

feet above ground) over hunters and their truck on two consecutive days. We also found that the record supported the law judge's conclusion that respondent's actions were reckless.

The Board's policy regarding stays pending judicial review is clear. We generally grant a stay when a suspension of less than six months is affirmed, and consistently deny stays in cases involving certificate revocation because revocation incorporates a conclusion that an airman lacks the qualifications required of a certificate holder. Cases involving suspensions of six months or more are evaluated on a case-by-case basis, considering the seriousness of the violations. See Administrator v. Reinhold, NTSB Order No. EA-4224 (1994).

The violative conduct of respondent illustrates a disregard for aviation safety. In addition, that the conduct was intentional and reckless certainly evidences a serious lack of compliance disposition. Therefore, we believe that a stay of the suspension would be inconsistent with the public interest.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

Respondent's motion for stay is denied.

HALL, Chairman, FRANCIS, Vice Chairman, HAMMERSCHMIDT, GOGLIA, Members of the Board, concurred in the above order.