 4003 NORTH HAD 14 CARS DERAIL WHILE CROSSING A BRIDGE AT 22 MPH. SIX TANK CARS CONTAINING HAZMAT WERE DERAILED. ONE EMPTY TANK CAR THAT LAST CONTAINED TOLUENE DIISOCYANATE WAS PUNCTURED, BUT NO PRODUCT SPILLED. A PRECAUTIONARY EVACUATION OF ABOUT 300 PERSONS WAS MADE FOR ABOUT 5 HOURS. A PRIOR TRAIN REPORTED A SOUND THAT WAS LIKE A RAIL BREAKING. A TRACK FOREMAN WAS SENT OUT TO INSPECT THE TRACK BEFORE THE ARRIVAL OF 4003. HOWEVER, DUE TO MISUNDERSTOOD RADIO COMMUNICATIONS, HE WENT TO THE WRONG END OF THE BRIDGE AND FOUND GAPPED RAILS AND MISSING RAIL BOLTS. ASSUMING THIS WAS WHAT CAUSED THE NOISE PREVIOUSLY HEARD, HE CALLED THE CREW OF 4003 AND TOLD THEM TO PROCEED. WHEN 4003 PASSED OVER THE OTHER END OF THE BRIDGE, THE TRAIN DERAILED. INVESTIGATION REVEALED THAT 2 JOINT BARS HAD BROKEN AT THE POINT OF DERAILMENT. TOX TESTS OF THE CREW INDICATED THE PRESENCE OF MARIJUANA IN THE HEAD BRAKEMAN AT CONCENTRATIONS OF 1 NG/ML (BLOOD), AND MARIJUANA METABOLITE AT 44 NG/ML (BLOOD) AND 255 NG/ML (URINE).

File No. - 113 10/26/88 MORGANZA, LA Time (Lcl) - 0440 CDT

Occurrence #1 - DERAILMENT
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
1. JOINT BAR, NONCOMPROMISE - FATIGUED
2. JOINT BAR, NONCOMPROMISE - BROKEN
3. TRACK INSPECTION - INACCURATE - TRACK GANG FOREMAN
4. VISUAL/AURAL PERCEPTION - TRACK GANG FOREMAN
5. USE OF DRUGS - ROAD FREIGHT BRAKEMAN/FLAGMAN (through freight)

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 3, 4

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # LAX89FRZ02 | BRIEF OF ACCIDENT | RUNDATE: 03/26/90

File No. - 115 | 11/02/88 | NEWCASTLE, CA | Time (Lcl) - 0455 PST

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - SP
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - AUTOMATIC BLOCK TRAFFIC CONTROL

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 907,150.00
Non-Railroad - $ 100,000.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	5
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	2	0

SP - SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - SP
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 6830 WEST
Direction - WEST
Speed (Est.) - 31
Speed (Auth.) - 30

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 10
No. Cars/Caboose - 106/1
End of Train Monitor - NO
Length (Feet) - 6210
Trailing Tons - 8036
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 17/22

Crew Information
Front End - 3
Rear End - 2
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

SP - SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - RAIN, FOG
Condition of Light - DARK

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
SPARKS, NE

Destination
OAKLAND, CA

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 1

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/2
Gradient - DES. 1.90
Alignment - CURVE 1 D 34 M

---Narrative---

SP FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 6830 WEST HAD 22 CARS DERAIL WHILE MOVING 31 MPH. ABOUT 300 PERSONS WERE EVACUATED FOR ABOUT 16 HOURS AS A PRECAUTIONARY MEASURE AFTER A DERAILED TANK CAR CONTAINING ETHYL ALCOHOL WAS RUPTURED AND RELEASED ITS CARGO. TWO PERSONS WERE TREATED AND RELEASED FOR NAUSEA RESULTING FROM FUMES INHALATION. THE WEATHER WAS DRIZZLING RAIN AND HEAVY FOG. IT REQUIRED 5 HOURS FOR EMERGENCY PERSONNEL TO IDENTIFY THE SPILLED ALCOHOL DUE TO ERRORS IN THE TRAIN CONSIST. ABOUT 20 MILES BEFORE THE DERAILMENT, A HOTBOX DETECTOR INDICATED A HOT AXLE ON THE 5TH CAR. THE CONDUCTOR AND BRAKEMAN WALKED THE TRAIN, BUT COULD NOT LOCATE A HOT AXLE, SO THE TRAIN PROCEEDED. ABOUT 1 MILE BEFORE THE DERAILMENT, A DRAGGING EQUIPMENT DETECTOR WAS ACTUATED, BUT THE CONDUCTOR DID NOT SEE IT BECAUSE ITS WARNING LIGHT WAS BURNED OUT. AN OVERHEATED BEARING HAD BURNED OFF AN AXLE JOURNAL ON THE 5TH CAR, STARTING THE DERAILMENT. LABORATORY ANALYSIS DETERMINED A ROLLER IN THE BEARING WAS FAULTY.

File No. - 115 11/02/88 NEWCASTLE, CA Time (Lcl) - 0455 PST

Occurrence #1 - TRAIN COMPONENT SYSTEM/FAILURE/MALFUNCTION
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
1. ROLLER BEARING - DEFECTIVE
2. MATERIAL DEFECT - MANUFACTURER
3. ROLLER BEARING - OVERHEATED
4. SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS - NOT COMPLIED - ROAD FREIGHT CONDUCTOR (through freight)
5. INATTENTIVE - ROAD FREIGHT CONDUCTOR (through freight)
6. AXLE JOURNAL - BURN-OFF
7. DRAGGING EQUIPMENT DETECTOR - LIGHT OUT

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Occurrence #3 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

Finding(s)
8. TANK CAR(S) - RUPTURED

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 5

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # CHI89FRZ06 | **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** | **RUNDATE:** 03/26/90

File No. - 116 | 11/09/88 | LYNDON STATION, WI | Time (Lcl) - 0810 CST

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - SOO
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - AUTOMATIC BLOCK TIMETABLE

Property losses
Railroad - $ 343,401.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	3
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	2

SOO - SOO LINE RAILROAD COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - SOO
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 767 WEST
Direction - WEST
Speed (Est.) - 40
Speed (Auth.) - 50

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 2
No. Cars/Caboose - 65/1
End of Train Monitor - NO
Length (Feet) - 3658
Trailing Tons - 2614
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 1/14

Crew Information
Front End - 2
Rear End - 1
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

SOO - SOO LINE RAILROAD COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLOUDY
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
PORTAGE, WI

Destination
LA CROSSE, WI

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 2

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/2
Gradient - DES. 0.53
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
SOO FREIGHT EXTRA 767 WEST HAD 14 CARS DERAIL WHILE MOVING 40 MPH. A DERAILED TANK CAR ROLLED DOWN AN EMBANKMENT, SPILLING PART OF ITS CARGO OF CARBOLIC ACID. TWO LOCAL RESIDENTS WERE EVACUATED FROM THE RURAL AREA. THE ENGINEER HAD RECEIVED A MESSAGE FROM A RADIO-EQUIPPED TALKING HOTBOX DETECTOR WARNING OF A HOT AXLE ON THE 27TH CAR FROM THE REAR OF THE TRAIN. THE ENGINEER DID NOT STOP THE TRAIN, OPTING INSTEAD TO TRAVEL ABOUT 3 MILES TO HIS TERMINAL. UPON ARRIVAL, HE NOTIFIED THE CONDUCTOR WHO CHECKED ABOUT 20 CARS FROM THE CABOOSE. THEY DID NOT NOTIFY THE DISPATCHER, NOR DID THEY ALERT THE OUTBOUND CREW. ABOUT 2 HOURS LATER, THE TRAIN DEPARTED WITH A NEW CREW. THE TRAIN WENT ABOUT 22 MILES AND THE BEARING FAILED, RESULTING IN A BURNED OFF AXLE JOURNAL AND THE DERAILMENT.

File No. - 116 11/09/88 LYNDON STATION, WI Time (Lcl) - 0810 CST

Occurrence #1 - TRAIN COMPONENT SYSTEM/FAILURE/MALFUNCTION
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
1. ROLLER BEARING - OVERHEATED
2. TIMETABLE SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS - NOT COMPLIED - ENTIRE TRAIN CREW
3. EQUIPMENT INSPECTION - NOT PERFORMED - ENTIRE TRAIN CREW

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
4. ROLLER BEARING - FAILURE (TOTAL)
5. AXLE JOURNAL - BURN-OFF

Occurrence #3 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

Finding(s)
6. TANK CAR(S) - BREACHED

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2, 3, 4, 5

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 6

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # ATL89FRZ05 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/26/90

File No. - 120 11/19/88 BANGOR, AL Time (Lcl) - 1700 CST

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - CSX
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TRAFFIC CONTROL TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 1,947,464.00
Non-Railroad - $ 80,000.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	4
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

CSX - CSX TRANSPORTATION

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - CSX
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 8425 NORTH
Direction - NORTH
Speed (Est.) - 56
Speed (Auth.) - 45

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 4
No. Cars/Caboose - 80/0
End of Train Monitor - MONITOR
Length (Feet) - 5853
Trailing Tons - 5675
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - 0/4
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 21/73

Crew Information
Front End - 4
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

CSX - CSX TRANSPORTATION

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLOUDY, RAIN
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
BIRMINGHAM, AL

Destination
NASHVILLE, TN

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 3

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - DES. 0.40
Alignment - CURVE 4 D O M

---Narrative---
CSX FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 8425 NORTH HAD ALL 4 LOCOMOTIVE UNITS AND 73 CARS DERAIL WHILE MOVING 56 MPH IN A 4-DEGREE CURVE THAT HAD A SPEED RESTRICTION OF 45 MPH. TWO TANK CARS CONTAINING SULFURIC ACID AND DIETHYLENE GLYCOL WERE DAMAGED AND SPILLED THEIR CARGO. THE VAPOR CLOUD RESULTED IN EVACUATION OF ABOUT 1,000 PERSONS. INVESTIGATION REVEALED THAT THE ENGINEER HAD WORKED A REGULAR SHIFT AND THEN WAS OFF-DUTY FOR EIGHT HOURS AND 25 MINUTES BEFORE THIS TRIP. HE ADMITTED TO SLEEPING ONLY ABOUT 30 MINUTES DURING THE OFF-DUTY PERIOD WHILE SITTING ON A CHAIR. HE DID NOT REQUEST TO BE EXCUSED FROM DUTY DUE TO LACK OF SLEEP. HE HAD BEEN BACK ON DUTY ABOUT 1 AND 1/2 HOURS BEFORE THE ACCIDENT. THERE WERE NUMEROUS DEFECTIVE CROSSTIES AT THE POINT OF DERAILMENT IN THE CURVE, WHICH ALLOWED THE RAIL TO CANT OUTWARD UNDER THE FORCES OF THE EXCESSIVE SPEED.

File No. - 120 11/19/88 BANGOR, AL lime (Lcl) - 1700 CST

Occurrence #1 - DERAILMENT
Phase - SLOWING

Finding(s)
1. CROSSTIES - ROTTED/WEATHERED
2. TRACK INSPECTION - INADEQUATE - MAINTENANCE OF WAY INSPECTOR
3. RAIL - CANTED
4. TRAIN - OVERSPEED
5. TRAIN HANDLING - IMPROPER - ROAD FREIGHT ENGINEER/MOTORMAN (through freight)
6. FATIGUE (lack of sleep) - ROAD FREIGHT ENGINEER/MOTORMAN (through freight)
7. SPEED - DISREGARDED - ROAD FREIGHT CONDUCTOR (through freight)

Occurrence #2 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

Finding(s)
8. TANK CAR(S) - PUNCTURED

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 2, 8

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # CHI89FRZ07 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/26/90

File No. - 123 11/20/88 LANAGAN, MO Time (Lcl) - 2015 CST

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - KCS
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TRAFFIC CONTROL TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 217,000.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	4
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

KCS - KANSAS CITY SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - KCS
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 664 NORTH
Direction - NORTH
Speed (Est.) - 31
Speed (Auth.) - 40

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 5
No. Cars/Caboose - 69/0
End of Train Monitor - MONITOR
Length (Feet) - 4419
Trailing Tons - 5969
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 0/9

Crew Information
Front End - 4
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

KCS - KANSAS CITY SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DARK

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
HEAVNER, OK

Destination
PITTSBURG, KS

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 3

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - DES. 1.00
Alignment - CURVE 6 D 0 M

---Narrative---
KCS FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 664 NORTH HAD THE LAST 9 CARS DERAIL WHILE MOVING 31 MPH. INVESTIGATION REVEALED A RAIL HAD BROKEN UNDER THE TRAIN. AAR LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF THE RECOVERED PIECES OF THE BROKEN RAIL DISCLOSED A BRITTLE FRACTURE BUT DID NOT DISCLOSE PRE-EXISTING CRACKS IN THE RAIL. SOME PIECES BROKEN FROM THE BASE OF THE RAIL WERE NOT RECOVERED. TEMPERATURES IN THE AREA HAD DROPPED 40 DEGREES THAT DAY, TO 25 DEGREES. THREE TANK CARS LOADED WITH METHYL MERCAPTAN, LIQUID ARGON, AND PETROLEUM NAPTHA DERAILED BUT DID NOT LEAK, EXCEPT THAT A SMALL AMOUNT OF LIQUID ARGON WAS VENTED THROUGH A SAFETY RELEASE VALVE. LOCAL POLICE ORDERED A PRECAUTIONARY EVACUATION OF 20 PERSONS FOR ABOUT ONE HALF HOUR.

File No. - 123 11/20/88 LANAGAN, MO Time (Lcl) - 2015 CST

Occurrence #1 - TRACK COMPONENT SYSTEM/FAILURE/MALFUNCTION
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
1. RAIL, CONTINUOUSLY WELDED - BRITTLE FRACTURE
2. WEATHER - TEMPERATURE EXTREMES

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Occurrence #3 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - MISCELLANEOUS/OTHER

Finding(s)
3. SAFETY RELIEF VALVES - OPEN

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 2, 3

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # FTW89FRZ04 | BRIEF OF ACCIDENT | RUNDATE: 12/19/90

File No. - 126 | 11/25/88 | FRUITVALE, TX | Time (Lcl) - 1915 CST

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - UP
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TRAFFIC CONTROL TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 1,461,900.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	4
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	2	0
Other	0	0	0	200

UP - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - UP
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 5055 WEST
Direction - WEST
Speed (Est.) - 35
Speed (Auth.) - 60

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 2
No. Cars/Caboose - 89/1
End of Train Monitor - MARKER
Length (Feet) - 5880
Trailing Tons - 7086
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 18/48

Crew Information
Front End - 2
Rear End - 2
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

UP - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - RAIN, HAIL
Condition of Light - DUSK

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
MINEOLA, TX

Destination
FORT WORTH, TX

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 5

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/1
Gradient - DES. 0.40
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
UP FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 5055 WEST HAD 48 CARS DERAIL WHEN IT TRAVELED INTO THE PATH OF A TORNADO. THE TORNADO WAS IN A SEVERE RAIN AND HAIL STORM. THE ENGINEER WAS STOPPING THE TRAIN DUE TO ZERO VISIBILITY AND HIGH WINDS WHEN THE TORNADO STRUCK. FIVE HAZMAT TANK CARS DERAILED AND ONE LOADED WITH ANHYDROUS AMMONIA SUSTAINED A 4-FOOT PUNCTURE IN A TANK HEAD. ABOUT 15,000 GALLONS OF PRODUCT WAS SPILLED. MUCH OF IT POOLED IN A NEARBY DEPRESSION IN THE GROUND AND WAS LATER PICKED UP FOR DISPOSAL. AN EVACUATION OF ABOUT 200 PERSONS WAS IN EFFECT FOR 24 HOURS. THE TORNADO UPSET AN AUTOMOBILE IN THE VICINITY OF THE HAZMAT SPILL AND TWO OCCUPANTS WERE TREATED AT A LOCAL HOSPITAL FOR EYE AND LUNG IRRITATION.

File No. - 126 11/25/88 FRUITVALE, TX Time (Lcl) - 1915 CST

Occurrence #1 - ENCOUNTER WITH WEATHER
Phase - SLOWING

Finding(s)
1. WEATHER - TORNADO

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT
Phase - SLOWING

Occurrence #3 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

Finding(s)
2. TANK CAR HEAD (A-END) - PUNCTURED

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 2

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # CHI89FRZ08 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/26/90

File No. - 128 11/29/88 PALMYRA, MO Time (Lcl) - 0845 CST

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - BN
Type of Accident - HAZ. MAT. RELEASED
Operating Phase - LOADING/UNLOADING
Method of Operation - N/A

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 5,050.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	0
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	1	0

BN - BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - BN
Type of Train - SINGLE CAR
Train ID - NONE
Direction - N/A
Speed (Est.) - 0
Speed (Auth.) - 0

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 0
No. Cars/Caboose - 1/0
End of Train Monitor - NO
Length (Feet) - 65
Trailing Tons - 90
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - N/A

Crew Information
Front End - 1
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - NO
Operational - N/A

BN - BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - NO

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
PALMYRA, MO

Destination
PALMYRA, MO

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 1

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - INDUSTRIAL/1
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
A MAINTENANCE HELPER EMPLOYED BY PALMYRA FERTILIZER CO. RECEIVED MINOR INJURIES WHILE GETTING A TANK CAR OF SULFURIC ACID READY FOR TRANS-LOADING TO A HIGHWAY TANK TRAILER TRUCK. THE WORKER UNSCREWED A RELIEF VALVE LOCATED INSIDE THE DOME OF THE TANK CAR. PRESSURE INSIDE THE TANK CAUSED THE METAL CAP TO FLY UP AND STRIKE HIS FACE, KNOCKING HIM BACK AGAINST THE PROTECTIVE RAILING. THE ACID BEGAN SPEWING OUT OF THE VALVE, GOING IN THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION FROM THE EMPLOYEE. ABOUT 500 GALLONS WERE LOST BEFORE THE PRESSURE WAS EQUALIZED. THE WORKER WAS TREATED AND RETURNED TO WORK THE SAME DAY. AMERICAN CYANAMID, LOCATED ADJACENT TO PALMYRA FERTILIZER, RESPONDED IMMEDIATELY WITH EQUIPMENT AND MEN. THEY BUILT DIKES, NEUTRALIZED THE AREA AND PICKED UP ALL RESIDUE WITH A VACUUM TRUCK.

File No. - 128 11/29/88 PALMYRA, MO Time (Lcl) - 0845 CST

Occurrence #1 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STANDING

Finding(s)
1. RELIEF VALVE - OPEN
2. CARGO - PRESSURE EXCESSIVE
3. CARGO LOADING/UNLOADING - NOT UNDERSTOOD - OTHER MAINTENANCE PERSON

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 2, 3

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 1

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # NYC89FRZ03 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 12/21/90

File No. - 129 12/09/88 EDISON, NJ Time (Lcl) - 0455 EST

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - CR
Type of Accident - HAZ. MAT. RELEASED
Operating Phase - STANDING
Method of Operation - MANUAL BLOCKS

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 0.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	0
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	10

CR - CONSOLIDATED RAIL CORPORATION

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - CR
Type of Train - SINGLE CAR
Train ID - HOKX 8438
Direction - N/A
Speed (Est.) - 0
Speed (Auth.) - 0

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 0
No. Cars/Caboose - 1/0
End of Train Monitor - NO
Length (Feet) - N/A
Trailing Tons - N/A
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - N/A

Crew Information
Front End - 0
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - NO
Operational - N/A

CR - CONSOLIDATED RAIL CORPORATION

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DARK

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
EDISON, NJ

Destination
EDISON, NJ

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 1

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - SIDING/1
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
CHLORINE GAS WAS DETECTED LEAKING FROM THE JOINT BETWEEN THE MANWAY NOZZLE AND MANWAY COVER PLATE OF STANDING TANK CAR HOKX 8438 THAT WAS SUPPLYING CHLORINE TO A BUILDING IN AN INDUSTRIAL PLANT SITE ON A SIDETRACK OF CONRAIL. THE CHLORINE GAS HAD BEEN DETECTED BY AN INSPECTOR MAKING ROUTINE SAFETY CHECKS WITH A GAS DETECTOR. THE INSPECTOR SOUNDED THE PLANT'S EMERGENCY ALARM. THE CHEMICAL COMPANY ACTIVATED ITS EMERGENCY PLAN AND EVACUATED 10 EMPLOYEES FROM THE SITE. THE BOLTS FASTENING THE MANWAY NOZZLE TO THE MANWAY COVER PLATE WERE TORQUED TIGHTER AND SHORTLY AFTERWARD, NO GAS COULD BE DETECTED. THE TANK CAR HAD BEEN ON THE SIDING FOR 8 DAYS WITH NO LEAK DETECTED. DURING THAT TIME, THERE HAD BEEN SOME LARGE VARIATIONS IN TEMPERATURE.

File No. - 129 12/09/88 EDISON, NJ Time (Lcl) - 0455 EST

Occurrence #1 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STANDING

Finding(s)
1. MANWAY - UNDERTORQUED
2. WEATHER - TEMPERATURE EXTREMES

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # LAX89FRZ05 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/26/90

File No. - 130 12/14/88 FLAGSTAFF, AZ Time (Lcl) - 0135 MST

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - ATSF
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - SWITCHING
Method of Operation - AUTOMATIC BLOCK TRAFFIC CONTROL

Property Losses
Railroad - $ [illegible],298,000.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	3
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

ATSF - ATCHISON, TOPEKA AND SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - ATSF
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 5179 WEST
Direction - WEST
Speed (Est.) - 55
Speed (Auth.) - 0

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 5
No. Cars/Caboose - 87/0
End of Train Monitor - MONITOR
Length (Feet) - 5363
Trailing Tons - 6792
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 21/26

Crew Information
Front End - 3
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

ATSF - ATCHISON, TOPEKA AND SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DARK

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
WINSLOW, AZ

Destination
LOS ANGELES, CA

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 6

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/2
Gradient - DES. 1.42
Alignment - CURVE 5 D 9 M

---Narrative---
A RUNAWAY CUT OF 84 CARS HAD 26 OF THE CARS DERAIL WHEN THEY WENT AROUND A CURVE AT ABOUT 55 MPH, AFTER ROLLING FOR OVER 5 MILES. THE RUNAWAY TRAIN WAS PART OF ATSF FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 5179 WEST. THE CREW HAD STOPPED THE TRAIN ON A HILL TO SET OUT A CAR IN A SIDING. THE CREW HAD CLOSED THE ANGLECOCK ON THE END OF THE 84TH CAR, NEAREST TO THE LOCOMOTIVE, AFTER MAKING A 20-POUND AIR REDUCTION. THEY DID NOT SET ANY HANDBRAKES, INTENDING FOR THE AIRBRAKES TO HOLD THE 84 CARS UNTIL THEY HAD FINISHED SETTING OUT THE OTHER CAR. WHILE DISCUSSING THE SWITCHING MOVE, THE CREW LOOKED AROUND AND SAW THE CARS ROLL OUT OF SIGHT AROUND A CURVE. POST-ACCIDENT TESTING REVEALED THE BRAKES COULD RELEASE ON ALL 84 CARS IN LESS THAN 2 MINUTES. AMONG THE DERAILED CARS WERE 6 LOADED LPG TANK CARS. FOUR OF THEM WERE PUNCTURED AND RELEASED CARGO. 500 HUNDRED PERSONS WERE EVACUATED AND AN INTERSTATE HIGHWAY WAS CLOSED FOR ABOUT 56 HOURS.

File No. - 130 12/14/88 FLAGSTAFF, AZ Time (Lcl) - 0135 MST

Occurrence #1 - DERAILMENT
Phase - SETOUT NOT IN YARD

Finding(s)
1. HANDBRAKE - NOT APPLIED
2. CUT OF CARS - RELEASE AIR BRAKES
3. GENERAL RULES - DISREGARDED - ENTIRE TRAIN CREW
4. COMPANY-INDUCED PRESSURE - BRAKEMAN

Occurrence #2 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

Finding(s)
5. TANK CAR(S) - PUNCTURED

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2, 3

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are finding(s) 4, 5

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # LAX89FR213 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/26/90

File No. - 146 01/28/89 BONNERS FERRY, ID Time (Lcl) - 0638 PST

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - UP
Type of Accident - HAZ. MAT. RELEASED
Operating Phase - STANDING
Method of Operation - YARD RULES
TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 53,000.00
Non-Railroad - $ 50,000.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	3	0
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	1	1	12	483

UP - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - UP
Type of Train - LOCAL FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 2017 EAST
Direction - EAST
Speed (Est.) - 0
Speed (Auth.) - 0

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 2
No. Cars/Caboose - 40/1
End of Train Monitor - MARKER
Length (Feet) - 2248
Trailing Tons - 2755
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - N/A

Crew Information
Front End - 0
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

UP - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLOUDY
Condition of Light - DAWN

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
EAST PORT, ID

Destination
SPOKANE, WA

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 1

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - YARD/10
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
UP LOCAL FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 2017 EAST ARRIVED IN BONNERS FERRY AT NIGHT WITH FREIGHT CARS FROM CANADA, AND WAS PLACED IN A SIDING. THE NEXT MORNING, LOCAL AUTHORITIES WERE NOTIFIED OF A FOG CLOUD AND STRONG ODORS IN THE RAIL YARD. INVESTIGATION REVEALED A HOLE HAD CORRODED THROUGH THE INNER SHELL OF A TANK CAR AND SULFUR DIOXIDE WAS LEAKING. ABOUT 500 PERSONS WERE EVACUATED FOR ABOUT 10 HOURS. 16 PERSONS WERE TREATED FOR INHALATION OF FUMES AND 1 PERSON HAD A FATAL CARDIAC ARREST DURING THE EVACUATION. THE NEXT DAY, THE CAR WAS RETURNED TO CANADA TO THE SHIPPER TO BE EMPTIED. OVER 20 TONS OF HAZMAT HAD VENTED TO THE ATMOSPHERE. THE CAR WAS LAST HYDROSTATICALLY TESTED IN MAY 1980, AND WAS DUE FOR TESTING IN MAY 1990. INSPECTION OF THE CAR'S INTERIOR REVEALED SEVERE CORROSION AT THE WELD LOCATIONS.

File No. - 146 01/28/89 BONNERS FERRY, ID Time (Lcl) - 0638 PST

Occurrence #1 - TRAIN COMPONENT SYSTEM/FAILURE/MALFUNCTION
Phase - STANDING

Occurrence #2 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STANDING

Finding(s)
1. TANK SHELL - CORRODED
2. CARGO - LEAK

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident was: failure of the tank car shell due to corrosion of the seam weld areas.

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # DCA89MRZ01B **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 12/19/90

File No. - 147 02/02/89 HELENA, MT Time (Lcl) - 0430 MST

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - MRL
Type of Accident - COLLISION,REAR-END
Operating Phase - STANDING
Method of Operation - AUTOMATIC BLOCK TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 300,000.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - YES

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	2	0
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	3505

MRL - MONTANA RAIL LINK

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - MRL
Type of Train - LIGHT LOCOMOTIVE
Train ID - HELPER 1
Direction - EAST
Speed (Est.) - 0
Speed (Auth.) - 0

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 3
No. Cars/Caboose - N/A
End of Train Monitor - NO
Length (Feet) - N/A
Trailing Tons - N/A
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - 0/1
Cars Destroy/Derailed - N/A

Crew Information
Front End - 2
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

MRL - MONTANA RAIL LINK

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - SNOW
Condition of Light - DARK

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
HELENA, MT

Destination
HELENA, MT

Hazardous Materials
Involved - NO
Cars Involved - 0

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/2
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
MRL FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 208 WEST HAD ALL 49 CARS IN THE TRAIN ROLL DOWN A MOUNTAIN GRADE AND STRIKE A STANDING HELPER LOCOMOTIVE CONSIST (HELPER 1), DERAILING 15 CARS OF TRAIN 208 AND 1 LOCOMOTIVE UNIT OF HELPER 1. THE LOCOMOTIVE CONSIST OF 208 INCLUDED THREE HELPER UNITS AND THREE ROAD UNITS, ALL ON THE HEAD END OF THE TRAIN. THE CREWMEMBERS OF 208 HAD UNCOUPLED THE LOCOMOTIVE UNITS FROM THE TRAIN TO REARRANGE THE LOCOMOTIVE CONSIST WHILE STOPPED ON A MOUNTAIN GRADE AND THE CARS THEN ROLLED AWAY. AMONG THE DERAILED CARS WERE 3 TANK CARS CONTAINING HYDROGEN PEROXIDE, ISOPROPYL ALCOHOL, AND ACETONE. HAZMAT RELEASED RESULTED IN A FIRE AND EXPLOSIONS. ABOUT 3,500 RESIDENTS OF HELENA WERE EVACUATED. TWO CREWMEMBERS OF HELPER 1 HAD MINOR INJURIES. THERE WAS EXTENSIVE DAMAGE TO PROPERTY. FOR A DETAILED REPORT OF THE ACCIDENT, SEE REPORT NTSB/RAR-89/05.

File No. - 147 02/02/89 HELENA, MT Time (Lcl) - 0430 MST

Occurrence #1 - LOSS OF CONTROL
Phase - STANDING

Finding(s)
1. TRAIN OPERATION - IMPROPER - CREW MEMBER OF OTHER TRAIN
2. BRAKES - NOT APPLIED
3. EXTRA TRAIN - NOT SECURED
4. TERRAIN CONDITION - DOWNHILL
5. JUDGMENT - POOR - ENGINEER OF OTHER TRAIN
6. WEATHER - TEMPERATURE EXTREMES
7. INADEQUATE TRAINING - EXECUTIVES AND OFFICIALS
8. INADEQUATE QUALIFICATION - COMPANY OPERATOR/MGMT

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT
Phase - STANDING

Occurrence #3 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STANDING

Finding(s)
9. TANK CAR(S) - PUNCTURED
10. TANK CAR(S) - EXPLODED

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident was: the failure of the crew of Extra 208 West to properly secure their train by placing the train brakes in emergency and applying hand brakes when it was left standing unattended on a mountain grade. Contributing to the accident was the decision of the engineer of the helper in train 208 to rearrange the locomotive consist and leave the train unattended on the mountain grade, and the effects of the extreme cold weather on the airbrake system of the train and the crewmembers. Also contributing was the failure of the operating management of the Montana Rail Link to adequately assess the qualifications and training of employees placed in train service. Contributing to the severity of the accident was the release and ignition of hazardous materials.

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # DCA89MRZ01A **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 12/19/90

File No. - 147 02/02/89 HELENA, MT Time (Lcl) - 0430 MST

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - MRL
Type of Accident - COLLISION,REAR-END
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - AUTOMATIC BLOCK TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 2,580,000.00
Non-Railroad - $ 3,120,000.00

Fire - YES

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	5
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	2	3500

MRL - MONTANA RAIL LINK

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - MRL
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 208 WEST
Direction - WEST
Speed (Est.) - 20
Speed (Auth.) - 0

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 6
No. Cars/Caboose - 49/0
End of Train Monitor - MONITOR
Length (Feet) - 2869
Trailing Tons - 4288
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 14/15

Crew Information
Front End - 5
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

MRL - MONTANA RAIL LINK

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - SNOW
Condition of Light - DARK

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
HELENA, MT

Destination
MISSOULA, MT

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 6

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/2
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
MRL FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 208 WEST HAD ALL 49 CARS IN THE TRAIN ROLL DOWN A MOUNTAIN GRADE AND STRIKE A STANDING HELPER LOCOMOTIVE CONSIST (HELPER 1), DERAILING 15 CARS OF TRAIN 208 AND 1 LOCOMOTIVE UNIT OF HELPER 1. THE LOCOMOTIVE CONSIST OF 208 INCLUDED THREE HELPER UNITS AND THREE ROAD UNITS, ALL ON THE HEAD END OF THE TRAIN. THE CREWMEMBERS OF 208 HAD UNCOUPLED THE LOCOMOTIVE UNITS FROM THE TRAIN TO REARRANGE THE LOCOMOTIVE CONSIST WHILE STOPPED ON A MOUNTAIN GRADE AND THE CARS THEN ROLLED AWAY. AMONG THE DERAILED CARS WERE 3 TANK CARS CONTAINING HYDROGEN PEROXIDE, ISOPROPYL ALCOHOL, AND ACETONE. HAZMAT RELEASED RESULTED IN A FIRE AND EXPLOSIONS. ABOUT 3,500 RESIDENTS OF HELENA WERE EVACUATED. TWO CREWMEMBERS OF HELPER 1 HAD MINOR INJURIES. THERE WAS EXTENSIVE DAMAGE TO PROPERTY. FOR A DETAILED REPORT OF THE ACCIDENT, SEE REPORT NTSB/RAR-89/05.

File No. - 147 02/02/89 HELENA, MT Time (Lcl) - 0430 MST

Occurrence #1 - COLLISION, REAR
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
1. TRAIN OPERATION - IMPROPER - ENTIRE TRAIN CREW
2. BRAKES - NOT APPLIED
3. EXTRA TRAIN - NOT SECURED
4. TERRAIN CONDITION - DOWNHILL
5. JUDGMENT - POOR - ROAD FREIGHT ENGINEER/MOTORMAN (through freight)
6. WEATHER - TEMPERATURE EXTREMES
7. INADEQUATE TRAINING - EXECUTIVES AND OFFICIALS
8. INADEQUATE QUALIFICATION - COMPANY OPERATOR/MGMT

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT
Phase - STOPPING

Occurrence #3 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

Finding(s)
9. TANK CAR(S) - PUNCTURED
10. TANK CAR(S) - EXPLODED

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident was: the failure of the crew of Extra 208 West to properly secure their train by placing the train brakes in emergency and applying hand brakes when it was left standing unattended on a mountain grade. Contributing to the accident was the decision of the engineer of the helper in train 208 to rearrange the locomotive consist and leave the train unattended on the mountain grade, and the effects of the extreme cold weather on the airbrake system of the train and the crewmembers. Also contributing was the failure of the operating management of the Montana Rail Link to adequately assess the qualifications and training of employees placed in train service. Contributing to the severity of the accident was the release and ignition of hazardous materials.

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # CHI89FRZ11 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/26/90

File No. - 148 02/02/89 KANSAS CITY, KS Time (Lcl) - 1140 CST

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - ATSF
Type of Accident - HAZ. MAT. RELEASED
Operating Phase - STANDING
Method of Operation - YARD RULES

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 500.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	4	0
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

ATSF - ATCHISON, TOPEKA AND SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - ATSF
Type of Train - SINGLE CAR
Train ID - NONE
Direction - EAST
Speed (Est.) - 0
Speed (Auth.) - 0

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 0
No. Cars/Caboose - 1/0
End of Train Monitor - NO
Length (Feet) - N/A
Trailing Tons - N/A
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - N/A

Crew Information
Front End - 0
Rear End - 0
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - NO
Operational - N/A

ATSF - ATCHISON, TOPEKA AND SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLOUDY
Condition of Light - ARTIFICIAL ILLU

Evacuation - NO

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
KANSAS CITY, KS

Destination
KANSAS CITY, KS

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 1

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - YARD/4
Gradient - LEVEL
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
A TANK CAR LOADED WITH ACETIC ANHYDRIDE/ACETIC ACID HAD BEEN MOVED INTO AN ATSF REPAIR SHOP BUILDING TO REPAIR A BROKEN PIPE ON A COLD DAY. ABOUT AN HOUR LATER, THE CAR WARMED UP AND THE ACID STARTED LEAKING. FOUR CARMEN HAD MINOR INJURIES FROM INHALATION OF FUMES. THE CAR WAS RETURNED TO THE SHIPPER TO BE EMPTIED. INSPECTION OF THE CAR REVEALED A CLEARANCE OF 1-1/4 IN. BETWEEN THE BOTTOM OF THE EDUCTION PIPE AND THE SUMP. THERE WERE MARKS ON THE PIPE IN THE AREA OF THE PIPE GUIDE INDICATING THAT THE TANK BODY WAS FLEXING 1-3/8 INCHES IN TRANSIT, ALLOWING THE PIPE TO STRIKE THE SUMP. THIS ACTION RESULTED IN A SMALL CRACK DEVELOPING IN THE TANK.

File No. - 148 02/02/89 KANSAS CITY, KS Time (Lcl) - 1140 CST

Occurrence #1 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STANDING

Finding(s)
1. TANK SHELL - SHIFTED
2. TANK CAR(S) - PENETRATED
3. TANK SHELL - CRACKED
4. CARGO - LEAK

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident was: the eduction pipe striking the bottom of the tank, causing the tank to crack and leak.

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # LAX89FRZ15 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/27/90

File No. - 157 02/20/89 MANTECA, CA Time (Lcl) - 0545 PST

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - SP
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TRAFFIC CONTROL TIMETABLE

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 1,097,460.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	3
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	150

SP - SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - SP
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 7502 WEST
Direction - WEST
Speed (Est.) - 50
Speed (Auth.) - 55

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 4
No. Cars/Caboose - 84/1
End of Train Monitor - NO
Length (Feet) - 4766
Trailing Tons - 5036
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - N/A
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 42/48

Crew Information
Front End - 2
Rear End - 1
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

SP - SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DARK ARTIFICIAL

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
FRESNO, CA

Destination
OAKLAND, CA

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 2

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/3
Gradient - DES. 0.22
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
SP FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 7502 WEST HAD 48 CARS DERAIL WHILE MOVING 50 MPH. TWO EMPTY TANK CARS WITH SOME HAZARDOUS MATERIAL RESIDUE WERE DERAILED. THERE WAS NO HAZMAT RELEASE, BUT LOCAL AUTHORITIES UNDERTOOK A PRECAUTIONARY EVACUATION WHICH INVOLVED APPROXIMATELY 150 PERSONS FOR OVER 12 HOURS. A BROKEN RAIL WAS FOUND AT THE INITIAL POINT OF DERAILMENT. AN EXAMINATION OF THE BROKEN PIECES REVEALED THE RAIL JOINT ASSEMBLY COMPONENTS HAD BEEN LOOSE AND NOT PROPERLY SUPPORTED FOR SOME TIME. THE JOINT COMPONENTS SHOWED SEVERE WEAR WHERE THE PARTS WERE IN CONTACT WITH EACH OTHER. THE RAIL JOINT SECTION WAS EXAMINED BY THE SP METALLURGICAL LABORATORY. FATIGUE CRACKS WERE FOUND IN THE BOLT HOLES ON EACH RAIL END, WHICH HAD RESULTED IN A HEAD AND WEB SEPARATION. THE TRACK WAS INSPECTED BY A TRACK INSPECTOR RIDING IN A HI-RAIL VEHICLE FOUR DAYS PRIOR TO THE DERAILMENT. NO DEFECTS WERE NOTED OR REPORTED DURING THE INSPECTION.

File No. - 157 02/20/89 MANTECA, CA Time (Lcl) - 0545 PST

Occurrence #1 - TRACK COMPONENT SYSTEM/FAILURE/MALFUNCTION
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
1. RAIL JOINT(S) - NOT SUPPORTED
2. BOLT HOLE - CRACKED
3. RAIL HEAD - SEPARATION
4. TRACK MAINTENANCE - INADEQUATE - MAINTENANCE OF WAY INSPECTOR
5. TRACK INSPECTION - INADEQUATE - MAINTENANCE OF WAY INSPECTOR
6. INATTENTIVE - MAINTENANCE OF WAY INSPECTOR
7. INADEQUATE SURVEILLANCE OF OPERATION - COMPANY OPERATOR/MGMT

Occurrence #2 - DERAILMENT
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
8. RAIL - BROKEN

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident was: a broken rail due to inadequate track maintenance. Contributing to the accident was the inadequate track inspection made from a hi-rail vehicle.

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # CHI89FRZ14 **BRIEF OF ACCIDENT** **RUNDATE:** 03/26/90

File No. - 158 02/20/89 BORDULAC, ND Time (Lcl) - 0830 CST

---Basic Information---

Reporting Railroad - SOO
Type of Accident - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TIMETABLE
TRACK WARRANT CONT

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 1,296,855.00
Non-Railroad - $ 0.00

Fire - NO

	Injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	4
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	2	0

SOO - SOO LINE RAILROAD COMPANY

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - SOO
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - EXTRA 4514 EAST
Direction - EAST
Speed (Est.) - 40
Speed (Auth.) - 40

Train Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 4
No. Cars/Caboose - 76/1
End of Train Monitor - NO
Length (Feet) - 4881
Trailing Tons - 7894
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - 0/2
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 13/26

Crew Information
Front End - 2
Rear End - 2
Toxicology Performed - YES

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

SOO - SOO LINE RAILROAD COMPANY

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - CLEAR
Condition of Light - DAYLIGHT

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
HARVEY, ND

Destination
ENDERLIN, ND

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 10

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/2
Gradient - DES. 0.23
Alignment - TANGENT

---Narrative---
SOO FREIGHT TRAIN EXTRA 4514 EAST HAD 2 LOCOMOTIVE UNITS AND 26 CARS DERAIL WHILE MOVING OVER A SWITCH FROG AT 40 MPH. THE ENGINEER SAID THE LEAD LOCOMOTIVE RODE VERY ROUGH WHEN IT WENT OVER THE FROG. THE WING RAIL OF THE SWITCH FROG BROKE AT THE BOLT HOLES UNDER THE WEIGHT OF THE LOCOMOTIVES. THE FROG HAD 90 POUND RAIL MANUFACTURED IN 1945. TEN TANK CARS OF ANHYDROUS AMMONIA DERAILED, AND 4 OF THEM RUPTURED, SPILLING THE HAZMAT. THE SUDDEN RUPTURE PROJECTED 1 OF THE TANK CARS ABOUT 650 FEET AWAY. ABOUT 125 PERSONS WERE EVACUATED. TWO OF THEM RETURNED EARLY TO THEIR HOMES AND HAD TO BE HOSPITALIZED FOR INHALATION OF FUMES.

File No. - 158 02/20/89 BORDULAC, ND Time (Lcl) - 0830 CST

Occurrence #1 - DERAILMENT
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
1. BOLT HOLE - BROKEN
2. SWITCH FROG - BROKEN

Occurrence #2 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

Finding(s)
3. TANK CAR(S) - RUPTURED

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident was: the failure of a wing rail in a switch frog which broke at the bolt holes.

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

NTSB # DCA89MZ004 — BRIEF OF ACCIDENT — RUNDATE: 08/16/90
FILE NO. - 02/26/89 — AKRON, OH — Time (Lcl) - 1925 EST

---Base Information---

Reporting Railroad - CSX
Type of Accideent - DERAILMENT
Operating Phase - EN ROUTE
Method of Operation - TIMETABLE
TRAIN ORDERS
CSX - CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC.

Property Losses
Railroad - $ 521,000.00
Non-Railroad-$14,079,000.00
Fire - Yes

Injuries

	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Employees	0	0	0	4
Passengers	0	0	0	0
Motorist	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	55	0

---Railroad/Personnel Information---

Train Data
Railroad - CSX
Type of Train - FREIGHT
Train ID - D812-26
Direction - EAST
Speed (Est.) - 40
Speed (Auth.) - 40
CSX - CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC.

Training Consist/Damage
No. Loco. Units - 4
No. Cars/Caboose - 49/2
End of Train Monitor - NONE
Length (Feet) - 3481
Trailing Tons - 6398
Loco. Destroy/Derailed - NONE
Cars Destroy/Derailed - 9/21

Crew Information
Front End - 2
Rear End - 2
Toxicology Performed - NO

Radio Communications
Radio Available - YES
Operational - YES

---Environment/Operations Information---

Weather Data
Weather Condition - LIGHT SNOW
Condition of Light - DARK

Evacuation - YES

Itinerary
Last Departure Point
WILLARD, OH

Destination
AKRON, OH

Hazardous Materials
Involved - YES
Cars Involved - 9

Track Information
Type/No. of Tracks - MAIN/2
Gradien - LEVEL
Alignment - CURVE 1 D 30 M

---Narrative---

CSX FREIGHT TRAIN D812-26 ORGINATING AT WILLARD, OH AND TRAVELLING AT 40 MPH DEARAILED NEAR FINAL DESTINATION OF AKRON, OH. AT TIME OF DERAILMENT THE TRAIN CONSISTED OF 4 LOCOMOTIVES AND 51 CARS. THE 10TH THROUGH 30TH CAR IN CONSIST DERAILED; THE 18TH THROUGH 26TH CARS CONTAINED BUTANE, A FLAMMABLE LIQUID, 4 OF WHICH LOST PRODUCT. THE ACCIDENT OCCURRED NEAR A B.F. GOODRICH PLANT, THE PLANT AND ADJACENT RESIDENTIAL AND BUSINESS AREAS WERE EVACUATED SHORTLY AFTER DERAILMENT. FIRES CONTINUED TO BURN FOR SEVERAL DAYS.

BRIEF OF ACCIDENT, continued

File No. - 02/26/89 AKRON, OH Time (Lcl) - 1925EST

Occurrence #1 TRACK COMPONENT SYSTEM/FAILURE/MALFUNCTION
Phase - UNKNOWN

Finding(s)
1. ALIGNMENT - IRREGULAR

Occurrence #2 - TRAIN COMPONENT SYSTEM/FAILURE/MALFUNCTION
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Finding(s)
2. CAR - MECHANICAL DEFECT

Occurrence #3 - DERAILMENT
Phase - MAINTAINING SPEED

Findings(s)
3. RAIL, CONTINUOUSLLY WELDED - DEFECT, INTERNAL

Occurrence #4 - FIRE/EXPLOSION
Phase - STOPPING

Occurrence #5 - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LEAK/SPILL (FUMES/SMOKE)
Phase - STOPPING

Finding(s)
4. TANK SHELL - RUPTURED
5. TOP FITTINGS - DAMAGED

---Probable Cause---

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable Cause(s) of this accident is/are finding(s) 1, 2

APPENDIX E

PROVISIONS OF THE HAZARDOUS MATERIALS TRANSPORTATION UNIFORM SAFETY ACT APPLICABLE TO RAIL SAFETY

The Hazardous Materials Transportation Uniform Safety Act (Public Law 101-615, signed into law in November 1990) is a comprehensive amendment and expansion of the Hazardous Materials Transportation Act. Major provisions of the new Act that are applicable to rail safety include (1) registration of shippers and carriers of hazardous materials, (2) training of emergency response personnel, (3) training of employees who handle hazardous materials, (4) requirements for studies on a hazardous materials database, (5) the rail tank car design process and criteria, and (6) requirements that certain high-risk materials cannot be transported in rail tank cars manufactured before January 1, 1971, unless a retrofit of air brake support attachments has been completed. Details of the provisions related to the issues addressed in this safety study follow:

- The Act provides grants to States for training emergency response personnel. (The grants are to be funded by registration fees collected from companies shipping certain types of hazardous materials.)

- The Act requires the DOT Secretary to complete rulemaking within 18 months to establish standards for training appropriate employees in the safe loading, unloading, handling, and transportation of hazardous materials; and in the emergency preparedness for responding to accidents or incidents involving the transportation of hazardous materials.

- The Act recognizes that the risks posed by the transportation of hazardous materials requires a well-trained network of local and State emergency response personnel.

- The Act requires the DOT Secretary to complete in 1 year a railroad tank car study that evaluates the design process and criteria for tank cars, including whether head shields should be installed on all tank car tanks that carry hazardous materials.

APPENDIX F

FEDERAL RULEMAKING AND SAFETY BOARD COMMENTS RELATED TO DOCKET HM-181

The final rule issued by the RSPA on December 21, 1990 (55 FR 52402-52729), which becomes effective on October 1, 1991, is a revision of the Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR Parts 171-179). According to the RSPA, Docket HM-181 was initiated to streamline and to improve the packaging standards for hazardous materials. The RSPA identified five reasons for revising the packaging standards: (1) to simplify and reduce the volume of hazardous materials regulations; (2) to enhance safety through better classification and packaging; (3) to promote flexibility and technological innovation in packaging; (4) to reduce the need for exemptions in the Hazardous Materials Regulations; and (5) to facilitate international commerce.

Earlier in the rulemaking process for Docket HM-181, the RSPA issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM). In comments responding to the NPRM, the Safety Board expressed concerns related to the types of products that would be permitted in tank cars without certain safety features. (The Safety Board's comments, dated March 1, 1988, are presented on the following pages.) Before issuing the final rule for Docket HM-181, the RSPA and FRA shifted portions of the content from Docket HM-181 to Docket HM-175A, which addresses tank head and thermal protection, safety release valves, tank closures, and "grandfathering." (Docket HM-175A is discussed in appendix G.) Consequently, the portions on which the Safety Board provided comments were incorporated into Docket HM-175A. The final rule for Docket HM-175A has not yet been issued; therefore, the Safety Board does not know if its concerns related to packaging will be addressed.

National Transportation Safety Board

Washington, D.C. 20594

March 1, 1988

Dockets Branch
Research and Special Programs
Administration
U.S. Department of Transportation
400 Seventh Street SW
Washington, D.C. 20590

Dear Sir:

The Safety Board has reviewed your Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), "Performance-Oriented Packaging Standards; Miscellaneous Proposals," Docket No. HM-181, which was published at 52 FR 16482 on May 5, 1987, and the revised NPRM which was published at 52 FR 42773 on November 6, 1987. We support the objectives stated in this rulemaking, that is, to simplify the hazardous materials regulations, to reduce the volume of regulations, to promote flexibility and technological advances in packaging, to promote safety through better packaging, to reduce the need for exemptions, and to facilitate international commerce.

Although it has taken 5 years for the RSPA to progress this rulemaking to an NPRM, we are pleased that the RSPA has taken action to improve the hazard classification system through quantitative definitions and to establish performance-oriented nonbulk packaging criteria. We note that the proposal still contains some inconsistent packaging requirements in the proposed regulations and that it fails to adequately address the advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM) comments on nonbulk package performance tests involving differences in the United States and European transportation environments.

The Safety Board also notes that several previously prohibited poisonous gases, e.g., phosgene, germane, and cyanogen chloride, will be permitted to be transported in bulk containers; yet, no justification has been offered for this change. We do not believe that previously prohibited gases should be transported in bulk containers unless tests and safety analyses document that this change will not unreasonably affect public safety. Nevertheless, the Board believes the NPRM contains significant improvements for the transportation of hazardous materials. Below are specific comments which we believe will help to further the stated objectives of this rulemaking.

Hazard Classification

On numerous occasions, the Safety Board has expressed concern about the deficiencies in the Department of Transportation (DOT) hazard identification and classification system. We have urged the DOT to fully identify the hazards posed to life and health by each material during normal transportation and emergencies. Additionally, the Safety Board has recommended specific improvements in this system. (See Safety Recommendations R-72-44, I-76-3, I-81-14, I-81-15, and I-81-16.) The Safety Board continues to believe that improved knowledge about the type and extent of hazards posed by materials is necessary for making correct regulatory and design decisions about the level of protection containers should be required to provide during transportation. Additionally, this more comprehensive information should influence

public safety protection measures implemented when such materials are released during transportation. Therefore, we support RSPA's actions in the NPRM to provide quantitative definitions for all classes of hazardous materials and to make those definitions consistent with the recommendations prescribed by the United Nations (UN). We believe the proposed definitions will result in an improved and more uniform system for identifying the hazard characteristics of materials in transportation.

Hazard Communication

Many transported materials exhibit multiple hazards; however, the proposed regulations do not adequately address subsidiary hazards. Subsidiary hazards should be identified in the hazardous materials table (Section 172.101), on shipping papers (as required in Canada), and on vehicles. For example, according to the precedence of the hazard table in Section 173.2a, a material that requires a packaging group I container because of its toxicity by inhalation and because of its flammability (class 3) would be classified as a poisonous material. This classification results in only the poisonous characteristics of the material being identified. The potentially equally important information on its flammability characteristics will not be disclosed on shipping papers or placards.

Also, the Safety Board is concerned that the proposed use of hazard class or division numbers and identification numbers on shipping papers, labels, and placards as the required means of identifying materials and their hazards does not effectively convey sufficient warning information to the general public. The Safety Board believes that the DOT must require all shipping papers, labels, and placards to identify in plain language the hazards of the material for domestic shipments. Any additional information, such as class or division numbers and identification numbers, should supplement rather than replace text to identify the hazards.

First, numbers require persons to be familiar with the "code," or to have references readily available to explain their meaning. Secondly, numbers can be confusing when cargo names are complicated and contain numbers themselves. For example, the cargo 3,3,6.6,9,9-Hexamethyl-1,1,2,4,5-tetracyclononane is a proper DOT shipping name with identification number UN2167. Under current requirements, the hazard class described on the shipping papers is "Organic Peroxide." Under the proposed requirements, the hazard class would be described as "5.2." During an emergency, such a multitude of numbers may easily result in confusion for emergency responders, who face very stressful situations and need very clear information.

A priority objective of this rulemaking should be to verify that the hazard warning system is capable of alerting the general public and emergency responders to the hazards of each material transported. The Safety Board has previously pointed out in recommendations to the DOT, and the DOT has agreed, that the context of the hazard warning information system should be readily intelligible to all concerned, especially to those individuals having emergency action responsibilities. We also have called upon the DOT to carefully review its hazard warning system to insure that warnings of impending danger and advice are given in an understandable manner to the general public. Since 1968, the Safety Board has made several additional recommendations concerning modification of the hazard warning system, and the DOT has implemented appropriate changes. Consequently, the Safety Board is not convinced that the present warning system should be abandoned.

The Safety Board recognizes that the use of numbers is appropriate for international shipments where a cargo may pass through several countries, each with a different language. However, this situation does not exist for domestic shipments. Therefore, the DOT should require the use of the type of warning system which is capable of alerting the majority of those affected by the transport of hazardous materials. Hazard warning and material identification are most easily communicated with words rather than numbers. The Safety Board does not believe that the proposed numeric system accomplishes this objective.

Another concern is the DOT's creation of a numeric code, "10," in column 7 of the hazardous materials table to identify when packages containing specific hazardous materials must be marked "INHALATION HAZARD." Rather than clearly stating that the package must be marked "INHALATION HAZARD," the code "10" special provision states that bulk and nonbulk packagings shall be marked in accordance with Subpart D of Part 172. Subpart D of Part 172 then references requirements in Section 172.313, thus making it necessary for the user of these regulations to piece together several provisions to determine that a package must be marked "INHALATION HAZARD." The DOT has the capability to identify those materials in its hazardous materials table which meet the criteria established for identifying materials that pose toxic inhalation hazards. Therefore, to make compliance with its regulations easier, the Safety Board encourages the DOT to identify those materials listed in its hazardous materials table that must be marked "INHALATION HAZARD" and then to identify those materials by placing the code "10" in column 7 on the same line as the listed material.

The proposed changes would require that if a material is described by a "not otherwise specified" (n.o.s.) entry in the 172.101 table, the technical name of the material shall be entered in parentheses immediately following the proper shipping name. If the material is a mixture of two or more hazardous materials, the DOT, without justification, has proposed that the names of only the two components most predominately contributing to the hazard(s) of the mixture shall be entered in parentheses. The Safety Board believes that all components on an n.o.s. entry which contribute to the hazard(s) of the mixture should be entered on the shipping paper and sees no justification, based on safety, to limiting the entry to two components.

The need for complete information on the materials contained in waste shipments was illustrated by an accident on March 6, 1984, in Orange County, Florida, which involved a cargo tank of mixed hazardous waste acids described as waste acid liquid, n.o.s. Twelve persons who came in contact with the vapors were injured, four seriously. Based on its investigation of the accident, the Safety Board recommended that the RSPA :

<u>I-85-10</u>

> Determine the adequacy of general shipping names on shipping papers for hazardous wastes and the need for additional information, such as technical and chemical group names, to better inform emergency response personnel about the composition and hazard of the material being shipped.

The Safety Board concluded that contributing to the accident was a "lack of information available to emergency response personnel from shipping papers, the shipper, and the carrier about the composition and hazards of the waste material." The Safety Board urges the RSPA to accomplish the safety objectives of Safety Recommendation I-85-10 in the final regulations.

Packaging Requirements

Performance Standards.—While the Safety Board supports and has previously urged the DOT to develop performance-oriented packaging standards, it is essential that any increased flexibility in the design for packagings be accompanied by increased responsibility for proving the adequacy of a packaging. Such proof must include, as a minimum, packaging tests that demonstrate that acceptable levels of safety performance will be experienced during conditions normally incident to transportation, including conditions experienced during accidents. The proposed general requirements for testing nonspecification packagings (49 CFR 178.601) state that the test procedures prescribed are intended to ensure that packages containing hazardous materials can withstand normal conditions of transportation; yet, the proposed tests are insufficient for demonstrating how packages will perform when subjected to stresses in the actual transportation environment, i.e., extended periods of vibration, abrasion, puncture, extreme temperature, and accident conditions.

Some of the proposed test acceptance criteria prescribed for performance-oriented nonbulk packages actually are less severe than the acceptance criteria presently required for specification packages. This rulemaking fails to justify or to otherwise demonstrate the adequacy of the proposed test requirements for providing an appropriate margin of safety. For example, when phosphoric acid is transported in a drum under current regulations, the drum must pass a leakproofness test at 15 psig. Under the proposal, however, that same material may be shipped in a drum that passes a leakproofness test at only 2.9 psig. The effect of this reduction on transportation safety is not defined. On the other hand, some proposed tests, such as the hydrostatic and drop tests, have incorporated improved testing procedures by requiring in the prescribed test procedures consideration of the physical characteristics of hazardous materials, such as vapor pressure and specific gravity. Those changes should help to better determine if specific packages will properly retain dangerous materials. Nevertheless, we are concerned that an appropriate safety analysis has not been performed to demonstrate that the proposed package performance tests and acceptance criteria will achieve acceptable levels of safety.

While the proposed package performance test standards generally follow the UN-recommended performance test standards, the rulemaking does not adequately address the relevancy of the UN-recommended tests to the U.S. transportation environment. The NPRM notes that a number of comments in the ANPRM questioned the applicability of UN standards in the United States. The transportation environment conditions in the United States can vary significantly from conditions in Europe, e.g., 50 or more hours of continuous package vibration is not unusual in the United States, whereas such continuous vibration would be unlikely in Europe. Furthermore, the NPRM notes that a number of comments in the ANPRM believe that vibration places abrasion and fatigue stresses on packages. Therefore, a package may prove to be unsatisfactory in spite of its ability to survive a drop test. As a result of those concerns expressed in the ANPRM, the NPRM contains a requirement in Section 173.24a that each nonbulk package be capable of withstanding a vibration test. However, the proposed vibration test is for a period of only 1 hour, and the proposed regulation does not explicitly require that the vibration test prescribed in appendix C be performed. Additionally, no other tests have been added to address abrasion, fatigue, or puncture stresses experienced in the U.S. transportation environment. Therefore, the Safety Board does not believe that the tests, as now proposed, adequately address the comments to the

ANPRM on the suitability and acceptability of the UN performance test standards when applied to the transportation environment in the United States as compared to Europe.

During a public hearing held November 17-18, 1987, several participants again questioned the suitability and adequacy of the proposed test standards for evaluating the safe performance of packagings for the U.S. transportation environment. The chairman of the board of directors of the National Barrel and Drum Association (NABADA), a trade association representing the container reconditioning industry, expressed the following concerns:

> The vibration test is too inadequate to have any relevance to steel drums and the real transportation environment; hydrostatic pressure test requirements will often be lower than current requirements; and, leak test pressures are proposed to be reduced by more than 70 percent for new containers in Packaging Group I and more than 58 percent for Packaging Group II.
>
> Five years ago, when commenting on the ANPRM, the association urged the "immediate initiation of comprehensive technical research to correlate performance standards with actual conditions encountered in U.S. transportation . . . unfortunately nothing was done. Technically, NABADA is in no position to suggest what additional performance tests might be developed to assure greater container strength to resist puncture, abrasion, and real transportation vibration (not 1 hour, but 30, 40, or even 50 hours)."

The General Counsel to the Conference on Safe Transportation of Hazardous Articles, Inc., expressed the following concerns:

> In larger packaging, . . . particularly 55-gallon drums, the UN recommendations appear to be inadequate. A packaging which meets the UN performance tests alone will not function dependably in real transportation, especially on the extensive American highway and rail systems. Many drums used today in Europe are satisfactory, but it is unclear to what extent (if at all) the European community has implemented pure UN standards and phased out other specifications. It also is unclear to what extent existing European quality results from supplemental requirements imposed by governmental testing agencies, above and beyond basic UN criteria.
>
> While all the rigid detail of today's specifications may not be necessary, until there is development of a performance standard that truly measures the transportation strength of a packaging, some elements of today's design standards should be retained. Minimum strength and thickness of materials of construction are among these elements.

The Safety Board also questions the practicality of proposed specific package minimum thickness requirements for reuse packages while no minimum thickness requirements are proposed for most of those same new packages. Before any package, new or used, is permitted to be used to transport any hazardous material, it first should be demonstrated that the package will pass all packaging performance tests. The Safety Board believes it is important that these matters be evaluated before nonbulk,

performance-oriented packaging requirements are permitted to replace specific packaging standards.

Hazardous Wastes Packaging.—The proposed regulations will permit, without further qualification, the transportation of hazardous wastes in used packages even though they may not be considered reusable for nonwaste hazardous materials. Section 173.12(c) states that "A packaging which is non-reusable according to the specification requirements of Part 178 of this subchapter or to 173.28 of this Part may be reused for the shipment of hazardous waste to designated facilities" if the "package is not offered for transportation less than 24 hours after it is finally closed for transportation, and each package is inspected for leakage and is found to be free from leaks immediately prior to being offered for transportation." The Safety Board believes that package safeguard requirements should not depend on whether a material is intended for commercial use or waste disposal. Rather, the transportation safety requirements of a material should depend on its hazard characteristics during transportation. Containers that are too thin or otherwise would fail to pass reuse performance requirements for shipments of hazardous materials also should be prohibited for wastes which possess equivalent or worse hazard characteristics. In 1985, in the supplementary information to Docket HM-183, the RSPA acknowledged "that there is no significant difference in the risks associated with the transportation of hazardous wastes and other types of hazardous materials." The Safety Board agrees that many wastes pose no less of a hazard than pure materials. However, some waste solutions, such as mixtures of hydrochloric acid and nitric acid, result in a more reactive solution than the individual pure materials. Consequently, we believe that packagings for waste materials at least should meet the same standards of performance as that required for other hazardous materials.

Bulk Packaging.—While the proposed hazard classification and identification system will group materials with like hazard characteristics more uniformly, bulk packaging safety requirements (for highway cargo tanks and rail tank cars) are sometimes inconsistent between commodities within the same hazard classification group with no apparent justification. For example, the Safety Board identified 14 poisonous gases (2.3) (including chloropicrin and methyl chloride mixtures, methyl bromine, and nitric oxide) which require packaging group I nonbulk packagings and which may be transported in cargo tanks under the current regulations. We also identified 21 other poisonous gases which require packaging group I nonbulk packagings but which may not be transported in bulk highway cargo tanks unless specifically approved by the Director, Office of Hazardous Materials Transportation (OHMT). Those materials include arsine, hydrogen selenide anhydrous, and nitrogen dioxide, liquefied. Additionally, we identified four poisonous gases which may be shipped in less stringent packaging group II nonbulk packagings but are prohibited from being transported in bulk highway cargo tanks under the proposed regulations. These include boron trifluoride, coal gas, nitrosyl chloride, and tetraethyl dithiopyrophosphate and gases in solution or with gas mixtures LC 50 $\leq$ 200 ppm.

The Safety Board also has found inconsistent requirements for bulk shipments of hazardous materials in tank cars which would result in a reduced level of safety. Section 173.314(b)(6) provides grandfather protection for tank cars built before December 30, 1971, that are used to transport flammable gases (2.1). Such tank cars would not be required to have heat-resistant gaskets for manway covers and mounting for fittings. The proposed regulation would require that tank cars manufactured after December 30, 1971, have gaskets made of heat-resistant materials approved by the Association of American Railways (AAR) Tank Car Committee; yet, the AAR has not

developed standards for gasket materials. Additionally, there are still exceptions to the regulations that permit tank cars with a capacity of 18,500 or less gallons to be used for transporting flammable gas when those tank cars do not provide equal levels of protection required for larger cars, i.e, head shields and thermal insulation. As yet, the DOT has not provided any justification for this exception. The Safety Board believes that it is time to stop permitting tank cars that fail to meet current minimum safety requirements to be used to transport dangerous materials under "grandfather clauses." As a minimum, the DOT should establish a specific date by which all tank cars would have to comply with the new requirements.

While the DOT is attempting in its rulemaking to strengthen the packaging requirements for liquids and gases which pose toxic-by-inhalation hazards, the Safety Board is concerned that the use of J-type tank cars, which are equipped with large volume pressure relief valves, may not be appropriate for transporting toxic materials since these materials should not be released to the atmosphere. Furthermore, the requirements for using J-type (tanks equipped with protection against head puncture and thermal exposure) or S-type (tanks equipped with protection against head puncture only) tank cars seem to be arbitrary as materials with equivalent hazards sometimes are assigned to J-type tank cars and sometimes to S-type tank cars.

About 30 materials previously prohibited from being transported in bulk, such as phosgene, now are permitted. However, all such previously prohibited materials are not proposed to be transported in packagings that provide the greatest protection during transportation accidents. Before these materials are permitted to be transported in bulk, the DOT must demonstrate that all proposed packagings will be constructed to minimize the risk of any release during transportation, including the elimination of exceptions which permit hazardous materials to be transported in packagings that do not meet all safety requirements. Any materials believed to pose a risk so great that no release from packagings during transportation could be considered acceptable, especially in bulk quantities, should be subject to rigorous performance tests that demonstrate the integrity of the container through severe accident conditions, such as tests currently performed on some radioactive materials packagings.

This rulemaking proposal does not address the need of requiring the use of tank cars protected by head shields and thermal insulation for transporting all materials with an isolation radius of 1/2 mile or more as specified in the DOT's Emergency Response Guidebook. (See Safety Recommendation R-85-105.) Any material, when packaged in rail tank cars, which is so hazardous as to warrant large public evacuations during emergencies also should warrant protection from release or violent rupture of its container. The Safety Board urges the RSPA to incorporate requirements into the final rule appropriate to accomplish this safety objective.

In summary, the Safety Board believes that this proposal, on the whole, is a substantial improvement and, therefore, we support adoption of most of the proposed changes. However, the proposal contains certain deficiencies which the Safety Board believes must be rectified before all aspects of the proposed rule are made final. We believe that the following corrective actions can be taken without causing any appreciable delay in the implementation schedule:

> Identify in the hazardous materials table and require the identification on shipping papers and on transportation vehicles the known subsidiary hazards of materials transported.

Maintain for domestic shipments the presently required hazard warning information on shipping papers, labels, and placards for communicating, in plain language, the hazards posed by materials. The U.N. hazard class number also could be used, but it should not replace the present hazard warning system.

Use proposed code "10" in the hazardous materials table as a positive means for denoting materials which must be marked "INHALATION HAZARD."

Require that all components of a waste or mixed material which contribute to the hazards of the material be entered on the shipping paper.

Require that packaging standards for waste materials meet the same standards as nonwaste materials which pose equivalent hazards.

Establish a specific date by which the "grandfather clauses" no longer permit hazardous materials to be transported in railroad tank cars that do not meet present safety requirements.

Require that railroad tank cars used to transport materials with a DOT Emergency Response Guidebook recommended evacuation radius of 1/2 mile or more be equipped with head shield protection and, as applicable, with thermal insulation.

Establish or adopt an existing performance standard for heat-resistant gaskets that are required for tank car manway covers and for mountings for fitting.

Based on an evaluation of the product characteristics of liquids and gases which pose toxic-by-inhalation hazards, modify the proposed tank car packaging assignments to require the use of appropriate tank car head puncture and thermal protection for materials that pose equivalent hazards.

The Safety Board recognizes that the following improvements, called for in its comments above, will require additional study and/or research and thus cannot be done expeditiously:

Conduct tests and perform appropriate safety analyses to determine whether the proposed nonbulk, performance packaging standards provide adequate protection against vibration, abrasion, puncture, extreme temperature, and accident conditions for the U.S. transportation environment.

Conduct tests and perform appropriate safety analyses to identify the risks posed and to demonstrate the containment capability of packagings proposed for transporting materials previously prohibited from transportation in bulk.

For the two above instances, the Safety Board believes that the RSPA should proceed with a final rule which leaves the present requirements in place in lieu of the relaxed

standards contained in the proposal. At a later date, when the RSPA has completed the necessary testing and has analyzed the results, a supplementary rulemaking based on its findings then could be issued. In the interim, this more conservative approach will provide greater protection for the public.

The Safety Board appreciates the opportunity to make these comments and urges RSPA to move expeditiously on this rulemaking.

Respectfully yours,

Jim Burnett
Chairman

APPENDIX G

FEDERAL RULEMAKING AND SAFETY BOARD COMMENTS RELATED TO DOCKET HM-175A

An advance NPRM (ANPRM), "Specifications for Tank Car Tanks," was issued on May 15, 1990 (55 FR 20242-20245). According to the RSPA, this rulemaking action (Docket HM-175A) was initiated (1) to require thermal protection or head protection, or both, on new and existing tank car tanks that are constructed of aluminum or nickel, or that are used to transport certain hazardous materials; (2) to disallow the use of the half-head shield as an option to meet head protection requirements; (3) to prohibit the use of tank car tanks that have a manway cover located below the liquid level of the product being transported; (4) to disallow the use of so-called non-pressure tank car tanks to transport materials that are poisonous by inhalation; (5) to increase the start-to-discharge pressure setting on certain tank car tanks; (6) to establish specifications for the securement and accident survivability of tank closure fittings on tank cars; and (7) to phase out certain "grandfather" provisions for tank car tanks that do not meet the safety requirements for newly built tank car tanks.

The Safety Board's comments responding to the ANPRM identified the needs listed below. (The full text of the Safety Board's comments, dated August 21, 1990, is presented on the following pages.)

- The RSPA should expedite final rules that would require full head shields and thermal protection for all tank cars transporting Class A poisons; materials that are toxic by inhalation; and specialty products such as high-strength acids, chlorine, oxidizers, and other materials that are extremely reactive.

- The RSPA should not permit tank cars that fail to meet current minimum safety requirements to be used to transport dangerous materials under "grandfather clauses."

- The RSPA should prohibit the transportation of hazardous materials within the United States in any tank cars with bottom manway openings.

- The RSPA should develop and implement, with the assistance of the FRA, regulations to address the integrity of closure fittings, including, at a minimum, requirements for torque settings and gasket specifications that would ensure that liquid and vapor-tight seals are attained when the fittings are mounted

and secured and improved testing in positions other than the vertical to determine if these fittings can prevent the release of the hazardous material being transported.

The RSPA received comments from about 25 other organizations and individuals by the closing date of the comment period (August 21, 1990). The agency is now reviewing all comments before issuing the NPRM, which is expected to occur in the summer 1991.

National Transportation Safety Board

Washington, D.C. 20594

Office of the Chairman

August 21, 1990

Dockets Branch
Research and Special Programs Administration
U.S. Department of Transportation
400 Seventh Street S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20590

Dear Sir:

The National Transportation Safety Board has reviewed the Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) "Specifications for Tank Car Tanks," Docket No. HM-175A, Notice No. 90-8, which was published by the Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA) of the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) at 55 FR 20242 on May 15, 1990. The Safety Board offers the comments below for your consideration.

Tank Head and Thermal Protection

Since the late 1960s, the Safety Board has conducted numerous investigations of accidents in which tank cars sustained head-end punctures, leading to a release of the hazardous materials being transported. As a result of its investigations, the Safety Board has repeatedly recommended that full head shields and thermal protection be required for tank cars transporting high risk hazardous materials.

In response to these accidents and the Safety Board's recommendations, RSPA issued regulations between September 1977 and January 1984 that required:

1. Head shield and thermal protection on existing and newly built DOT specification 112 and 114 tank cars transporting flammable gases (1977);

2. Head shield protection on existing and newly built DOT specification 112 and 114 tank cars transporting anhydrous ammonia (1977);

3. Vertical restraint couplers on all new and existing specification 112 and 114 tank cars (1977);

4. Vertical restraint couplers on existing and newly built specification 105 tank cars and all other DOT specification tank cars (1981);

5. Tank head puncture resistance systems on specification 105 tank cars built after August 31, 1981, and used to transport flammable gases, anhydrous ammonia, and ethylene oxide (1981); and

6. Lower tank head protection on specification 105 tank cars built before September 1, 1981, and that had a capacity exceeding 18,500 gallons and were used to transport a flammable gas or ethylene oxide (1984).

Since 1984, RSPA has not broadened the requirements for head shield protection despite the issuance of additional recommendations and the occurrence of additional accidents in which tank cars transporting hazardous materials sustained head-end punctures. For example, on March 12, 1980, the Safety Board issued Safety Recommendation R-80-12, which recommended that DOT examine specialty products (such as high strength acids, chlorine, and oxidizers), and class A poisons that were being shipped in specification 111 tank cars to determine if the toxicity hazard was sufficient to justify head shields and thermal protection. The Safety Board is also concerned with the transportation of materials that are toxic by inhalation. Because these materials should not be released to the atmosphere, they should be afforded the protection provided by full head shields and thermal protection when transported in rail tank cars.

As a result of its investigation of the head-end puncture of an aluminum DOT specification 111A tank car and the release of fuming nitric acid in Denver, Colorado, on April 3, 1983, the Safety Board concluded that the puncture occurred at an impact speed of only 12 miles per hour and that head shields may have prevented the release of the product. As a result of this accident, the Safety Board issued Safety Recommendation R-85-61, which, in part, called upon RSPA to require the installation of head shields on DOT specification aluminum tank cars to protect them from punctures.

On February 23, 1985, eight tank cars that were transporting cyclohexane, a flammable liquid, derailed in Jackson, South Carolina. The heads of five of the eight tank cars were punctured, permitting the release and ignition of the cyclohexane. The tank cars were equipped with vertical restraint couplers but were not equipped with head shields. The Safety Board concluded that the punctures of the tank heads probably would not have occurred if the tank cars had been equipped with head shields.

More recent accidents in Helena, Montana, Freeland, Michigan, and Akron, Ohio, all involved tank cars that sustained impacts on the tank heads. On February 2, 1989, a run-away freight train collided with yard locomotives in Helena, Montana. As a result of the collision, a DOT specification 111A dual compartment tank car transporting acetone and isopropyl alcohol was punctured in the tank head resulting in the release of 12,000 gallons of isopropyl alcohol. The tank car was not equipped with head shields. The Safety Board concluded that the puncture would not have occurred if the tank car had been so equipped.

On July 22, 1989, a derailment in Freeland, Michigan, resulted in head-end punctures to a DOT specification 105A tank car transporting trimethychlorosilane and a DOT specification 111A tank car transporting petroleum naphtha. A third tank car, a DOT specification 112A containing acrylonitrile, was not punctured, but one tank head sustained severe damage

in the accident. None of these three tank cars were equipped with head shields nor were they required to be so equipped for the products carried.

On February 26, 1989, twenty-one cars derailed in Akron, Ohio, including 7 DOT specification 112J tank cars and 2 DOT specification 105J tank cars transporting butane. All of these tank cars were equipped with head shields and thermal protection. Additionally, all 9 tank cars were equipped with shelf couplers, and some shelf couplers broke during the derailment. Several of these tanks then sustained, without failure, severe strikes to their heads with some strikes inflicted in the upper portion of the tank heads. None of these tanks were punctured.

The Safety Board believes that the accident data from the past twenty years clearly demonstrate the vulnerability of tank car heads to puncture during derailments even, at times, when equipped with shelf-type couplers. The effectiveness of head shields and thermal protection has been equally demonstrated in accidents involving tank cars that were so equipped. The effectiveness of head shields has also been recognized by rail carriers, chemical companies, and industry associations. Further, RSPA has acknowledged in the ANPRM that the Union Pacific Railroad recommended, on behalf of three chemical companies and four other rail carriers, that existing tank cars be retrofitted with full head shield protection. Also, the Chlorine Institute has publicly acknowledged that head shields should be installed on existing tank cars that transport chlorine (even though these tank cars usually have capacities less than 18,500 gallons).

In addition, a recently completed study sponsored by the Railway Progress Institute and the Association of American Railroads entitled "Analysis of Tank Cars Damaged in Accidents, 1965 through 1986" concluded that the inclusion of shelf couplers and head shields reduced the probability of a head puncture on DOT specification 112 and 114 by 91 percent. The study also noted that 18 percent of the head punctures on DOT specification 112, 114, and 105 tank cars during this period were in the upper half of the tank head. A second similarly sponsored study entitled "Railroad Tank Car Safety Assessment" concluded that thermal shields, head shields, and shelf couplers are "clearly associated with reduced spillage of hazardous materials in recent years."

As a result of its investigation of the collision and derailment in Helena, Montana, the Safety Board issued Recommendation R-89-80 to the DOT to:

> Evaluate present safety standards for tank cars transporting hazardous materials by using safety analysis methods to identify the unacceptable levels of risk and the degree of risk from the release of a hazardous material, and then modify existing regulations to achieve an acceptable level of safety for each product/tank car combination.

The Safety Board recognizes that the determination of the risks associated with various materials, the risks acceptable to the public, and the criteria for the packaging required to transport hazardous materials at

acceptable risk levels will take more than a few months to complete. When RSPA completes this long term project of using safety analyses to evaluate the risk level of all products and the protection needed to lower those risks to an acceptable level, additional products will likely be identified that need the added protection of head shields and thermal protection. However, the Safety Board believes that the need for head shield and thermal protection for the transportation of certain products in certain containers has already been well established. Therefore, the Safety Board urges the RSPA to move expeditiously to issue and implement final rules that would require full head shields and thermal protection for:

1. all DOT specification 105 tank cars with a capacity of 18,500 gallons or less and used to transport flammable gases, ethylene oxide, or other products that now require head shield and thermal protection when shipped in 105 tank cars exceeding 18,500 gallons; and

2. all tank cars transporting class A poisons, materials that are toxic by inhalation, and specialty products such as high strength acids, chlorine, oxidizers, and other extremely reactive materials.

Grandfathering Provisions

In its letter of March 1, 1988, commenting on the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) under docket HM-181, "Performance-Oriented Packaging Standards; Miscellaneous Proposals," the Safety Board found that some proposed requirements for bulk shipments of hazardous materials in tank cars were inconsistent and could result in a reduced level of safety. For example, proposed section 173.314(b)(6) would have provided a grandfather exemption for tank cars built before December 30, 1971, that were to be used to transport flammable gases. Such tank cars would not have been required to have heat resistant gaskets for manway covers and for mountings of fittings. However, the proposed regulation would have required tank cars manufactured after December 30, 1971, to have gaskets made of heat-resistant materials approved by the Association of American Railroads (AAR) Tank Car Committee. The NPRM did not propose a date by which the tank cars built before 1972 would have to meet the improved standards. Therefore, the Safety Board stated in its letter:

> The Safety Board believes that it is time to stop permitting tank cars that fail to meet current minimum safety requirements to be used to transport dangerous materials under "grandfather clauses." As a minimum, the DOT should establish a specific date by which all tank cars would have to comply with the new requirements.

The Safety Board reiterates these comments, and urges RSPA to establish dates by which all existing tank cars must meet all tank car safety requirements.

Bottom Manway Openings

Based on its investigation of the release of butadiene and resulting in fire from a tank car with a bottom manway that occurred in New Orleans, Louisiana, on September 8, 1987, the Safety Board recommended that the Federal Railroad Administration prohibit the use of tank cars with a manway below the level of the liquid being transported from use in hazardous materials service. In its report of this accident, the Safety Board noted that the design for tank cars with bottom manways were approved for hazardous materials service without an assessment of the design based on service trials or performance. The Safety Board also concluded that it was unlikely that a hazardous materials leak through a bottom manway during transportation could be stopped.

RSPA noted in the ANPRM that it was the understanding of both RSPA and the FRA that there are no longer any United States tank car tanks equipped with bottom manways openings that might be used for hazardous materials transportation in the United States; however, Canadian and Mexican tank car tanks with bottom manways might still be used in hazardous materials transportation in the United States. Because tanks of this design are more susceptible to a catastrophic release similar to that in New Orleans, the Safety Board believes that all tank car tanks with bottom manway openings, including those owned by Canadian and Mexican interests, should not be authorized for the transportation of hazardous materials within the United States. The Safety Board therefore urges RSPA to prohibit the use of these tank car designs under this rulemaking.

Design and Integrity of Tank Car Closure Fittings

The Safety Board is also concerned about the integrity of the closure fittings for rail tank car tanks. The head-on collision of two freight trains in Altoona, Iowa, on July 30, 1988, resulted in the release and ignition of denatured alcohol from the manways and safety relief valves on two derailed tank cars although there was minimal damage to the tanks. During hydrostatic tests that were conducted on the two tank cars following the accident, considerable effort had to be expended by workers to secure the manways sufficiently to hold the 100 psig test pressure. Further, the safety relief valves on the two tank cars were found to be unevenly seated when they were removed for bench testing.

The Safety Board noted in its report of this accident that current regulations do not include standards that address the performance in accidents of tank cars and the closure fittings on tank cars. Derailments of tank cars typically lead to overturning; yet, safety relief valves and manways are not tested in positions other than the vertical to determine if these fittings can prevent the release of the material being transported. Also, manufacturers of rail tanks are not required to provide the minimum torques and gasket specifications that would ensure that liquid and vapor tight seals are attained when the fittings are mounted or secured.

The Safety Board believes that closure fittings and safety relief valves should maintain their integrity in accidents that are survivable by the rail tank. Therefore the Safety Board urges RSPA to develop and implement, in coordination with the Federal Railroad Administration, regulations concerning the integrity of closure fittings as requested in Safety Recommendations R-89-48, -49, -53, and -54 (which were addressed in the ANPRM).

The Safety Board appreciates the opportunity to make these comments.

Sincerely,

James L. Kolstad
Chairman

APPENDIX H

ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN RAILROADS' RECOMMENDED RAILROAD OPERATING PRACTICES FOR TRANSPORTATION OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN RAILROADS

H. H. Bradley
Vice President

January 4, 1990

CIRCULAR No. OT-55

RECOMMENDED RAILROAD OPERATING PRACTICES

FOR TRANSPORTATION OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

TO THE MEMBERS:

Based on recommendations of the Inter-Industry Task Force on the safe transportation of hazardous materials by rail, the O-T General Committee and the AAR Board of Directors, approved for immediate publication the following recommended operating practices for the transportation of hazardous materials.

Road Operating Practices

I. Industrywide Implementation of "Key Trains"

A. Definition: Any train with five tank car loads of poison inhalation hazard (packing group I, as defined in HM-181) or 20 car loads or intermodal portable tank loads of a combination of PIH (PG I), flammable gas and Class A explosives, shall be called a "Key Train".

B. Restrictions:

1. Maximum speed -- "Key Train" - 50 MPH.

2. Unless siding or auxiliary track meets FRA Class 2 standards, a Key Train will hold main track at meeting or passing points, when practicable.

3. After 12/31/93 no cars with friction bearings will be permitted in any "Key Train". The AAR will initiate the process of amending the Interchange Rules to require that all cars with friction bearings be eliminated from interchange service by 12/31/93 rather than the current date of 12/31/94.

Operations and Maintenance Department
50 F Street, N.W., Washington, D. C. 20001 (202) 639-2200

4. When a moving "Key Train" is stopped by any emergency brake application or by some unknown cause the train must be inspected for derailed or defective cars. If the train is stopped at a place where it cannot be safely inspected (e.g. bridge), the train may be moved, if conditions permit, to the nearest place where it can be safely inspected.

5. If a defect in a "Key Train" journal is reported by a wayside detector, but a visual inspection fails to confirm evidence of a defect, the train will not exceed 30 MPH until it has passed over the next wayside detector. If the same car again sets off the next detector it must be set out from the train.

II. Industrywide Designation of "Key Routes"

A. Defintion: any track with a combination of 10,000 car loads or intermodal portable tank loads of hazardous materials, or a combination of 4,000 car loadings of PIH (PGI), flammable gas and Class A explosives, over a period of one year.

B. Requirements:

1. Wayside defective bearing detectors shall be placed a maximum of 40 miles apart on "Key Routes", or equivalent level of protection may be installed based on improvement in technology.

2. Main Track on "Key Routes" must be inspected by rail defect detection and track geometry inspection cars or an equivalent level of inspection no less than two times each year, and sidings must be similarly inspected no less than one time each year.

3. Any track used for meeting and passing "Key Trains" must be Class 2 or better. If a meet or pass must occur on less than Class 2 track due to an emergency, one of the trains must be stopped before the other train passes.

III. Yard Operating Practices

A. Maximum reasonable efforts will be made to achieve coupling of loaded placarded tank cars at speeds not to exceed 4 MPH.

B. Loaded placarded tank cars of PIH (PGI) or flammable gas which are cut off in motion for coupling must be handled in not more than 2-car cuts, and cars cut off in motion to be coupled directly to a loaded placarded tank car of PIH (PGI) or flammable gas must also be handled in not more than 2-car car cuts.

IV. STORAGE

Proposed Separation Distance

Loaded Tank Cars and Storage Tanks from Mainline, Class II Track or Better

Activity	Combustible Liquid, Corrosive Material and ORM's	PIH (PGI), Flammable Liquid, Flammable Gas, Non-flammable Gas and All Other Hazard Classes
Loading or Unloading		
If conditions permit	50	100
Not less than	25	50
Storage of Loaded Tank Cars	25	50
Storage in Tanks		
If conditions permit	50	100
Not less than	25	50

With regard to existing facilities maximum reasonable effort should be made to conform to this standard taking into consideration cost, physical and legal constraints.

The proposals apply to storage on Railroad property and on chemical company property located close to Railroad mainline.

V. TRAINING OF TRANSPORTATION EMPLOYEES

Implementation of Railroad Industry Training Objectives for Railroad Operating Employees

The following objectives should be met in every railroad's program for training operating employees (non-emergency responders) who handle hazardous materials in transportation:

A. Employees (including supervisors) who handle shipments of hazardous materials in rail transportation should learn to perform the following tasks as they apply to their assigned duties:

1. Comply with the requirements for hazardous materials shipping data in rail transportation of hazardous materials.

2. Recognize markings and placards that indicate the presence of hazardous materials.

3. When required by regulation, inspect the external conditions of placarded hazardous materials shipments to assure that they are properly prepared for transportation.

4. Switch placarded hazardous material shipments in compliance with applicable rules and regulations.

5. Place placarded hazardous material shipments in a train in compliance with applicable rules and regulations.

B. Employees (including supervisors) who handle shipments of hazardous materials in rail transportation should learn to perform the following tasks in hazardous materials incidents:

1. Make the appropriate identifications and notifications and provide the appropriate information, as required by railroad operating rules and instructions for handling hazardous materials.

2. Take appropriate action to protect self and others on the scene.

3. Provide assistance to the local emergency response agencies in the form of identification of the hazardous materials and their location(s) on the train.

C. The training objectives set out in paragraphs A and B above should apply to and meet the specific requirements of particular crafts, for example: train crews, inspectors, and clerks who prepare consist information.

D. The objectives set out in paragraphs A and B above cover a basic training program for employees (including supervisors). Frequency of training in this category should be consistent with the timing of existing railroad reexamination programs.

E. Training of employees (including supervisors) who handle shipments of hazardous materials on a "Key Route" (as defined in Part II above) should be conducted on an annual basis. This training should meet the objectives set out in paragraphs A and B above, but should also cover additional subject matter, including special hazardous material operating requirements for the route, yard emergency plans and practices in those plans, and basic chemical characteristics. Each of these employees should demonstrate proficiency by passing a written examination or by other means, such as a successful work practices audit.

F. All training should be recorded. It will suffice if the individual carries a card indicating that he meets certain requirements, or if his personnel record indicates the date and level of training received.

VI. TRANSCAER

Transportation Community Awareness and Emergency Response
(Endorsed by AAR and CMA)

Implementation of Transcaer

Railroads will implement a national community outreach program to improve community awareness, emergency planning and incident response for the transportation of hazardous materials. The objectives of TRANSCAER are as follows:

- Demonstrate the continuing commitment of chemical manufacturers and transporters to the safe transportation of hazardous materials.

- Improve the relationship between manufacturers, carriers and local officials of communities through which hazardous materials are transported.

- Inform Local Emergency Planning Committees (LEPC's) about hazardous materials moving through their communities, and the safeguards that are in place to protect against unintentional releases.

- Assist LEPC's in developing emergency plans to cope with hazardous materials transportation incidents.

- Assist community response organizations in preparations for responding to hazardous materials incidents.

An important product of the TRANSCAER program will be to overcome the widespread belief that every local firefighter and policeman must have the expert skills and equipment to respond personally to any hazardous materials emergency. Through the awareness training and contingency planning provided through TRANSCAER, states and local communities will be able to pool their expertise and resources with those of industry to provide for a coordinated and better managed emergency response system.

TRANSCAER must be highly publicized to produce the maximum desirable enhancement of public awareness.

To ensure the success of TRANSCAER, railroads must be prepared to focus training and assistance in contingency planning for all local communities on Key Routes (as defined in Part II above), and also to assist any other community on a rail line upon request. TRANSCAER should be highly publicized to produce the maximum desirable enhancement of public awareness, recognizing that once this occurs, there will be inevitable immediate requests for assistance from many communities, even those which we believe are at low risk. There will also be requests for assistance in "skills" training, to which we must be prepared to respond in a prudent and effective way. An AAR and CMA Task Group is currently developing resource material to assist in this community outreach program. Six workshops are scheduled for 1990.

On behalf of the General Committee. Each AAR member will commit without reservation to comply with these recommendations/standards.

Very truly yours,

H. H. Bradley

* U.S. G.P.O.:1991-281-626:20052