

National Transportation Safety Board



Photo Credit: The Columbus Dispatch

Survival Flight Inc. Bell 407 January 29, 2019 Zaleski, Ohio

Human Performance presentation

1

Survival Flight's Poor Safety Culture

Demonstrated by lack of effective risk management

- Management pressuring bases to remain operational and accept flights
- Advertising operating in lower weather minimums
- Pushing flights to be airborne



Survival Flight's Poor Safety Culture (cont.)

- Pilots responded to pressure at expense of SOPs
 - Accident pilot accepted flight without shift change briefing and adequate preflight risk assessment
 - Pilots likely influenced by management's lack of support for SOP compliance
- Company lacked SMS



NTSB SMS Advocacy

- 2009: Recommendation for all HAA operators implement SMS (A-09-89)
 - FAA 2014 HEMS rule did not require SMS
 - Classified "Closed–Unacceptable Action"
- 2016: Recommendation for all Part 135 operators implement SMS (A-16-36)
 - FAA intended to evaluate voluntary implementation
 - Initially classified "Open–Acceptable Response"
- 2016 to 2019: A-16-36 reiterated 3 times
- 2019 to 2020: Part 135 safety on NTSB Most Wanted List
- Proposal to reiterate and change classification of A-16-36 to "Open–Unacceptable Response"



SMS and Survival Flight

Requirement for SMS would have:

- Held Survival Flight management accountable for developing and maintaining robust safety program
- Provided FAA with insight into Survival Flight's safety process and performance





Recommendations proposed to:

- Survival Flight to voluntarily establish SMS
- FAA to require SMS for all Part 135 operations (reiteration of A-16-36)





| National Transportation Safety Board