



Our rule on reconsideration affords parties an opportunity, within certain narrowly defined parameters, to demonstrate that a decision contains errors that warrant our taking a second look at a holding. It is not properly invoked for the purpose of revisiting arguments already considered and rejected in connection with the original appeal. Thus, because our process for reviewing enforcement cases allows each Board Member to express an opinion on the issues and proper outcome in every proceeding, those joining in the majority decision in this case did so only after being fully informed as to the dissent's subsequently published contentions. As a result, reconsideration is not appropriate.

**ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED THAT:**

The petition for reconsideration is denied.

HALL, Chairman, HAMMERSCHMIDT, GOGLIA, BLACK, and CARMODY, Members of the Board, concurred in the above order.

(..continued)

which takes the position both that no appropriate basis for reconsideration has been identified and that, in any event, Member Goglia's views on airworthiness are flawed.