

## Affirmative Action Plan for the Recruitment, Hiring, Advancement, and Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To capture agencies' affirmative action plan for persons with disabilities (PWD) and persons with targeted disabilities (PWTD), EEOC regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(e)) and MD-715 require agencies to describe how their affirmative action plan will improve the recruitment, hiring, advancement, and retention of applicants and employees with disabilities.

### Section I: Efforts to Reach Regulatory Goals

*EEOC regulations (29 CFR §1614.203(d)(7)) require agencies to establish specific numerical goals for increasing the participation of persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities in the federal government*

1. Using the goal of 12% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

- a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWD) Answer No
- b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWD) Answer Yes

In FY2021, the percentage of PWD in the GS-1 to GS-10 cluster was 30%, which exceeds the goal of 12%. The percentage of PWD in the GS-11 to SES cluster was 7.9% in FY2021, which is below the goal of 12%.

\*For GS employees, please use two clusters: GS-1 to GS-10 and GS-11 to SES, as set forth in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(7). For all other pay plans, please use the approximate grade clusters that are above or below GS-11 Step 1 in the Washington, DC metropolitan region.

2. Using the goal of 2% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

- a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWTD) Answer No
- b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWTD) Answer No

The percentage of PWTD in the GS-1 to GS-10 cluster was 10% in FY2021, which is above the goal of 2%. The percentage of PWTD in the GS-11 to SES cluster was 2.4% in FY2021, which exceeds the goal of 2%.

Grade Level Cluster(GS or Alternate Pay Planb)	Total	Reportable Disability		Targeted Disability	
	#	#	%	#	%
Numarical Goal	--	12%		2%	
Grades GS-1 to GS-10	10	4	40.00	1	10.00
Grades GS-11 to SES	378	39	10.32	9	2.38

3. Describe how the agency has communicated the numerical goals to the hiring managers and/or recruiters.

Every year, the Director of EEODI communicates to Agency leadership, including hiring managers and recruiters, the numerical disability hiring goals when debriefing senior leadership on the State of the Agency in compliance with the EEOC MD-715 reporting requirements.

### Section II: Model Disability Program

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(1), agencies must ensure sufficient staff, training and resources to recruit and hire persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities, administer the reasonable accommodation program and special emphasis program, and oversee any other disability hiring and advancement program the agency has in place.

## A. PLAN TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT & COMPETENT STAFFING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

1. Has the agency designated sufficient qualified personnel to implement its disability program during the reporting period? If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to improve the staffing for the upcoming year.

Answer Yes

2. Identify all staff responsible for implementing the agency's disability employment program by the office, staff employment status, and responsible official.

Disability Program Task	# of FTE Staff By Employment Status			Responsible Official (Name, Title, Office Email)
	Full Time	Part Time	Collateral Duty	
Architectural Barriers Act Compliance	0	0	1	Frank Perla Chief of Facilities frank.perla@ntsb.gov
Section 508 Compliance	0	0	1	Shamicka Fulson Strategic Planner Shamicka.fulson@ntsb.gov
Processing reasonable accommodation requests from applicants and employees	1	0	0	Shannon Wilson Disability Employment Program Manager Shannon.wilson@ntsb.gov
Special Emphasis Program for PWD and PWTD	1	0	1	Shannon.wilson@ntsb.gov sindprp@ntsb.gov
Processing applications from PWD and PWTD	1	0	0	Veronica Burrell Selective Placement Program Coordinator veronica.burrell@ntsb.gov
Answering questions from the public about hiring authorities that take disability into account	1	0	0	Veronica Burrell Selective Placement Program Coordinator veronica.burrell@ntsb.gov

3. Has the agency provided disability program staff with sufficient training to carry out their responsibilities during the reporting period? If “yes”, describe the training that disability program staff have received. If “no”, describe the training planned for the upcoming year.

Answer Yes

In FY2021, the Disability Program Staff received a variety of training to carry out their responsibilities during the reporting period. EEODI Staff collaborated and participated in the following events: Fostering a Mentally Healthy Workplace, Reasonable Accommodation for Mental health Impairments and Disability Etiquette. Lastly, all Disability Program Staff consistently receive informal training while carrying out their responsibilities. These informal opportunities to learn are conducted internally by the Director of EEODI, General Counsel, and/or other members of the Disability Program Staff. In FY21, despite staff turnover, EEODI staff participated in EEOC’s Excel Conference, the Federal Dispute Resolution Conference (FDR), and Employment Learning Innovations (Civil Treatment).

## B. PLAN TO ENSURE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

Has the agency provided sufficient funding and other resources to successfully implement the disability program during the reporting period? If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to ensure all aspects of the disability program have sufficient funding and other resources.

Answer Yes

## Section III: Program Deficiencies In The Disability Program

## Section IV: Plan to Recruit and Hire Individuals with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(i) and (ii), agencies must establish a plan to increase the recruitment and hiring of individuals with disabilities. The questions below are designed to identify outcomes of the agency’s recruitment program plan for PWD and PWTD

### A. PLAN TO IDENTIFY JOB APPLICATIONS WITH DISABILITIES

1. Describe the programs and resources the agency uses to identify job applicants with disabilities, including individuals with targeted disabilities.

The NTSB has a full-time Disability Employment Program Manager (DEPM), who is responsible for serving as agency Point of Contact (POC) for the Workforce Recruitment Program (WRP) and the DoD Computer/Electronic Accommodations Program (CAP). Currently, outreach and targeted recruitment occurs only when Human Resources Division (HRD) notifies EEODI staff of potential vacancies. Current and planned efforts include these: • Maintaining a list and a network of disability recruitment sources. • Using shared registers (for example, the OPM Shared Register) and databases (WRP) to identify candidates for NTSB vacancies. • Increasing outreach through professional organizations and publications, state vocational rehabilitation and disability service agencies, the Internet, and social media. • Posting vacancies nationwide on [www.USAJobs.gov](http://www.USAJobs.gov). • Expanding use of the Pathways Program to hire student interns and targeting outreach to students with disabilities

2. Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(a)(3), describe the agency’s use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A) to recruit PWD and PWTD for positions in the permanent workforce

NTSB may use the following hiring authorities to hire individuals with disabilities into temporary and permanent positions: • 30 percent or More Disabled Veteran (5 U.S.C. § 3112; 5 C.F.R. § 316.302, 316.402, and 315.707) • Schedule A Appointing Authority (5C.F.R. § 213.3102(u)). In FY21, research suggested that NTSB offices did not consistently use hiring tools that targeted candidates with disabilities. EEODI will work with HR to seek commitment by offices to adopt disability specific recruitment and outreach strategies, to include using the Workforce Recruitment Program and increasing contacts with Disability Program Centers at institutions of higher education. NTSB leadership will also seek great commitment by offices to facilitate use of Schedule A by hiring managers before job openings are made available to the public.

3. When individuals apply for a position under a hiring authority that takes disability into account (e.g., Schedule A), explain how the agency (1) determines if the individual is eligible for appointment under such authority; and, (2) forwards the individual's application to the relevant hiring officials with an explanation of how and when the individual may be appointed.

Applications are submitted via USAJobs. Applicants can upload their Schedule A letter, and/or their Disabled Veteran documentation, to be considered under one of the special hiring authorities. HRD reviews the applicants resume to determine if they meet the minimum qualifications of the position for which they applied. If they are determined to meet the minimum qualifications of the position, they are referred to the hiring authority on a Schedule A/non-competitive cert, and/or Disabled Veteran 30% or more cert, for their consideration. Once the hiring official makes a selection, the applicant is notified and provided instructions for completing the pre-employment requirements as outlined in the tentative job offer. Once the applicant has completed and passed all pre-employment requirements, they are provided an appointment letter.

- 4.

Has the agency provided training to all hiring managers on the use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A)? If “yes”, describe the type(s) of training and frequency. If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to provide this training.

Answer Yes

The Agency continued to strengthen its Disability Employment Program by informing and educating HRD and all supervisors and managers on the Schedule A Hiring Authority. On August 25, 2021, the Office of EEODI sent out guidance explaining the benefits of utilizing Schedule A.

**B. PLAN TO ESTABLISH CONTACTS WITH DISABILITY EMPLOYMENT ORGANIZATIONS**

Describe the agency’s efforts to establish and maintain contacts with organizations that assist PWD, including PWTD, in securing and maintaining employment.

The DEPM served as the Agency’s point of contact for the WRP and the DoD CAP and also served as the point of contact for a vocational rehabilitation counselor to assist an employee with exploring career options, occupational interests, strengths, and developmental needs, and alternate accommodations. Additionally, the DEPM conducted research and identified valuable tools and recruitment options and worked with HRD to conduct training on recruitment options and special hiring authorities. Additionally, the Office of EEODI visits the CAP center annually to further maintain the relationship.

**C. PROGRESSION TOWARDS GOALS (RECRUITMENT AND HIRING)**

1. Using the goals of 12% for PWD and 2% for PWTD as the benchmarks, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires in the permanent workforce? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below.

a. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWD) Answer Yes

b. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWTD) Answer Yes

The percentage of new hires with disabilities in FY21 was 3.56%. 1 new hire out of 28 new hires identified as a PWD. Conversely, there were 0 new hires in FY21 who identified as a PWTD. Possible triggers may exist regarding new hires failing to accurately complete the SF-256 which identifies PWD/PWTD. Additional education may be helpful during the onboarding process.

New Hires	Total (#)	Reportable Disability		Targeted Disability	
		Permanent Workforce (%)	Temporary Workforce (%)	Permanent Workforce (%)	Temporary Workforce (%)
% of Total Applicants	0				
% of Qualified Applicants	0				
% of New Hires	0				

2. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires for any of the mission- critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. New Hires for MCO (PWD) Answer No

b. New Hires for MCO (PWTD) Answer No

Based on the FY21 barrier analysis the data from 2019 -2021 new hires reflect that 13% of new hires had a disability within the

MCO's.

New Hires to Mission-Critical Occupations	Total (#)	Reportable Disability	Targetable Disability
		New Hires (%)	New Hires (%)
Numerical Goal	--	12%	2%

3. Using the relevant applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the qualified internal applicants for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

- a. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWD) Answer No
- b. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWTD) Answer No

Please see answer to Section IV question C.3. in Part J Supporting Document.

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among employees promoted to any of the mission- critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

- a. Promotions for MCO (PWD) Answer No
- b. Promotions for MCO (PWTD) Answer No

## Section V: Plan to Ensure Advancement Opportunities for Employees with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(iii), agencies are required to provide sufficient advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities. Such activities might include specialized training and mentoring programs, career development opportunities, awards programs, promotions, and similar programs that address advancement. In this section, agencies should identify, and provide data on programs designed to ensure advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities.

### A. ADVANCEMENT PROGRAM PLAN

Describe the agency’s plan to ensure PWD, including PWTD, have sufficient opportunities for advancement.

Continuing the use of telework and alternative work schedules as workplace flexibilities. Continue to utilize exit interview surveys in FY2021 to identify retention tools for individuals with disabilities via Survey Monkey. Conducting quarterly workshops on reasonable accommodations, to include the resources available for people with disabilities and targeted disabilities, to educate all NTSB hiring managers and HRD staff officials. Utilize and market NTSB’s Career Development Roadmap Program to employees with disabilities. The Career Roadmap will also offer mentor opportunities to all employees, including employees with disabilities.

### B. CAREER DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITES

- 1. Please describe the career development opportunities that the agency provides to its employees.

At the end of FY21, NTSB hired a Career Development Advisor. The CDA began creating the Career Development Roadmap which will help match the right learning with the right time in employees’ career, whether its leading self, managing projects, leading and managing people or leading and managing organizations. The roadmap offers free training/webinars on leadership, management, and employee self-development. The Career Development Advisor is in the process of revising the mentor program

for NTSB.

2. In the table below, please provide the data for career development opportunities that require competition and/or supervisory recommendation/ approval to participate.

Career Development Opportunities	Total Participants		PWD		PWTD	
	Applicants (#)	Selectees (#)	Applicants (%)	Selectees (%)	Applicants (%)	Selectees (%)
Internship Programs	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mentoring Programs	0	0	0	0	0	0
Training Programs	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detail Programs	6	6	0	0	0	0
Other Career Development Programs	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fellowship Programs	3	3	0	0	0	0
Coaching Programs	1	1	0	0	0	0

3. Do triggers exist for PWD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

- a. Applicants (PWD) Answer No
- b. Selections (PWD) Answer No

In FY21, there were no career development/mentor programs due to the pandemic. There was one applicant for coaching and that individual is a PWD. The agency had (3) participants for the Excellence in Government (EIG) Fellows program in FY21. The three graduates participated in a 1-year Leadership Development Program.

4. Do triggers exist for PWTD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

- a. Applicants (PWTD) Answer No
- b. Selections (PWTD) Answer No

In FY21, there were no career development/mentor programs due to the pandemic. TEI hosted a coaching program, however, no one with a targeted disability applied.

**C. AWARDS**

1. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for any level of the time-off awards, bonuses, or other incentives? If “yes”, please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

- a. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWD) Answer No
- b. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWTD) Answer Yes

The PWD Inclusion Rate (IR) is 8.4%. 17% (6/34) of PWD received time off awards which is 8.6 points above the IR. The PWTD

IR is 2.6%. 0% of PWTD (0/34) received time off awards. The IR for Persons Without Disabilities (PWOD) is 84%. The number of PWOD who received time off awards is (48/328) is 14%. For cash bonuses, the PWD IR is 8.4%. 11% (58/506) of PWD received cash bonuses which is 2% above the IR. PWTD IR is 2.6%. 2.3% (12/506) received cash awards .3% higher than the IR. PWOD IR is approximately 84%. 83% (421/506) received cash awards. PWD are not underrepresented when using the IR.

Time-Off Awards	Total (#)	Reportable Disability %	Without Reportable Disability %	Targeted Disability %	Without Targeted Disability %
Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 hours: Awards Given	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 Hours: Total Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 Hours: Average Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 hours: Awards Given	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 Hours: Total Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 Hours: Average Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 hours: Awards Given	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 Hours: Total Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 Hours: Average Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 hours: Awards Given	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 Hours: Total Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 Hours: Average Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Awards Given	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Total Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Average Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Cash Awards	Total (#)	Reportable Disability %	Without Reportable Disability %	Targeted Disability %	Without Targeted Disability %
Cash Awards: \$501 - \$999: Awards Given	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$501 - \$999: Total Amount	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$501 - \$999: Average Amount	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$1000 - \$1999: Awards Given	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$1000 - \$1999: Total Amount	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$1000 - \$1999: Average Amount	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$2000 - \$2999: Awards Given	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$2000 - \$2999: Total Amount	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Cash Awards	Total (#)	Reportable Disability %	Without Reportable Disability %	Targeted Disability %	Without Targeted Disability %
Cash Awards: \$2000 - \$2999: Average Amount	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$3000 - \$3999: Awards Given	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$3000 - \$3999: Total Amount	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$3000 - \$3999: Average Amount	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$4000 - \$4999: Awards Given	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$4000 - \$4999: Total Amount	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$4000 - \$4999: Average Amount	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$5000 or more: Awards Given	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$5000 or more: Total Amount	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$5000 or more: Average Amount	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for quality step increases or performance-based pay increases? If “yes”, please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Pay Increases (PWD) Answer Yes

b. Pay Increases (PWTD) Answer Yes

C2a. Quality Step Increases – PWD received 4.3% of awards in this category. This is 4.1 percentage points below the inclusion rate of 8.4%. C2b. Quality Step Increases – PWTD received 0% of awards in this category. This is below the inclusion rate of 2.6%.

Other Awards	Total (#)	Reportable Disability %	Without Reportable Disability %	Targeted Disability %	Without Targeted Disability %
Total Performance Based Pay Increases Awarded	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3. If the agency has other types of employee recognition programs, are PWD and/or PWTD recognized disproportionately less than employees without disabilities? (The appropriate benchmark is the inclusion rate.) If “yes”, describe the employee recognition program and relevant data in the text box.

a. Other Types of Recognition (PWD) Answer N/A

b. Other Types of Recognition (PWTD) Answer N/A

not applicable

**D. PROMOTIONS**

1. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. SES

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)	Answer	N/A
ii. Internal Selections (PWD)	Answer	N/A
b. Grade GS-15		
i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)	Answer	No
ii. Internal Selections (PWD)	Answer	No
c. Grade GS-14		
i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)	Answer	N/A
ii. Internal Selections (PWD)	Answer	N/A
d. Grade GS-13		
i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)	Answer	N/A
ii. Internal Selections (PWD)	Answer	N/A

FY21 Applicant flow data indicate there was (1) qualified PWD in Grade GS-15. The qualified internal applicants for all grades were in grade/series GS-0201-12 and GS-1801-15.

2. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. SES		
i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)	Answer	N/A
ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)	Answer	N/A
b. Grade GS-15		
i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)	Answer	N/A
ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)	Answer	N/A
c. Grade GS-14		
i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)	Answer	N/A
ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)	Answer	N/A
d. Grade GS-13		
i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)	Answer	N/A
ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)	Answer	N/A

FY21 Applicant flow data indicate there were no qualified PWTD for internal promotions. IRT Internal Promotion: There was (1) applicant for internal promotion but was not rated qualified at the GS 13-SES levels.

3. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the

trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

- |                             |        |     |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----|
| a. New Hires to SES (PWD)   | Answer | N/A |
| b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWD) | Answer | N/A |
| c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWD) | Answer | N/A |
| d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWD) | Answer | N/A |

During FY21 (1) PWD applied (GS-14) but was not qualified. There were no other applicants for GS13 - SES level.
---

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTB among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

- |                              |        |     |
|------------------------------|--------|-----|
| a. New Hires to SES (PWTB)   | Answer | N/A |
| b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWTB) | Answer | N/A |
| c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWTB) | Answer | N/A |
| d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWTB) | Answer | N/A |

Based on FY21 applicant flow data, there were no qualified PWTB applicants at the GS-13 - SES levels. There was a total of 1 applicant for the GS-14 level but the PWTB was not qualified.
--

5. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

- |  |        |     |
|--|--------|-----|
| a. Executives                          |        |     |
| i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) | Answer | No  |
| ii. Internal Selections (PWD)          | Answer | No  |
| b. Managers                            |        |     |
| i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) | Answer | No  |
| ii. Internal Selections (PWD)          | Answer | No  |
| c. Supervisors                         |        |     |
| i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) | Answer | N/A |
| ii. Internal Selections (PWD)          | Answer | N/A |

FY21 applicant flow data lists (1) qualified PWD GS-1801-15 applicant who was not selected. The Executive PWD applicant was also competing for a Managerial position. No other supervisory PWD applicants are listed in FY21 applicant flow data.
---

6. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTB among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and

the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Executives

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer N/A

b. Managers

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer N/A

c. Supervisors

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer N/A

FY21 applicant flow data lists no qualified PWTD applicants at Executive level, Managers, or Supervisors.

7. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. New Hires for Executives (PWD) Answer N/A

b. New Hires for Managers (PWD) Answer N/A

c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWD) Answer N/A

FY21 applicant flow data, there were no qualified PWD new hire applicants.

8. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. New Hires for Executives (PWTD) Answer N/A

b. New Hires for Managers (PWTD) Answer N/A

c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWTD) Answer N/A

FY21 applicant flow data, there were no qualified PWTD new hire applicants.

## Section VI: Plan to Improve Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To be model employer for persons with disabilities, agencies must have policies and programs in place to retain employees with disabilities. In this section, agencies should: (1) analyze workforce separation data to identify barriers retaining employees with disabilities; (2) describe efforts to ensure accessibility of technology and facilities; and (3) provide information on the reasonable accommodation program and workplace assistance services.

### A. VOLUNTARY AND INVOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS

- 1.

In this reporting period, did the agency convert all eligible Schedule A employees with a disability into the competitive service after two years of satisfactory service (5 C.F.R. § 213.3102(u)(6)(i))? If “no”, please explain why the agency did not convert all eligible Schedule A employees.

Answer N/A

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without disabilities? If “yes”, describe the trigger below.

a. Voluntary Separations (PWD) Answer No

b. Involuntary Separations (PWD) Answer No

The PWD IR is 8.5%, 6.06% (2/33) of those who separated were PWD.

Separations	Total #	Reportable Disabilities %	Without Reportable Disabilities %
Permanent Workforce: Reduction in Force	0	0.00	0.00
Permanent Workforce: Removal	0	0.00	0.00
Permanent Workforce: Resignation	0	0.00	0.00
Permanent Workforce: Retirement	0	0.00	0.00
Permanent Workforce: Other Separations	0	0.00	0.00
Permanent Workforce: Total Separations	0	0.00	0.00

3. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWTD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without targeted disabilities? If “yes”, describe the trigger below.

a. Voluntary Separations (PWTD) Answer No

b. Involuntary Separations (PWTD) Answer No

The PWTD IR is 2.6%, 3.03% (1/33) of those separated were PWTD

Separations	Total #	Targeted Disabilities %	Without Targeted Disabilities %
Permanent Workforce: Reduction in Force	0	0.00	0.00
Permanent Workforce: Removal	0	0.00	0.00
Permanent Workforce: Resignation	0	0.00	0.00
Permanent Workforce: Retirement	0	0.00	0.00
Permanent Workforce: Other Separations	0	0.00	0.00
Permanent Workforce: Total Separations	0	0.00	0.00

4. If a trigger exists involving the separation rate of PWD and/or PWTD, please explain why they left the agency using exit interview results and other data sources.

N/A

**B. ACCESSIBILITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND FACILITIES**

Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(4), federal agencies are required to inform applicants and employees of their rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794(b), concerning the accessibility of agency technology, and the Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. § 4151-4157), concerning the accessibility of agency facilities. In addition, agencies are required to

inform individuals where to file complaints if other agencies are responsible for a violation.

1. Please provide the internet address on the agency's public website for its notice explaining employees' and applicants' rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

<https://www.nts.gov/about/Policies/Pages/accessibility.aspx>

2. Please provide the internet address on the agency's public website for its notice explaining employees' and applicants' rights under the Architectural Barriers Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

<https://www.nts.gov/about/Policies/Pages/accessibility.aspx>

3. Describe any programs, policies, or practices that the agency has undertaken, or plans on undertaking over the next fiscal year, designed to improve accessibility of agency facilities and/or technology.

In the past, the Agency has updated wheelchair accessibility maps indicating signage and routes to various NTSB facilities within L'Enfant Plaza. Due to the pandemic, the Agency has been on maxi telework status for the past year. Accordingly, the Agency has no current plans to improve accessibility of Agency facilities. However, the Office of EEODI ensures that persons with disabilities technological needs are met. In the future, NTSB may relocate its HQ to a new building. EEODI will ensure that accessibility concerns are addressed, should that happen. In FY21, EEODI continued to lead the Agency's efforts in utilizing an interpreter services contract to assist the Agency's hard of hearing employees engage in virtual meetings. Interpreter services were used 66 times in FY21. In February 2021, EEODI conducted a Reasonable Accommodation briefing for NTSB (employees and supervisors). The briefing covered the RA process.

### C. REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PROGRAM

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(3), agencies must adopt, post on their public website, and make available to all job applicants and employees, reasonable accommodation procedures.

1. Please provide the average time frame for processing initial requests for reasonable accommodations during the reporting period. (Please do not include previously approved requests with repetitive accommodations, such as interpreting services.)

The average processing time for processing initial requests for reasonable accommodations in FY2021 was 20 days.

2. Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the agency's reasonable accommodation program. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests, timely providing approved accommodations, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring accommodation requests for trends.

Adhering to the completion of reasonable accommodation requests within a 30- day timeframe, this allows for individuals with time sensitive requests to receive the assistance they need to be effective and productive as employees in the workplace. Implementing the practice of tracking reasonable accommodation using a spreadsheet, we've been able to effectively update the status of each request and this coordination helps limit the processing time. Additionally, in FY21, EEODI conducted training on the Reasonable Accommodation process for all of NTSB.

### D. PERSONAL ASSISTANCE SERVICES ALLOWING EMPLOYEES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WORKPLACE

*Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(5), federal agencies, as an aspect of affirmative action, are required to provide personal assistance services (PAS) to employees who need them because of a targeted disability, unless doing so would impose an undue hardship on the agency.*

Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the PAS requirement. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests for PAS, timely providing approved services, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring PAS requests for trends.

To date, the Agency has not received any requests for PAS services. However, in FY2021, EEODI conducted training informing supervisors and managers of the Agency's obligation to provide PAS. The agency has a contract in place for individuals requiring PAS services.

## Section VII: EEO Complaint and Findings Data

### A. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING HARASSMENT

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging harassment, as compared to the governmentwide average?

Answer No

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging harassment based on disability status result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?

Answer No

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

N/A

### B. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging failure to provide a reasonable accommodation, as compared to the government-wide average?

Answer N/A

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging failure to provide reasonable accommodation result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?

Answer N/A

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination involving the failure to provide a reasonable accommodation during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

N/A

## Section VIII: Identification and Removal of Barriers

*Element D of MD-715 requires agencies to conduct a barrier analysis when a trigger suggests that a policy, procedure, or practice may be impeding the employment opportunities of a protected EEO group.*

1. Has the agency identified any barriers (policies, procedures, and/or practices) that affect employment opportunities for PWD and/or PWTD?

Answer No

2. Has the agency established a plan to correct the barrier(s) involving PWD and/or PWTD?

Answer N/A

3. Identify each trigger and plan to remove the barrier(s), including the identified barrier(s), objective(s), responsible official(s), planned activities, and, where applicable, accomplishments

<b>Source of the Trigger:</b>	Workforce Data (if so identify the table)					
<b>Specific Workforce Data Table:</b>	Workforce Data Table - B1					
<b>STATEMENT OF CONDITION THAT WAS A TRIGGER FOR A POTENTIAL BARRIER:</b>  Provide a brief narrative describing the condition at issue.  How was the condition recognized as a potential barrier?	There is a trigger involving PWD and PWTD for time-off awards, cash bonuses, and quality step increases as the rates of inclusion for PWTD and PWD are less than the rate of inclusion for PWOD in these three award categories.					
<b>STATEMENT OF BARRIER GROUPS:</b>	<i>Barrier Group</i> People with Disabilities People with Targeted Disabilities					
<b>Barrier Analysis Process Completed?:</b>	Y					
<b>Barrier(s) Identified?:</b>	N					
<b>STATEMENT OF IDENTIFIED BARRIER:</b>  Provide a succinct statement of the agency policy, procedure or practice that has been determined to be the barrier of the undesired condition.	<b>Barrier Name</b>		<b>Description of Policy, Procedure, or Practice</b>			
	Not applicable		Barrier analysis did not find a statistical significance during the review of this trigger.			
<b>Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan</b>						
<b>Date Initiated</b>	<b>Target Date</b>	<b>Sufficient Funding / Staffing?</b>	<b>Date Modified</b>	<b>Date Completed</b>	<b>Objective Description</b>	
07/31/2020	12/31/2021	Yes			Conduct barrier analysis to identify and eliminate any potential barriers in promotion and career enhancement opportunities for Agency employees who identify as PWTD.	
<b>Responsible Official(s)</b>						
<b>Title</b>		<b>Name</b>		<b>Standards Address The Plan?</b>		
EEODI Director		Fara Guest		Yes		
Disability Employment Program Manager		Ranee Artis		Yes		
<b>Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective</b>						
<b>Target Date</b>	<b>Planned Activities</b>			<b>Sufficient Staffing &amp; Funding?</b>	<b>Modified Date</b>	<b>Completion Date</b>
07/31/2020	Establish quarterly meetings with HRD to obtain more comprehensive applicant flow data in order to monitor the participation rates of PWTD in the actual applicant pool and relevant applicant pool.			Yes		07/31/2020

<b>Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective</b>				
<b>Target Date</b>	<b>Planned Activities</b>	<b>Sufficient Staffing &amp; Funding?</b>	<b>Modified Date</b>	<b>Completion Date</b>
07/31/2020	Create Barrier Analysis Working Group	Yes		07/31/2020
10/15/2020	Examine FEVS data	Yes	05/01/2022	02/02/2022
11/15/2020	Examine Career Trajectories of PWTD in the Agency	Yes	05/01/2022	02/01/2022
11/30/2020	Train Barrier Analysis Working Group on identifying triggers and conducting investigation to pinpoint actual barriers.	Yes	05/01/2022	05/01/2022
03/01/2021	Complete investigation for barrier analysis process and identify any potential barriers	Yes	05/01/2023	05/01/2022
06/01/2021	Establish and Implement plan of action to resolve identified barriers.	Yes	07/01/2023	05/01/2022
12/31/2022	Develop DEIA hiring/awareness campaign to recruit/retain individuals with disabilities.	Yes		
<b>Report of Accomplishments</b>				
<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Accomplishment</b>			
2021	Barrier Analysis initiated in FY21 and completed in FY22.			
2021	Disability representation showed improvement; however, the increase was not statistically significant.			

4. Please explain the factor(s) that prevented the agency from timely completing any of the planned activities.

N/A

5. For the planned activities that were completed, please describe the actual impact of those activities toward eliminating the barrier(s).

The planned activities that were completed enabled the Agency to complete the barrier analysis process. The Agency hired a contractor to complete the barrier analysis process by FY2022.

6. If the planned activities did not correct the trigger(s) and/or barrier(s), please describe how the agency intends to improve the plan for the next fiscal year.

The barrier analysis results will enable the Agency to further drill down and improve the plan to eliminate barriers relating to PWD and PWTD.